

Child Abuse and Neglect Annual Report Fiscal Year 2022

OUR MISSION

Empower Missourians to live safe, healthy, & productive lives



Missouri Department of SOCIAL SERVICES



MICHAEL L. PARSON, GOVERNOR • ROBERT J. KNODELL, DIRECTOR DARRELL MISSEY, DIRECTOR CHILDREN'S DIVISION

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

Thank you for your interest and concern regarding child abuse and neglect in Missouri. This report contains information regarding reports and referrals to the Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline during Fiscal Year 2022, July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022. It includes the substantiation status of the reports and the number of children and families served by a program within the Missouri Children's Division.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division within the Department of Social Services.



Table of Contents

Introd	duction	1
Repor	rts	2
Rep	ports to the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline	2
Rep	porters	3
Child	Protection System: Assessments & Investigations	5
Ob	oserved Family Characteristics	7
Rep	ported Incidents and Children by Conclusion	9
Asses	ssment Conclusions	11
Rep	ported Incidents and Children by Assessment Conclusion	11
Dei	emographics of Assessment Children	13
Subst	tantiated Conclusions	15
Suk	bstantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect	15
Ob	oserved Family Characteristics	18
Dei	emographics of Substantiated Children	19
Chi	ild Abuse/Neglect Fatalities	20
Perpe	etrators	23
Per	rpetrator Demographics	23
Ob	oserved Perpetrator Characteristics	24
Preve	ention/Treatment Services	25
Far	mily-Centered Services (FCS)	25
Inte	tensive In-Home Services (IIS)	26
Ou	ut-of-Home Placement	26
Chi	ild Care	26
Cris	isis Nurseries	26
Chi	ild Abuse/Neglect Review Board	27
Cal	lls from Reporters	27
Ne	ewborn Crisis Assessments and Services	27
Appeı	ndices	29
A.	. Reported Incidents by Conclusion	30
В.	. Reported Children by Conclusion	32
C.	. Assessment Conclusion Incidents by Assessment Type	34
D.	. Assessment Conclusion Children by Assessment Type	36
E.	. Family Assessment Incidents by Conclusion	38
F.	Family Assessment Children by Conclusion	40
G.	. Substantiated Incidents by Category of Abuse/Neglect	42
Н.	. Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect	44
l.	Substantiated CA/N Fatalities by Fiscal Year	46
J.	Legal and Methodological Definitions	48

Introduction

This report compiles data collected by the Missouri Department of Social Services (DSS), Children's Division (CD), in the course of Child Abuse and/or Neglect (CA/N) investigations/assessments during the fiscal year, July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022. As a result of pending investigations or changes in conclusions, the data is prone to change. The data reported is current as of August 2023.

The Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline Unit (CANHU) accepts confidential reports of suspected child abuse, neglect, or exploitation. Missouri's toll-free number for reporting child abuse/neglect is **1-800-392-3738**. Mandated reporters can also report online at http://dss.mo.gov/cd/can.htm.

The CANHU is operated year-round on a 24 hours per day, seven days per week basis. It was staffed by 50 full-time and 11 part-time trained and experienced Children's Service Workers during the fiscal year. A call to the hotline is referred to as a "report" or "reported incident" of child abuse/neglect. A report may involve from one to several children. Over the course of the fiscal year, the hotline had received over 58,000 reported incidents that involved over 80,000 children in Missouri.

When a call is received at the CANHU, information is analyzed to determine whether:

- the child is under age 18;
- the alleged perpetrator has care, custody and control of the child;
- the report meets the legal definition of abuse and/or neglect as stipulated in 210.110, RSMo (see Appendix J definitions).

After a report of suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation has been made to the hotline, the information is forwarded electronically to one of the 114 county offices or the St. Louis City office for either investigation or assessment. A report indicating behavior that may constitute a criminal violation is screened as an investigation and law enforcement is contacted to co-investigate. Reports of child abuse/neglect that do not appear to be of a criminal nature may be responded to as an assessment, where resources or services may be offered to the families to help prevent abuse or to meet a family's specific need. During FY 2022, thirty-seven percent (36.9%) of reports taken by the CANHU were assigned as investigation and fifty-eight percent (58.3%) as family assessments. Five percent (4.8%) were assigned as juvenile assessments, where a child under the age of fourteen is alleged to have committed an act of sexual abuse against a person under the age of eighteen.

Investigations/assessments must be initiated within 24 hours, or immediately when it is determined that the child is in imminent danger. When the only allegation is educational neglect, or the allegation is an old allegation and the child is protected, an investigation/assessment must be initiated within 72 hours.

Unless there is good cause, Children's Service Workers complete investigations and family assessments within 45 days of report, and juvenile and differential response assessments within 90 days. At the end of each child abuse/neglect investigation/assessment, the Children's Service Worker reaches a conclusion. Of the 80,529 children with reports in FY 2022, 4,244 (5.3%), were substantiated as abused/neglected.

Reports

Reports to the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline

Over the past fiscal year, the CANHU received 133,617* hotline calls. Of those reports, 58,242 were classified as Investigations or Assessments and were completed by the Children's Division. A total of 80,529 children** were involved in the investigations/assessments.


Incidents and Children Reported to the Child Abuse/Neglect Hotline

	Total	Annual	Total	Annual
Year	Reports	Change	Children**	Change
2018	73,924		106,090	
2019	64,920	-12.2%	89,738	-15.4%
2020	55,853	-14.0%	78,328	-12.7%
2021	54,515	-2.4%	77,108	-1.6%
2022	58,242	6.8%	80,529	4.4%

^{*}Data provided by CANHU; excludes calls classified as Prior Checks or Other.

Comparing months, April had the highest number, and July the least, of both reported incidents and children. During FY 2022, an average of 4,854 reports involving 6,711 children were made each month.


FY 2022 Child Abuse/Neglect Reports and Children by Month of Report



^{**} All counts of children are duplicated because a child may be reported more than once during the year. Total reports include only reports with a Type of Response of Investigation or Assessment and completed by field staff. Other CANHU reports requiring staff attention or action but do not meet the requirement for Investigation or Assessment are not included in the overall count.

Reports

A child may be reported as an alleged victim more than once during the year. In FY 2022, a total of 65,493 children had been involved in one or more reports. The majority of children (82.8%) had been reported only once; thirteen percent (13.1%) were involved in two reports. Four percent (4.1%) were involved in more than two reports.




Number of Reported Incidents Per Child during FY 2022

Reporters

Reports of child abuse/neglect can be made by persons who are either "mandated" or "permissive" reporters. Mandated reporters are required by state statute to report abuse/neglect when they have reasonable cause to suspect a child has been or is being abused or neglected. Mandated reporters include, but are not limited to, health and education professionals, social workers and foster parents. Permissive reporters, such as relatives or neighbors, are not required to report suspected abuse/neglect.

More than one reporter may be involved in a report to the hotline. The majority of reporters during FY 2022 were mandated reporters.



Type of Child/Abuse Neglect Reporters during FY 2022

Reports

Mandated reporters were more often in the occupations of peace officer/law enforcement official, school principal/official or social worker.

Reporters of Child Abuse/Neglect during FY 2022 by Occupation


	Number	Percent
Permissive	15,014	20.9%
Principal/Other School Staff	10,961	15.2%
Peace officer or law enforcement official	10,282	14.3%
Social worker	9,737	13.5%
Mental health professional	6,301	8.8%
Teacher	4,764	6.6%
Nurse	3,806	5.3%
Other person with responsibility for care of children	2,523	3.5%
Children`s Division Worker	1,432	2.0%
Unknown	1,277	1.8%
Physician	873	1.2%
Other health practitioner	709	1.0%
Juvenile Officer	674	0.9%
Day care center or other childcare worker	627	0.9%
Foster parents	492	0.7%
Other hospital/clinic personnel	435	0.6%
Psychologist	307	0.4%
Intern	210	0.3%
Probation or Parole Officer	134	0.2%
Minister	132	0.2%
Resident	116	0.2%
Medical examiner	110	0.2%
Coroner	50	0.1%
Volunteer personnel of community service program	43	0.1%
Dentist /dental hygienist	42	0.1%
Jail detention personnel and volunteers	37	0.1%
Chiropractor	4	0.0%
Optometrist	4	0.0%
Podiatrist	2	0.0%

Note: Reporters exceed reports because more than one person may report an incident.

Missouri's Child Protection Services system culminated from a collaborative effort between the Children's Division, elected officials, community organizations and private citizens. Its primary focus is to protect children from abuse/neglect in the least disruptive and intrusive way while recognizing the value of the family. In addition, the child's protection is provided in the most efficient and effective manner possible within the framework of state, community, and family resources.

A child abuse/neglect report is screened to determine the appropriate intervention method at the time the report is received by the CANHU. If behaviors that constitute a criminal violation are indicated, the response to the report is a fact-finding investigation and the appropriate local law enforcement agency is notified to assist. The response for remaining reports is a Family Assessment or a Juvenile Assessment for reports of children with problem sexual behavior. The main purpose of an assessment is to determine the child's safety and the family's need for services. Both investigated families and those who receive the assessment response receive prompt and effective delivery of services in order to address their needs.

Of the reports received in FY 2022, fifty-eight percent (58.4%) were initially assigned as Family Assessments, five percent (4.9%) as Juvenile Assessments, and thirty-seven percent (36.8%) as Investigations by the CANHU.




FY 2022 Reports by Type of Response

After the Children's Division worker has made contact with the reported family, or when law enforcement is involved and calls a worker into the field, a report initially assigned as an assessment may change its track to an investigation, or an investigation may be changed to an assessment track.

In May 2018, CD policy was changed to allow for reports to be tracked as Differential Response (DR) assessments. DR assessments are assessments that have been determined by the family, CD worker and supervisor as needing more intensive work with the family, and traditionally go beyond the 45 days to complete. Its purpose is to provide the family with needed resources, support and services to further promote safety and well-being of the family during the assessment period.

Over half of reports (58.8%) were tracked as Family Assessments and over one-third (36.1%) as Investigations. Less than one percent (0.2%) of reports were changed to Differential Response after assignment.



Children's Service Workers investigate/assess each report to determine if abuse/neglect is occurring or has occurred and evaluate the family's need for services. Thorough investigations/assessments require hours of interviews and information collection, and usually include the following major steps.

- Contacting the reporter, if known, for additional information before proceeding with the investigation;
- Contacting appropriate law enforcement personnel or multidisciplinary team members to request a co-investigation if the alleged report, if true, would constitute a violation of the law;
- Making a determination regarding the safety of the children within 24 hours, or immediately if deemed as an emergency;
- Contacting the School District Liaison when the victim in the child abuse/neglect report is school-aged;
- Determining the safety of all other children in the household within 72 hours;
- Consulting with the Chief Investigator within 72 hours;
- Contacting collateral persons;
- Interviewing witnesses;
- Interviewing the non-offending parent;
- Interviewing the alleged perpetrator(s);
- Evaluating and documenting all information collected and observed;
- Determining whether abuse/neglect has occurred or is occurring;
- Evaluating the family's need for services and making appropriate referrals for needed services;
- Notifying the child's parent(s), alleged perpetrator and, if applicable, school district liaison and the mandated reporter of the report conclusion and related findings.

Observed Family Characteristics

Children's Service Workers may designate up to fifteen **Observed Family Characteristics** during each family assessment/investigation. Services and supports for families are designed to build on the strengths and resources of the families and communities.

A third of reported families tracked as an assessment had adequate living conditions (30.0%) and extended family support systems (25.7%). Following are the top 25 observed characteristics.

Characteristics of Families Involved in Family Assessments during FY 2021

	Number	Percent
Adequate Living Conditions	11,139	30.0%
Extended Family Support System	9,550	25.7%
Community/Cultural Support	7,341	19.7%
Single Parent Household	6,558	17.6%
Amenable to Services	5,243	14.1%
Appropriate Parenting Skills	5,028	13.5%
Appropriate Child Development Knowledge	4,952	13.3%
Problem Solving Skills	4,209	11.3%
Stable Family Relationships/Household	3,547	9.5%
No History of Violence	2,799	7.5%
Manages Finances Well	1,986	5.3%
Good Physical/Mental Health	1,669	4.5%
Stable Marriage	1,532	4.1%
Other Drug Related Problem(s)	871	2.3%
Domestic Violence	834	2.2%
Lack of Parenting Skills	713	1.9%
Positive Childhood Experiences	645	1.7%
Recent/Frequent Relocation	460	1.2%
Heavy Continuous Childcare Responsibility	440	1.2%
New Baby in Home/Pregnancy	405	1.1%
Marital Problems	389	1.0%
Insufficient/Misuse of Income	378	1.0%
Crowded Living Conditions	359	1.0%
Recent Loss/Addition to Household Members	346	0.9%
Alcohol Related Problem(s)	342	0.9%

Percent is the percentage of 37,191 reports tracked as Family Assessments, Juvenile Assessments or Differential Response. Percent total is greater than 100 because up to 15 family characteristics may be reported for each Family Assessment.

The characteristics of reported families involved in investigations are similar to families assessed. Around a quarter of families had adequate living conditions (27.8%) and extended family support systems (25.7%). Below are the top 25 observed characteristics.

Characteristics of Families Involved in Investigations during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Adequate Living Conditions	5,861	27.8%
Extended Family Support System	5,403	25.7%
Community/Cultural Support	3,754	17.8%
Single Parent Household	3,264	15.5%
Amenable to Services	3,016	14.3%
Appropriate Child Development Knowledge	2,423	11.5%
Appropriate Parenting Skills	2,414	11.5%
Problem Solving Skills	1,967	9.3%
Stable Family Relationships/Household	1,861	8.8%
No History of Violence	1,287	6.1%
Manages Finances Well	1,181	5.6%
Good Physical/Mental Health	914	4.3%
Stable Marriage	862	4.1%
Other Drug Related Problem(s)	729	3.5%
Lack of Parenting Skills	682	3.2%
Domestic Violence	579	2.8%
Positive Childhood Experiences	361	1.7%
New Baby in Home/Pregnancy	312	1.5%
Dangerous Living Conditions	274	1.3%
Alcohol Related Problem(s)	263	1.2%
Heavy Continuous Childcare Responsibility	257	1.2%
Marital Problems	241	1.1%
Recent/Frequent Relocation	237	1.1%
Recent Loss/Addition to Household Members	232	1.1%
Crowded Living Conditions	201	1.0%

Percent is the percentage of 21,051 reports tracked as Investigations. Percent total is greater than 100 because up to 15 family characteristics may be reported for each Family Assessment.

Unless there is good cause, investigations/assessments should be closed within 45 days. Juvenile assessments and Differential Response assessments are usually completed within 90 days. Upon completion of the investigation, a report may be concluded as Substantiated, Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated (PSI), Unsubstantiated, or Family Assessment.

Substantiated: A finding that a preponderance of evidence exists to conclude abuse/neglect has occurred or is occurring as a result of the observation of visible signs, physical and/or credible verbal evidence provided to the Children's Service Worker by the child, perpetrator or witnesses in accordance with the definitions of abuse/neglect. This includes cases which are adjudicated by the courts.

Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated: A finding that insufficient visible signs, physical and/or credible evidence exist, but where the Children's Service Worker determines that indicators are present which, if unresolved, could potentially contribute to child abuse/neglect.

Unsubstantiated: A finding that insufficient physical or credible verbal evidence exists and where few or no indicators are identified and the Children's Service Worker has not identified a specific threat exists for the child.

Conclusions categorized as Other include unable to locate, inappropriate report, located out of state, home schooling, already investigated, and school investigation by school board. See Appendix J for further definition.

Reported Incidents and Children by Conclusion

Five percent of incidents (5.3%) and of children (5.3%) were concluded as substantiated, consistent with past years.


Reported Incidents of CA/N by Conclusion

	Substar	Unsubstantiated bstantiated PSI Unsubstantiated				Family, J Di Assess	R	Oth			
Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Total
2018	4,097	5.5%	2,136	2.9%	17,535	23.7%	46,848	63.4%	3,308	4.5%	73,924
2019	3,819	5.9%	1,677	2.6%	15,207	23.4%	40,775	62.8%	3,442	5.3%	64,920
2020	3,466	6.2%	1,563	2.8%	13,730	24.6%	34,890	62.5%	2,204	3.9%	55,853
2021	3,473	6.4%	1,512	2.8%	14,138	25.9%	32,993	60.5%	2,399	4.4%	54,515
2022	3,111	5.3%	1,198	2.1%	14,957	25.7%	35,325	60.7%	3,651	6.3%	58,242

Reported Children of CA/N by Conclusion

	Substai	Unsubstantiated				Ď	Family, Juvenile, DR Assessment		Other		
Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Total
2018	5,633	5.3%	3,126	2.9%	23,836	22.5%	68,673	64.7%	4,822	4.5%	106,090
2019	5,225	5.8%	2,453	2.7%	19,889	22.2%	57,303	63.9%	4,868	5.4%	89,738
2020	4,736	6.0%	2,253	2.9%	18,155	23.2%	49,964	63.8%	3,220	4.1%	78,328
2021	4,688	6.1%	2,168	2.8%	18,997	24.6%	47,613	61.7%	3,642	4.7%	77,108
2022	4,244	5.3%	1,772	2.2%	19,455	24.2%	49,792	61.8%	5,266	6.5%	80,529

Reported Incidents and Children by Conclusion



Conclusions are as follows:

- Substantiated Court Adjudicated and Preponderance of Evidence;
- Unsub.-PSI Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated;
- Unsub. Unsubstantiated;
- Assessment: Agency Responded Refer to FCS or AC Case Opened, Agency Responded No Concerns Found, Family Uncooperative Child Safe, Agency Responded Services Provided, Family Declined Services Child Safe, and Agency Responded Concerns Addressed;
- Other Unable to Locate, Inappropriate Report, Located Out of State, Already Investigated, and Home Schooling

The majority of CANHU reports were concluded as assessments. The goal of this approach is to assure child safety, address the strengths of the family, and to identify and treat the family's needs.

Family Assessments, Juvenile Assessments and Differential Response are concluded as the agency responded and either provided services, addressed concerns, or no concerns were found; or where the child is safe but the family refused services or refused to participate in the assessment process.

Reported Incidents and Children by Assessment Conclusion


The majority of Family Assessments and Juvenile Assessments were concluded as concerns addressed or no concerns found. Most Differential Response Assessments were concluded as concerns addressed but had a notable percentage of providing services or referred to Family Centered Services (FCS)/Alternative Care (AC) case opened.

Reported Incidents by Assessment Conclusion during FY 2022

	Far Assess	•	Juvenile Assessment		Differential Response		Total Assessments	
Conclusion	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Agency Responded Referred to FCS or AC Case Opened	2,029	6.2%	48	1.8%	14	13.5%	2,091	5.9%
Agency Responded Services Provided	697	2.1%	41	1.6%	16	15.4%	754	2.1%
Agency Responded Concerns Addressed	17,261	52.9%	1,745	66.7%	52	50.0%	19,058	54.0%
Agency Responded No Concerns Found	10,710	32.8%	673	25.7%	9	8.7%	11,392	32.2%
Family Declined Services, Child Safe	390	1.2%	16	0.6%	8	7.7%	414	1.2%
Family Uncooperative, Child Safe	1,518	4.7%	93	3.6%	5	4.8%	1,616	4.6%
Total Assessment Conclusions	32,605	100.0%	2,616	100.0%	104	100.0%	35,325	100.0%

Reported Children by Assessment Conclusion during FY 2022

		Family Assessment		Juvenile Assessment		Differential Response		Total Children	
Conclusion	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Agency Responded Referred to FCS or AC Case Opened	3,201	6.9%	60	2.0%	22	13.0%	3,283	6.6%	
Agency Responded Services Provided	1,039	2.2%	46	1.5%	24	14.2%	1,109	2.2%	
Agency Responded Concerns Addressed	24,323	52.2%	2,030	66.7%	87	51.5%	26,440	53.1%	
Agency Responded No Concerns Found	15,199	32.6%	780	25.6%	12	7.1%	15,991	32.1%	
Family Declined Services, Child Safe	590	1.3%	21	0.7%	14	8.3%	625	1.3%	
Family Uncooperative, Child Safe	2,226	4.8%	108	3.5%	10	5.9%	2,344	4.7%	
Total Assessment Conclusions	46,578	100.0%	3,045	100.0%	169	100.0%	49,792	100.0%	



For reports concluded as assessments during FY 2022, half were found to have concerns addressed (54.0%) and a third found no concerns (32.2%). Six percent (5.9%) resulted in opening a Family Centered Services (FCS) case or an Alternative Care (AC) case for 3,283 children, and two percent (2.1%) resulted in providing services for 1,109 children.

Reported Incidents by Assessment Conclusion


	Agency Responded Referred to FCS or Agency Responded AC Case Opened Services Provided		Agency Responded Concerns Addressed No Concerns Found					Family Uncooperative Child Safe					
Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Total
2018	3,916	8.5%	3,587	7.8%	NA		34,836	75.7%	1,204	2.6%	2,478	5.4%	46,021
2019	2,508	6.2%	2,054	5.0%	16,686	40.9%	16,379	40.2%	784	1.9%	2,364	5.8%	40,775
2020	2,621	7.5%	1,294	3.7%	16,318	46.8%	12,311	35.3%	523	1.5%	1,823	5.2%	34,890
2021	2,435	7.4%	1,043	3.2%	16,455	49.9%	11,137	33.8%	557	1.7%	1,366	4.1%	32,993
2022	2,091	5.9%	754	2.1%	19,058	54.0%	11,392	32.2%	414	1.2%	1,616	4.6%	35,325

	• ' '		ferred to FCS or Agency Responded Agency			to FCS or Agency Responded Agency Responded Agency Responded					Family Uncooperative Child Safe		
Year	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Total
2018	6,379	9.5%	5,312	7.9%	NA		50,271	74.5%	1,876	2.8%	3,629	5.4%	67,467
2019	3,939	11.5%	2,957	8.6%	23,086		22,804	66.6%	1,177	3.4%	3,340	9.8%	34,217
2020	4,164	8.3%	1,989	4.0%	22,806	45.6%	17,605	35.2%	800	1.6%	2,600	5.2%	49,964
2021	3,844	8.1%	1,542	3.2%	23,321	49.0%	15,923	33.4%	847	1.8%	2,136	4.5%	47,613
2022	3,283	6.6%	1,109	2.2%	26,440	53.1%	15,991	32.1%	625	1.3%	2,344	4.7%	49,792

Demographics of Assessment Children

During the year, 49,792 children were involved in reports concluded as assessments. More Families with children age five and younger were referred to Family Centered Services (FCS) or had an Alternative Case (AC) opened with Children's Division.

Children of Assessment Conclusions during FY 2022 by Age



Children involved in reports concluded as assessments were split between males (49.1%) and females (50.8%). Nearly three-fourths were white (69.9%) and sixteen percent (16.5%) were black. Nine percent (9.4%) were Hispanic.


Assessment Concluded Children during FY 2022

	Agency Responded Referred to FCS or		Agency Re Serv	-	Family Declined Services Needed			
	Assess	ments	AC Case	Opened	Provi	ided	Child	Safe
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	49,792	100.0%	3,283	100.0%	1,109	100.0%	625	100.0%
Age								
< 1	2,065	4.1%	207	6.3%	61	5.5%	35	5.6%
1	2,141	4.3%	222	6.8%	53	4.8%	30	4.8%
2	2,358	4.7%	213	6.5%	70	6.3%	26	4.2%
3	2,425	4.9%	191	5.8%	48	4.3%	35	5.6%
4	2,564	5.1%	168	5.1%	43	3.9%	29	4.6%
5	3,010	6.0%	194	5.9%	70	6.3%	34	5.4%
6	3,351	6.7%	195	5.9%	73	6.6%	21	3.4%
7	3,278	6.6%	190	5.8%	82	7.4%	39	6.2%
8	3,290	6.6%	177	5.4%	70	6.3%	40	6.4%
9	3,148	6.3%	185	5.6%	61	5.5%	41	6.6%
10	2,950	5.9%	181	5.5%	60	5.4%	40	6.4%
11	2,825	5.7%	152	4.6%	61	5.5%	44	7.0%
12	3,136	6.3%	180	5.5%	62	5.6%	39	6.2%
13	3,302	6.6%	206	6.3%	74	6.7%	39	6.2%
14	3,010	6.0%	211	6.4%	81	7.3%	40	6.4%
15	2,765	5.6%	185	5.6%	60	5.4%	42	6.7%
16	2,521	5.1%	158	4.8%	52	4.7%	33	5.3%
17	1,529	3.1%	68	2.1%	26	2.3%	18	2.9%
Not available	124	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%
Gender								
Male	24,434	49.1%	1,619	49.3%	522	47.1%	318	50.9%
Female	25,285	50.8%	1,664	50.7%	586	52.8%	307	49.1%
Not available	73	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Race								
White	34,792	69.9%	2,510	76.5%	805	72.6%	429	68.6%
Black	8,192	16.5%	363	11.1%	160	14.4%	116	18.6%
Other/unknown	6,808	13.7%	410	12.5%	144	13.0%	80	12.8%
Hispanic Origin								
Hispanic	4,659	9.4%	256	7.8%	100	9.0%	67	10.7%
Not Hispanic	39,843	80.0%	2,720	82.9%	882	79.5%	509	81.4%
Unknown	5,290	10.6%	307	9.4%	127	11.5%	49	7.8%

Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect

During FY 2022, 4,244 children were involved in incidents that were concluded as substantiated for abuse/neglect. When a Children's Service Worker determines there is preponderance of evidence that abuse/neglect has occurred, up to six categories of abuse/neglect can be assigned to each child. Neglect was the most prevalent category assigned during FY 2022 investigations.

Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect during FY 2022




Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Neglect	2,307	54.4%
Physical Abuse	1,387	32.7%
Sexual Abuse	1,424	33.6%
Emotional Abuse	580	13.7%
Medical Neglect	132	3.1%
Educational Neglect	60	1.4%

Percent is the percentage of 4,244 total substantiated children. Percent total is greater than 100 because a child may be substantiated for up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Most substantiated incidents were reported in August with 485 children. August saw the highest incidence of neglect (308), and highest incidence of physical abuse (158) and September had the highest incidence of sexual abuse (147). The lowest number of substantiated children was reported in December (281).



In addition to assigning categories of abuse or neglect, a Children's Service Worker may also describe up to fifty specific findings of abuse. For neglected children, the most frequent worker descriptions are those typically associated with neglect, such as a lack of supervision and unsafe or inadequate shelter. The ten most frequently reported worker findings for neglected children are shown below.

Worker Findings for Neglected Children during FY 2021

	Number	Percent
Lack of Supervision	1,694	73.4%
Unsafe/Inadequate Shelter	499	21.6%
Unsanitary Living Conditions	443	19.2%
Blaming, Verbal Abuse, Threatening	351	15.2%
Failure to Protect	260	11.3%
Other Physical Abuse or Injury	255	11.1%
Bruises, Welts, Red Marks	213	9.2%
Other Sexual Abuse	169	7.3%
Inappropriately Giving Drugs	142	6.2%
Fondling/Touching	106	4.6%

Percent is the percentage of 2,307 substantiated neglected children. Percent total is greater than 100 because multiple findings may be found for a child.

For physically abused children, the most frequently reported worker findings were bruises, welts or red marks along with other abuse or injury. Lack of supervision was also cited quite often, suggesting that neglect may occur in some abusive situations. The ten most often reported worker findings for physically abused children are shown below.

Worker Findings for Physically Abused Children during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Bruises, Welts, Red Marks	696	48.9%
Other Physical Abuse or Injury	659	46.3%
Lack of Supervision	395	27.7%
Inappropriately Giving Drugs	221	15.5%
Abrasions, Lacerations	195	13.7%
Failure to Protect	131	9.2%
Other Sexual Abuse	121	8.5%
Unsanitary Living Conditions	112	7.9%
Unsafe/Inadequate Shelter	106	7.4%
Fractures (Other than Skull)	78	5.5%

Percent is the percentage of 1,397 substantiated physically abused children. Percent total is greater than 100 because multiple findings may be found for a child.

Other sexual abuse and fondling/touching were the most frequent worker findings for sexually abused children. Following are the ten most often reported worker findings for sexually abused children.

Worker Findings for Sexually Abused Children during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Other Sexual Abuse	886	63.9%
Fondling/Touching	682	49.2%
Intercourse	381	27.5%
Oral Sex, Sodomy	237	17.1%
Digital Penetration	175	12.6%
Lack of Supervision	143	10.3%
Blaming, Verbal Abuse, Threatening	101	7.3%
Pornography	87	6.3%
Other Physical Abuse or Injury	79	5.7%
Inappropriately Giving Drugs	78	5.6%

Percent is the percentage of 1,424 substantiated sexually abused children. Percent total is greater than 100 because multiple findings may be found for a child.

Observed Family Characteristics

Information gathered during an investigation of child abuse/neglect can help identify factors which place a child at risk for abuse/neglect. In addition to establishing an investigative conclusion for each child, Children's Service Workers may designate up to four Observed Family Characteristics for each reported incident. These characteristics may indicate which services could help prevent the recurrence of abuse/neglect. It is important to note that these are not absolute counts. For instance, a problem with alcohol or other drugs may be difficult to detect during the course of an investigation.

The majority of families involved in substantiated incidents had an extended family support and adequate living conditions. The top twenty family characteristics are listed below.

Characteristics of Families Involved in Substantiated Incidents during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Extended Family Support System	1,147	36.9%
Adequate Living Conditions	904	29.1%
Single Parent Household	688	22.1%
Amenable to Services	605	19.4%
Community/Cultural Support	548	17.6%
Other Drug Related Problem(s)	497	16.0%
Lack of Parenting Skills	431	13.9%
Appropriate Child Development Knowledge	315	10.1%
Problem Solving Skills	294	9.5%
Appropriate Parenting Skills	284	9.1%
Domestic Violence	281	9.0%
Stable Family Relationships/Household	246	7.9%
Manages Finances Well	214	6.9%
Dangerous Living Conditions	209	6.7%
No History of Violence	178	5.7%
Alcohol Related Problem(s)	160	5.1%
Stable Marriage	137	4.4%
Good Physical/Mental Health	131	4.2%
Insufficient/Misuse of Income	110	3.5%
New Baby in Home/Pregnancy	109	3.5%

Percent is the percentage of 3,111 total substantiated incidents. Percent total is greater than 100 because up to four family characteristics may be reported per incident.

Demographics of Substantiated Children

Of the substantiated children during FY 2022, over half (60.2%) were female and forty percent (39.8%) were male. More sexually abused children were female. Neglect was more prevalent among younger children while sexual abuse occurred more often among older children.

Child Abuse/Neglect Children during FY 2022 by Category of Abuse

					Phys	sical	Sex	ual	Emot	ional	Me	dical	Educa	tional
	Substa	ntiated	Neg	lect	Abı	use	Ab	use	Ab	use	Neg	glect	Neg	lect
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	4,244	100.0%	2,307	100.0%	1,387	100.0%	1,424	100.0%	580	100.0%	132	100.0%	60	100.0%
_														
Age														
<1	315	7.4%	218	9.4%	167	12.0%	3	0.2%		3.4%	24	18.2%	1	1.7%
1	252	5.9%	211	9.1%	78	5.6%	6	0.4%		5.0%	9	6.8%		0.0%
2	232	5.5%	196	8.5%	82	5.9%	6	0.4%	20	3.4%	10	7.6%	0	0.0%
3	226	5.3%	185	8.0%	67	4.8%	17	1.2%	27	4.7%	9	6.8%	0	0.0%
4	205	4.8%	149	6.5%	56	4.0%	33	2.3%	23	4.0%	5	3.8%	3	5.0%
5	222	5.2%	152	6.6%	78	5.6%	41	2.9%	23	4.0%	8	6.1%	3	5.0%
6	162	3.8%	112	4.9%	48	3.5%	35	2.5%	29	5.0%	2	1.5%	3	5.0%
7	185	4.4%	109	4.7%	62	4.5%	44	3.1%	25	4.3%	11	8.3%	4	6.7%
8	212	5.0%	135	5.9%	65	4.7%	50	3.5%	24	4.1%	5	3.8%	2	3.3%
9	188	4.4%	103	4.5%	68	4.9%	52	3.7%	39	6.7%	3	2.3%	2	3.3%
10	209	4.9%	108	4.7%	63	4.5%	75	5.3%	42	7.2%	7	5.3%	5	8.3%
11	199	4.7%	90	3.9%	56	4.0%	87	6.1%	32	5.5%	6	4.5%	6	10.0%
12	240	5.7%	109	4.7%	52	3.7%	132	9.3%	37	6.4%	5	3.8%	9	15.0%
13	314	7.4%	128	5.5%	90	6.5%	166	11.7%	50	8.6%	6	4.5%	6	10.0%
14	326	7.7%	113	4.9%	107	7.7%	189	13.3%	50	8.6%	6	4.5%	5	8.3%
15	328	7.7%	87	3.8%	112	8.1%	213	15.0%	48	8.3%	8	6.1%	5	8.3%
16	261	6.1%	76	3.3%	89	6.4%	159	11.2%	42	7.2%	5	3.8%	5	8.3%
17	167	3.9%	25	1.1%	47	3.4%	116	8.1%	20	3.4%	3	2.3%	1	1.7%
Not Available	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Gender Male	1 600	20.00/	1 1 2 2	48.7%	706	50.9%	174	12.2%	257	44.3%	69	52.3%	27	45.00/
	1,688	39.8%	1,123		681									45.0%
Female	2,555	60.2%	1,183	51.3%		49.1%	1,250	87.8%		55.7%	63	47.7%		55.0%
Unknown	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Race														
White	3,212	75.7%	1,677	72.7%	1,035	74.6%	1,201	84.3%	410	70.7%	99	75.0%	48	80.0%
Black	536	12.6%	306	13.3%	190	13.7%	127	8.9%	81	14.0%	17	12.9%	6	10.0%
Other/Unknown	496	11.7%	324	14.0%	162	11.7%	96	6.7%	89	15.3%	16	12.1%	6	10.0%
Llianonia Oriala														
Hispanic Origin	250	0.50/	172	7.50/	100	7 20/	167	11 70/	F.0	0.6%	-	F 20/	_	10.00/
Hispanic	359	8.5%	173	7.5%	100	7.2%	167	11.7%		8.6%	7	5.3%		10.0%
Not Hispanic	3,479	82.0%	1,873	81.2%	1,155	83.3%	1,167	82.0%		30.3%	118	89.4%		85.0%
Unknown	406	9.6%	261	11.3%	132	9.5%	90	6.3%	54	9.3%	7	5.3%	3	5.0%

Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities


Missouri has a strong capacity to become aware of fatalities resulting from child abuse/neglect. If the medical examiner or coroner determines that the child died of natural causes while under medical care for an established natural disease, the coroner, medical examiner, or physician are required to notify the division of the child's death. In all other cases, the medical examiner or coroner accepts the report for investigation, immediately notifies the division of the child's death as required under section 58.452, RSMo, and reports the findings to the child fatality review panel established pursuant to section 210.192,RSMo.

Child Abuse and Neglect fatalities reported by the Children's Division include fatalities of children under the age of 18 for which a report of child abuse and neglect has been received by CANHU and which are classified as substantiated based on a Preponderance of the Evidence evidentiary standard of proof as stipulated in 210.110, RSMo.

In Missouri, there are three entities within state government responsible for child fatality information: Department of Health and Senior Services' Bureau of Vital Statistics, Department of Social Services Children's Division and the Child Fatality Review Program. All three exchange and match child fatality data in order to ensure accuracy throughout the system. However, the Bureau of Vital Statistics, Children's Division and the Child Fatality Review Program serve very different functions and, therefore, different classifications and timing periods apply, when child fatality data is reported. Therefore, totals included in this report may differ from totals reported by the other entities.


The number of fatalities reported during any given year may change as a result of pending investigations, changes in conclusions and deaths not reported in a timely manner. During fiscal year 2022, 49 children died as a result of child abuse/neglect.

The following chart represents the number of child fatalities for fiscal years 2018 to 2022, when abuse and/or neglect was associated with the child's death.



Types of abuse most often found to be substantiated in CA/N fatalities were neglect (63.3%) and physical abuse (34.7%).

Substantiated Fatalities by Category of Abuse/Neglect during FY 2022



Substantiated Fatalities by Category of Abuse/Neglect during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Neglect	32	63.3%
Physical Abuse	17	34.7%
Medical Neglect	0	0.0%
Emotional Abuse	0	0.0%
Sexual Abuse	0	0.0%

Percent is the percent of the 49 substantiated fatalities. Percent total is greater than 100 because a child may be substantiated for up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Of the children involved in substantiated fatalities in FY 2022, the many (49.0%) were under a year old. The highest incidence of child deaths occurred in August, December and January.


Substantiated Fatalities during FY 2022

Age	Number	Percent
< 1	24	49.0%
1	4	8.2%
2	4	8.2%
3	4	8.2%
4	2	4.1%
5	1	2.0%
6	1	2.0%
7	0	0.0%
8	1	2.0%
9	1	2.0%
10	1	2.0%
11	0	0.0%
12	0	0.0%
13	1	2.0%
14	2	4.1%
15	0	0.0%
16	1	2.0%
17	2	4.1%
Total	49	100.0%

Sex	Number	Percent
Male	29	59.2%
Female	20	40.8%
Total	49	100.0%

Race	Number	Percent
White	33	67.3%
Black	7	14.3%
Other	9	18.4%
Total	49	100.0%

Substantiated Fatalities by Month of Death during FY 2022



Perpetrators

Perpetrator Demographics

For FY 2022 substantiated reports, the majority of perpetrators were white, male and between ages 20 and 40.

Substantiated Perpetrators during FY 2022

Age	Number	Percent
<20	195	5.1%
20-29	924	24.1%
30-39	1431	37.3%
40-49	654	17.1%
50-59	294	7.7%
60-69	127	3.3%
70+	51	1.3%
Unknown	156	4.1%
Total	3,832	100.0%

Race	Number	Percent
White	2,916	76.1%
Black	512	13.4%
Am. Indian/AK Native	16	0.4%
Asian	7	0.2%
Nat. Hawaiian/Pac. Islander	7	0.2%
Other/unknown	374	9.8%
Total	3,832	100.0%

Sex	Number	Percent
Female	1,372	35.8%
Male	2,314	60.4%
Unknown	146	3.8%
Total	3,832	100.0%

A perpetrator may be involved in more than one incident, and in more than one relationship type, during the report year. A natural parent and parent's partner accounted for the majority of both known alleged and substantiated perpetrators involved in an investigation or an assessment.

Perpetrators by Relationship to Child during FY 2022

	Alleged	Substantiated	Percent Found		
	Perpetrators	Perpetrators	Substantiated		
Natural parent	74,685	2,901	3.9%		
Parent/Caretaker`s Partner	8,732	430	4.9%		
Step-parent	6,428	321	5.0%		
Grandparent	4,560	240	5.3%		
Aunt/Uncle/Cousin (Also Great)	2,948	228	7.7%		
Adoptive parent	1,719	51	3.0%		
Friend	1,400	158	11.3%		
Sibling/half, step-sibling	1,306	69	5.3%		
Legal Guardian	1,207	16	1.3%		
Institution/staff	638	63	9.9%		
Foster parent	901	27	3.0%		
Natural Child	892	37	4.1%		
No Relationship Exists	708	33	4.7%		
Alleged Father	684	31	4.5%		
Day care provider	615	43	7.0%		
Other Caregiver	388	35	9.0%		
School/personnel	557	65	11.7%		
Other relative	353	26	7.4%		
Neighbor	237	22	9.3%		
Putative Father	58	10	17.2%		
Other	11,245	611	5.4%		

Perpetrators

Observed Perpetrator Characteristics

Children's Service Workers may indicate up to four Observed Perpetrator Characteristics for each substantiated perpetrator of child abuse/neglect. These characteristics are used to assist in determining which services may be beneficial to a family. For instance, if perpetrators have unrealistic expectations of children, providing information on child development can help teach more appropriate disciplinary techniques.

The most prevalent perpetrator characteristic in FY 2022 investigations was drug related problem(s) (20.9%).

Characteristics of Substantiated Perpetrators during FY 2022

	Number	Percent
Other Drug Related Problem(s)	802	20.9%
No Apparent Mental/Emotional Disturbance	592	15.4%
Adequate Support System	532	13.9%
History of Criminal Behavior	478	12.5%
Mental/Emotional Disturbance	468	12.2%
Alcohol Related Problem(s)	277	7.2%
Unemployed	272	7.1%
Amenable to Services	266	6.9%
Pattern of Violent Behavior	249	6.5%
Undetermined	215	5.6%
Unrealistic Expectations of Child	210	5.5%
Loss of Control During Discipline	168	4.4%
Financial Problems	164	4.3%
High School Education or Higher	145	3.8%
Immaturity	137	3.6%
Parental History of Abuse/Neglect as a Child	132	3.4%
Low Self Esteem	96	2.5%
No One to Call on in Time of Crisis	61	1.6%
Institutional Report/Unknown Perpetrator	44	1.1%
Less than High School Education	27	0.7%
Illness	21	0.5%
Incapacity Due to Physical Handicap	9	0.2%
Mental Retardation	8	0.2%
Other	69	1.8%

Percentage is the percentage of 3,832 substantiated perpetrators. Percent total is greater than 100 because a worker may list up to four characteristics for each perpetrator.

The Children's Division has several programs designed to provide treatment and to help prevent future occurrences of child abuse and neglect.

Family-Centered Services (FCS)

FCS are provided to families and children in their own homes when a child abuse/neglect investigation has been concluded Substantiated, Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated or Family Assessment-Services Needed. These services are also provided to families who voluntarily seek help and to families whose children are placed out of the home. Services are provided following a family-centered assessment to identify risk issues, family strengths and service needs. A family treatment plan is developed with the family to help them change the conditions which brought them to the attention of CD. Services are designed to help the family direct their own affairs and provide suitable care for the children. The primary purpose of FCS is to improve and maintain the family unit or to reunify the family when alternative care services are provided. Services include a range of treatment and support services. The family treatment plan determines whether services are provided by CD staff and/or purchased or provided by community agencies. Purchased services include day care, family and/or individual counseling, home-based family-centered services, evaluation and diagnosis, homemaker services and respite care, among others.

During FY 2022, 90 of the 3,201 FCS cases opened were the result of a substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect report. Due to a policy change in March 2017, staff no longer open FCS functions on families when children are placed in alternative care.

FCS Cases Opened

FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 20% 0% 40% 80% 100% 60% FY 2022 FY 2021 FY 2020 FY 2019 FY 2018 ■ Substantiated CA/N 90 102 139 166 184 Other* 3,111 3,679 4,466 6,353 9,010

^{*}Other includes Family Requests Preventive Services, Court Order, Newborn Crisis Assessment, Family Assessment and Services Needed, and Pending Investigation/Assessment.

Intensive In-Home Services (IIS)

IIS is designed to prevent unnecessary out-of-home placement of children. An in-home specialist provides a variety of services to the entire family to address the crisis that would normally necessitate out-of-home care for a child. Services are delivered immediately at the time of crisis and are time-limited, usually four to six weeks. In-home specialists carry small caseloads of two families. This enables them to spend as much time with the family as needed. The emphasis of IIS is on strengthening the entire family by improving its problem-solving capabilities and teaching them necessary life skills. Among other services, families may receive family therapy, individual and marital counseling, parenting education, child development training, household maintenance and nutritional training, job readiness training and referrals to other community resources. Families authorized for IIS may have children who have been abused or neglected, have committed a status offense, have displayed delinquent behavior, or who are seriously emotionally disturbed and are at imminent risk of being removed from the home. This service is voluntary and at least one caretaker must be willing to participate.

Out-of-Home Placement

Out-of-home care is provided in situations where a caregiver(s) is incapable of providing a child or children with adequate social, emotional and physical care. Out-of-home is defined as care provided in licensed foster or approved relative family homes or kinship care, in licensed residential facilities, or in licensed foster group homes. The service provides substitute settings for children. Children are placed only after it is determined that they cannot remain at home.

Child Care

Assistance with child care services through payment of full or partial cost for eligible families is based on a sliding scale fee system. The primary purpose of the subsidized child care program is to enable families to obtain and retain employment, or the skills necessary to obtain employment, with the ultimate goal of breaking the cycle of poverty. Child care is to be considered an on-going benefit to the family's efforts of self-sufficiency. Additionally, protective services child care is available for children who are receiving preventive services or treatment for child abuse or neglect as part of the family's treatment plan.

Crisis Nurseries

The first state-funded crisis nurseries began providing services to children and their families in May 1993. There are nine of these facilities. Crisis nurseries are child care facilities which protect children by providing a safe environment at a time when the chances of abuse/neglect in the home are increased. Parents voluntarily request and arrange this service directly with the crisis nursery.

Child Abuse/Neglect Review Board

Children's Service Workers reach a conclusion on each child abuse/neglect investigation and notify the parents and alleged perpetrator (if different than the parents) by letter of the conclusion. In some investigations where the conclusion is substantiated, the alleged perpetrator may disagree with the finding. The alleged perpetrator may appeal to the Child Abuse/Neglect Review Board for review of the investigation by contacting the local CD office within 60 days of the notification of the finding. If there are pending criminal charges, the request may be made 60 days from the court's final disposition or dismissal of charges. If convicted, there is no appeal.

The Child Abuse/Neglect Review Board consists of six boards of nine private citizens appointed by the Governor. These boards each meet monthly to review child abuse/neglect appeals. They listen to testimony from CD staff, the alleged perpetrator, and representatives of the child and then decide to uphold or reverse the original CD decision. During FY 2022, the review board heard 432 cases and upheld almost eighty percent (78.4%) of the cases. Following the Child Abuse and Neglect Review Board's disposition, the alleged perpetrator has 60 days to request a judicial review.

Calls from Reporters

When a reported concern does not meet the criteria of a report of Child Abuse and Neglect (Investigation or Assessment), hotline staff document the concerns and, based on the topic of the call, provide referral contact information, as available, directly to the caller. In short, callers receive the referral contact information directly from the hotline worker rather than being contacted with it later by someone in the field. This immediate communication regarding available resources to the caller allows for a quicker referral to the family through collaboration with professional partners. This process provides assurance that local offices can respond in the most efficient manner possible to reports that meet the statutory definition of Child Abuse and Neglect. Reports received from reporters are referred to field staff if there is an open case on the family.

Newborn Crisis Assessments and Services

CD collaborates with the Department of Health in conjunction with the Department of Mental Health and the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education through various workgroups and initiatives to address the increasing problem of substance abusing pregnant women and drug exposed infants. Missouri law requires the Departments of Health, Mental Health, Elementary and Secondary Education, and Social Services (CD) to provide a non-punitive system of educational and treatment services related to the prenatal consumption of alcohol and other drugs. On July 22, 2016, (P.L. 114-198) The Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act (CARA) established a comprehensive, coordinated, balanced strategy through enhanced grant programs that would expand prevention and education efforts while also promoting treatment and recovery. CARA amended sections of the Child Abuse Prevention Treatment Act (CAPTA) to remove the term "illegal" as applied to substance abuse affecting the identified infant and to specifically require that Plans of Safe Care address the needs of both infants and their families/caretakers.

In most instances, CD receives a Newborn Crisis Assessment, via the CA/N hotline, from the physician or health care provider, who requests CD to conduct an assessment to determine the caretaker's ability to care for an infant, or to provide protective services as directed by a physician. A physician or healthcare provider may also report non-drug related concerns about releasing a newborn from the hospital. Newborn Crisis Assessments are accepted until the child is one year of age if seen by a medical professional within the previous twenty-four hours of reporting.

In FY 2022, the Division received a total of 6,414 Newborn Crisis Assessments. Children reported to the Division for abuse and neglect are sometimes identified during the investigation process as having been exposed prenatally to drugs. In FY 2022, 284 children reported to CANHU were subsequently identified as drug-exposed.

Appendices

Appendix A: FY 2022 Reported Incidents by Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SUBSTAN		UNSUE		UNS		ASSESS		ОТНЕ		TOTAL
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	4	3.1%	0	0.0%	41	32.3%	80	63.0%	2	1.6%	127
	ATCHISON	3	8.8%	0	0.0%	10	29.4%	20	58.8%	1	2.9%	34
	BUCHANAN	72	5.1%	6	0.4%	352	24.8%	926	65.3%	61	4.3%	1,417
	CALDWELL	8	10.8%	2	2.7%	21	28.4%	43	58.1%	0	0.0%	74
	CARROLL	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	32	30.5%	67	63.8%	2	1.9%	105
	CASS	63	7.0%	11	1.2%	232	26.0%	573	64.1%	15	1.7%	894
	CHARITON	3	4.7%	6	9.4%	8	12.5%	46	71.9%	1	1.6%	64
	CLAY	60	3.2%	53	2.8%	451	23.7%	1,285	67.5%	55	2.9%	1,904
	CLINTON	8	4.3%	4	2.2%	53	28.5%	119	64.0%	2	1.1%	186
	COOPER	10	6.9%	2	1.4%	37	25.5%	95	65.5%	1	0.7%	145
	DAVIESS	4	6.1%	3	4.5%	18	27.3%	39	59.1%	2	3.0%	66
	DE KALB	6	9.5%	2	3.2%	14	22.2%	41	65.1%	0	0.0%	63
	GENTRY	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	11	18.0%	46	75.4%	3	4.9%	61
	GRUNDY	7	5.7%	5	4.1%	15	12.2%	95	77.2%	1	0.8%	123
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	3	3.3%	16	17.8%	69	76.7%	2	2.2%	90
	HOLT	2	4.4%	0	0.0%	14	31.1%	26	57.8%	3	6.7%	45
	JOHNSON	33	7.8%	2	0.5%	113	26.8%	268	63.7%	5	1.2%	421
	LAFAYETTE	28	9.0%	7	2.3%	94	30.3%	175	56.5%	6	1.9%	310
	LINN	12	10.2%	7	5.9%	27	22.9%	72	61.0%	0	0.0%	118
	LIVINGSTON	22	13.9%	9	5.7%	27	17.1%	98	62.0%	2	1.3%	158
	MERCER	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	5	23.8%	15	71.4%	0	0.0%	21
	NODAWAY	16	10.7%	3	2.0%	36	24.0%	92	61.3%	3	2.0%	150
	PETTIS	31	6.2%	2	0.4%	133	26.7%	325	65.1%	8	1.6%	499
	PLATTE	26	4.3%	8	1.3%	151	25.1%	405	67.4%	11	1.8%	601
	PUTNAM	4	8.2%	0	0.0%	5	10.2%	39	79.6%	1	2.0%	49
	RAY	11	4.9%	0	0.0%	57	25.4%	155	69.2%	1	0.4%	224
	SALINE	27	7.7%	5	1.4%	82	23.4%	231	66.0%	5	1.4%	350
	SULLIVAN	1	2.6%	2	5.3%	9	23.7%	26	68.4%	0	0.0%	38
	WORTH	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	9
	REGION TOTAL	468	5.6%	142	1.7%	2,066	24.8%	5,476	65.6%	194	2.3%	8,346
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	30	11.2%	15	5.6%	46	17.2%	174	64.9%	3	1.1%	268
	AUDRAIN	21	7.7%	2	0.7%	61	22.3%	187	68.2%	3	1.1%	274
	BOONE	66	4.3%	18	1.2%	423	27.5%	1,004	65.3%	26	1.7%	1,537
	CALLAWAY	34	6.2%	16	2.9%	126	23.0%	363	66.4%	8	1.5%	547
	CLARK	12	18.2%	4	6.1%	6	9.1%	44	66.7%	0	0.0%	66
	COLE	26	4.6%	19	3.4%	162	28.7%	355	62.9%	2	0.4%	564
	FRANKLIN	77	7.5%	18	1.8%	234	22.8%	676	65.9%	21	2.0%	1,026
	GASCONADE	6	3.8%	5	3.1%	30	18.8%	109	68.1%	10	6.3%	160
	HOWARD	10	14.9%	3	4.5%	20	29.9%	34	50.7%	0	0.0%	67
	KNOX	6	14.0%	2	4.7%	5	11.6%	28	65.1%	2	4.7%	43
	LEWIS	9	7.1%	6	4.7%	24	18.9%	84	66.1%	4	3.1%	127
	LINCOLN	61	9.6%	10	1.6%	133	20.9%	427	67.0%	6	0.9%	637
	MACON	19	12.0%	1	0.6%	32	20.3%	103	65.2%	3	1.9%	158
	MARION	37	8.2%	31	6.8%	85	18.8%	283	62.5%	17	3.8%	453
	MONROE	7	7.1%	13	13.1%	9	9.1%	67	67.7%	3	3.0%	99
	MONTGOMERY	11	8.7%	5	3.9%	28	22.0%	82	64.6%	1	0.8%	127
	OSAGE	8	8.1%	1	1.0%	28	28.3%	58	58.6%	4	4.0%	99
	PIKE	16	9.3%	4	2.3%	35	20.3%	115	66.9%	2	1.2%	172
	RALLS	8	8.4%	9	9.5%	10	10.5%	66	69.5%	2	2.1%	95
	RANDOLPH	25	9.4%	10	3.7%	71	26.6%	155	58.1%	6	2.2%	267
	SCHUYLER	5	12.8%	4	10.3%	10	25.6%	20	51.3%	0	0.0%	39
	SCOTLAND	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	4	15.4%	18	69.2%	0	0.0%	26
	SHELBY	12	16.7%	2	2.8%	9	12.5%	49	68.1%	0	0.0%	72
	ST CHARLES	176	8.4%	14	0.7%	528	25.1%	1,319	62.8%	63	3.0%	2,100
	WARREN	28	6.7%	6	1.4%	112	26.9%	262	63.0%	8	1.9%	416
	REGION TOTAL	714	7.6%	218	2.3%	2,231	23.6%	6,082	64.4%	194	2.1%	9,439
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	10	7.6%	2	1.5%	21	16.0%	95	72.5%	3	2.3%	131
333111LA31	BUTLER	68	11.0%	14	2.3%	172	27.7%	360	58.0%	7	1.1%	621
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	35	6.3%	6	1.1%	99	17.9%	397	71.9%	15	2.7%	552
	CARTER	6	11.1%	1	1.9%	17	31.5%	27	50.0%	3	5.6%	54
	CRAWFORD	18	7.1%	8	3.1%	53	20.9%	167	65.7%	8	3.1%	254
	DENT	8	4.8%	6	3.6%	36	21.4%	114	67.9%	4	2.4%	168
	DUNKLIN	16	3.9%	5	1.2%	132	32.3%	244	59.7%	12	2.9%	409
	DOMNEHA	10	3.570	,	1.2/0	132	32.3/0	277	33.770	12	2.570	703

Appendix A: FY 2022 Reported Incidents by Conclusion

IRON	REGION	COUNTY	SUBSTAN	ITIATED	UNSUB	. PSI	UNS	UB.	ASSESS	MENT	ОТН	IER	TOTAL
EFFERSON 122 6.6% 98 5.3% 273 14.9% 1,302 70.9% 42 2.3% 1.87 1.88 MARIES 10 14.1% 1 1.4% 13 18.3% 46 64.8% 1 1.4% 1.4% 1.3 18.3% 46 66.6% 2 2.7% 1.88 MARIES 10 14.1% 1 1.4% 13 18.3% 46 64.8% 1 1.4% 1.2 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 1.2% 16.2 1.2% 1.2% 16.2 1.2%	SOUTHEAST	HOWELL	45	7.7%	23	4.0%	126	21.7%	373	64.2%	14	2.4%	581
MADISON 15 8.0% 3 1.6% 38 20.2% 127 67.6% 5 2.7% 14% 77.4% 14% 131 18.3% 466 64.8% 1 1.4% 17.4% 131 18.3% 466 64.8% 1 1.4% 17.4% 131 18.3% 466 64.8% 1 1.4% 17.4% 131 18.3% 466 64.8% 1 1.4% 17.4% 131 18.5% 1		IRON	10	8.1%	7	5.7%	31	25.2%	73	59.3%	2	1.6%	123
MADISON 15 8.0% 3 1.6% 38 20.2% 127 67.6% 5 2.7% 14% 77 MASISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 17 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 17 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 18 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 18 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 18 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 18 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 12% 18 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 22.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 18 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.6% 32 3.6.4% 19 1.2% 15 1.5% 12 73.1% 8 4.2% 12 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.6% 19 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 2 1.6% 19 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 12 MISSISSPIPI 15 6.3% 12 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 12 MISSISSPIPI 15 MISSISSPIPI 15 9.3% 12 MISSISSPIPI 15 MISS		JEFFERSON	122		98	5.3%	273	14.9%			42	2.3%	1.837
MARIES 10 14.1% 1 1.4% 13 18.3% 46 64.8% 1 1.4% 15 18.5 15 19.1% 15 9.3% 2 1.2% 36 0.2% 2.2% 107 66.0% 2 1.2% 152 162 NEW MADRID 18 9.6% 9 4.8% 42 22.3% 117 62.2% 2 1.1% 152 NEW MADRID 18 9.6% 9 4.8% 42 22.3% 117 62.2% 2 1.1% 152 NEW MADRID 18 9.6% 9 4.8% 42 22.3% 117 62.2% 2 1.1% 152 NEW MADRID 19 1.2% 15 NEW MADRID 19 NEW MADRID 1													
MISSISPIP 15 9 3% 2 1.2% 36 22.3% 117 66.0% 2 1.2% 152 New MADRID 18 9-6% 9 4.8% 442 2.3% 117 62.2% 2 1.1% 188 New MADRID 18 9-6% 9 4.8% 442 2.3% 117 62.2% 2 1.1% 188 New MADRID 18 18 9-6% 9 4.8% 42 2.3% 117 62.2% 2 1.1% 188 New MADRID 19 11.5% 3 3.4% 23 26.4% 51 58.6% 3 1.4% 22 PERRY 8 4.4% 10 0.6% 23 16.8% 51 22.73.1% 8 4.8% 167 PHELPS 41 9.3% 21 4.8% 99 2.25% 271 61.6% 8 4.8% 167 PHELPS 41 9.3% 21 4.8% 99 2.25% 271 61.6% 8 4.8% 167 PHELPS 41 9.3% 21 4.8% 99 2.25% 271 61.6% 8 4.8% 167 PHELPS 10 16.3% 2 1.3% 130 3.9% 130 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 MELPS 10 10 1.3% 2 1.3% 138 3.9% 130 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 MELPS 10 10 1.3% 2 1.3% 130 3.9% 130 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 MELPS 10 10 1.3% 2 1.3% 130 3.9% 130 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 MELPS 10 10 1.3% 2 1.2% 17 2.70% 132 50.8% 3 1.4% 46.1 43.8% 2 1.2% 17 2.70% 132 50.8% 3 1.4% 46.1 43.8% 2 1.2% 17 2.70% 132 50.8% 3 1.4% 46.1 43.8% 2 1.2% 17 2.70% 132 50.8% 3 1.1% 46.1 43.8% 2 1.2% 17 2.70% 132 50.8% 3 1.1% 46.1 43.8% 2 1.2% 10 2.2% 11.5% 24.9% 525 61.5% 24 2.8% 824 515 61.5% 82 51.6% 124 515 61.5% 82 51.6% 124 515 61.5% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 125 61.5% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 125 61.5% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6% 124 51.6% 82 51.6%													
NEW MADRID OREGON 10 115% 3 3.4% 23 26.4% 515 58.6% 0 0.00% 87 PEMISCOT 17 7.7% 8 3.4% 23 12.4% 151 68.0% 3 1.4% 222 PERRY 8 4.8% 10 0.0% 28 18.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 16 0.0% 28 18.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 16 0.0% 28 18.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 16 0.0% 28 18.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 16 0.0% 28 18.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 16 0.0% 28 18.8% 127 73.1% 16 1.6% 18 1.2% 12 1.2% 16 1.6% 18 1.2% 12 1.2% 16 1.6% 18 1.2% 14 1.2% 15 1.2% 15 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 19 1.2% 17 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 19 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 19 1.2% 18 1.2% 18 1.2% 19 1.2% 18 1.2% 19 1.2% 18 1.2% 19 1.2%													
OREGON 10 11.5% 3 3.4% 23 26.4% 51 58.6% 0 0.0% 87 PEMISCOT 17 7.7% 8 3.6% 43 19.4% 515 68.0% 3 1.4% 225 PERRY 8 4.48% 1 0.6% 28 16.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 167 PHELPS 41 9.3% 21 4.8% 99 22.5% 27.1 61.6% 8 1.8% 446 PULASKI 44 8.4% 25 4.8% 1128 24.5% 310 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 REYNOLDS 9 13.2% 1 1.5% 13 19.1% 41 60.3% 4 5.9% 568 RIPLEY 10 6.3% 2 1.3% 38 23.9% 103 64.8% 6 3.8% 159 SCOTTON 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 11.5% 13 19.1% 41 60.3% 4 2.8% 159 SCOTTON 9 14.3% 2 3.2% 17 27.0% 32 50.8% 3 4.4% 63 ST FRANCOIS 68 8.0% 24 2.28% 213 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 461 STANANOON 9 14.3% 2 3.2% 17 27.0% 32 50.8% 3 4.4% 63 ST FRANCOIS 68 8.0% 24 2.28% 213 24.9% 507 66.6% 13 1.1% 23 ST FORMORE 6 4.8% 3 2.4% 23 22.4% 86 68.8% 2 1.6% 125 STODDARD 22 8.3% 51 5.5% 66.8% 8 1.8% 424 WASHINGTON 22 8.3% 51 1.5% 76 22.6% 223 66.4% 14 1.2% 336 WAYNE 18 12.28% 10 7.1% 22 5.56% 90 63.8% 1 1.1% 338 WAYNE 18 12.28% 10 7.1% 22 5.6% 90 63.8% 1 0.7% 141 **REGION TOTAL** 738 7.8% 306 3.2% 2,028 21.3% 6,228 65.4% 221 2.3% 9.521 BARTY 7 1.8% 30 2.5% 29 24.4% 79 66.3% 4 2.8% 142 BARTS 4 2.8% 112 8.5% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.3% 142 BARTS 4 2.8% 12 2.8% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.3% 142 BARTS 4 2.8% 12 2.8% 12 2.4% 19 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BARTS 4 2.8% 12 2.8% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 90 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 142 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 2 1.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 2 1.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 1 0.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 1 0.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 24.9 66.5% 1 0.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 24.9 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 24.9 66.2% 1 0.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 4 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 24.9 66.2% 1 0.3% 13.8 143 BARTS 5 4 2.8% 12 2.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 24.9 66.2% 1 0.0% 11.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.													
PEMISCOT 17 7.7% 8 3.6% 43 19.4% 151 68.0% 3 1.4% 222 PERRY 8 4.8% 1 0.0% 28 16.8% 122 73.1% 8 4.8% 167 PHELPS 41 9.3% 21 4.8% 99 22.5% 271 61.6% 16 3.1% 523 REPUIDLOS 9 13.2% 1 1.5% 13 19.1% 41 60.3% 6 3.8% 159 RIPLEY 10 6.3% 2 1.3% 38 2.9% 103 64.8% 6 3.8% 159 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.1% 461 SHANNON 9 14.3% 2 3.2% 17 2.70% 32 50.8% 3 4.8% 636 STFRANCOIS 68 8.0% 24 2.8% 213 24.9% 525 61.5% 24 2.8% 854 STE GENEVIEVE 6 4.8% 3 2.4% 28 2.21 24.9% 66.8% 2 1.1% 461 STEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 63 2.1% 17 2.0% 32 50.8% 3 1.1% 285 STODDARD 23 8.1% 2 0.7% 33 11.6% 224 78.6% 3 1.1% 285 TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 65 2.5% 143 57.0% 11 1.4% 285 TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 65 2.5% 143 57.0% 11 1.4% 285 TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 65 2.5% 143 57.0% 11 1.4% 255 WASHINGTON 28 8.3% 51 1.5% 76 22.6% 233 66.4% 4 1.2% 336 WAYNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 1.0% 10.7% 141 **REGION TOTAL** 78 7.8% 306 3.2% 2.028 21.3% 6,228 65.4% 221 2.3% 9,521 SOUTHWEST 8ARPY 7 1.8% 10 2.6% 116 2.99% 24.99 64.2% 6 1.0 8% 119 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 90 63.8% 1 1.0 8% 119 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 63.3% 1 1.6% 224 63.3% 124 63.3%													
PERRY PHELPS A1 93% PHELPS A1 128 PHELPS A1 128 PHELPS A1 63% PHELPS A1 63% PHELPS A1 13% PHELPS A1 63% PHELPS A1 13% PHELPS A1		OREGON	10	11.5%	3	3.4%	23	26.4%	51	58.6%	0	0.0%	87
PERRY PHEIPS PHEIPS A1 9.3% 21 4.8% 92.25% 271 61.6% 8 1.8% 44 0.4% PULASKI 44 8.4% 25 4.8% 128 24.5% 310 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 REYNOLDS RIPLEY 10 6.3% 42 1.3% 138 23.9% 103 64.8% 6 3.8% 159 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 11.5% 13 19.1% 41 60.3% 42 1.3% 18 23.9% 103 66.5% 6 3.8% 159 SCOTT 30 6.5% 10 0.2% 11.5% 13 12.4% 10 6.3% 42 1.3% 18 23.9% 10 36.6% 6 3.8% 159 SCOTT SHANNOOLS 6 8 8.0% 24 2.28% 17 27.0% 32 50.5% 3 4.4% 6 33 ST FRANCOIS 6 8 8.0% 24 2.28% 123 22.4% 25 1.5% 26 1.5% 24 2.8% 854 STE GENVELYE 6 4.8% 13 2.4% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 12 2.24% 13 2.24% 14 1.4% 15 2.25% 15 2.		PEMISCOT	17	7.7%	8	3.6%	43	19.4%	151	68.0%	3	1.4%	222
PHELPS 41 9.3% 21 4.3% 99 22.5% 271 61.6% 8 1.8% 440 PULSKI 44 8.4% 25 4.3% 128 24.5% 310 59.3% 45.5% 533 REYNOLDS 9 13.2% 1 1.5% 13 19.1% 41 60.3% 4 5.9% 68 RIPLEY 10 6.3% 2 1.3% 138 23.9% 103 64.8% 63.8% 159 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 461 SHANNON 9 14.3% 2 3.2% 17 2.70% 32 50.8% 3 4.8% 63 ST FRANCOIS 68 8.0% 24 2.3% 22.4% 23 25.6% 21 5.5% 24 2.8% 84 515 ST FRANCOIS 68 8.0% 24 2.3% 21.0% 25.5 61.5% 24 2.8% 84 515 ST FRANCOIS 68 8.0% 24 2.3% 24.8% 28 2.24% 28 6 68.8% 2 1.6% 12.5 STODDJARD 23 81.3% 2 0.7% 33 11.6% 224 78.6% 3 11.1% 285 STODDJARD 23 81.3% 2 0.7% 33 11.6% 224 78.6% 3 11.1% 285 STODDJARD 23 81.3% 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 4.4% 25.1 WASHINGTON 28 8.3% 5 1.5% 76 22.6% 223 66.4% 4 1.2% 33 41.0% 24.0% 12		PFRRY	8	4.8%	1	0.6%	28	16.8%	122		8	4.8%	167
PULASKI 44 8.4% 25 4.3% 128 24.5% 310 59.3% 16 3.1% 523 RENYOLIDS 9 13.2% 1 1.5% 13 19.1% 41 60.3% 4 5.9% 88 RIPLEY 10 6.3% 2 1.3% 38 23.9% 103 64.8% 6 3.8% 159 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115; 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 461 SHANNON 9 14.3% 2 3.2% 17 27.0% 32 50.8% 3 4.4% 63 ST FRANCOLIS 68 8.0% 24 2.8% 213 24.9% 255 61.5% 24 2.8% 854 STE GENEVIEVE 6 4.8% 3 2.4% 28 22.4% 86 68.8% 24 2.8% 854 STE GENEVIEVE 6 4.8% 13 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 4.4% 251 TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 4.4% 251 WASHINGTON 28 8.3% 55 1.5% 76 22.6% 223 66.4% 4 1.2% 336 WAYNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 0.7% 134 WASHINGTON 78 788 788 306 32.2% 2.028 21.3% 6.228 65.4% 221 2.3% 9,521 SOUTHWEST BARRY 7 1.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 6 1.5% 388 BATES 4 2.8% 12 8.3% 5 5 1.76% 97 68.3% 4 2.2% 12.3% 148 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 4 2.8% 12 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.3% 38 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 146 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 128 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 306 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 0.5% 44.2 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 306 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.5% 188 CHINSTAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 864 68.3% 6 2.05% 11.1% 138 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 188 CHINSTAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 864 68.3% 6 2.05% 11.1% 138 HENRY 16 6.5.7% 14 4.3% 26 2.2% 19 66.3% 1 0.5% 118 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.5% 69 60.5% 2 1.1% 118 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.5% 69 60.5% 2 1.1% 118 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.5% 69 60.5% 2 1.1% 118 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.5% 19 64.5% 1 1.5% 188 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.5% 19 64.5% 1 1.5% 188 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.5% 19 60.5% 1 1.1% 28.8 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.5% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 28.8 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.5% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 28.8 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.5% 28 2.9.8% 19 60.5% 2 1.3% 19 2.0.5% 42.0.5% 42.0.6% 11.1% 28.8 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.5% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 18.9 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 2.65% 19 60.5% 2 1.0.0% 19 3.3% 19 2.0.0% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3% 19 3.3%													
REYNOLIOS 9 13.2% 1 1.5% 13 19.1% 14 16 0.3% 4 5.9% 158 RIPLEY 10 6.3% 2 1.3% 53 23.9% 103 64.8% 159 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 46.1 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 46.1 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 46.1 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 46.1 SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 12													
RIPLEY													
SCOTT 30 6.5% 1 0.2% 115 24.9% 307 66.6% 8 1.7% 45.1													
SHANNON 9 14.3% 2 3.2% 17 27.0% 32 50.8% 3 4.8% 63 ST FRANCOIS 68 80.9% 24 2.8% 213 24.9% 65 66.8% 24 2.8% 854 STE GENEVIEVE 6 4.8% 3 2.4% 28 22.4% 66 68.8% 2 24 1.6% 12.5 STODDARD 23 81.3% 22 0.7% 33 11.6% 66.83% 22 1.6% 12.5 STODDARD 23 81.3% 22 0.7% 33 11.6% 66.83% 22 1.6% 12.8 STODDARD 24 8.4% 13 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 1.4 4.4% 25.5 STODDARD 25 81.3% 20 0.7% 33 11.6% 22.2 76.6% 3 1.1% 285 TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 4.4% 25.5 STODDARD 28 83.3% 5 1.5% 76 22.6% 22.3 66.6% 4 4.2.% 336 WAYNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 0.7% 141 4.4% 25.0% 25.0% 14			10		2		38				6		
ST FRANCOIS		SCOTT	30	6.5%	1	0.2%	115	24.9%	307	66.6%	8	1.7%	461
ST FRANCOIS		SHANNON	9	14.3%	2	3.2%	17	27.0%	32	50.8%	3	4.8%	63
STE GENEVIEVE 6 4.8% 3 2.4% 28 22.4% 86 68.8% 2 1.6% 125 STODDARD 23 8.1% 22 0.7% 33 11.6% 224 78.6% 3 11.1% 285 TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 4.4% 225 WASHINGTON 28 8.3% 5 1.5% 76 22.6% 223 66.4% 4 1.2% 336 WAYNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 1 0.7% 141 12.8% 10 7.1% 12.6% 12.2% 65.4% 221 12.3% 6.228 65.4% 221 12.3% 9.521 12.8% 10 7.1% 12.6% 12.2% 12.2% 12.2% 12.3% 9.521 12.8% 10 7.2% 12.2% 12.2% 12.2% 12.2% 12.3% 12.2		ST FRANCOIS	68		24	2.8%	213	24 9%	525	61 5%	24		
STODDARD 23													
TEXAS 21 8.4% 13 5.2% 63 25.1% 143 57.0% 11 4.4% 251 WASHINGTON 28 8.3% 5 1.5% 76 22.6% 223 66.4% 4 1.2% 336 WANNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 0.7% 141 **REGION TOTAL** 738 7.8% 306 3.2% 2,028 21.3% 6,228 65.4% 221 2.3% 9.521 **SECTION 12 6.5% 7 5.9% 3 2.5% 2.92 24.4% 73 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BAREY 7 5.9% 3 2.5% 29 24.4% 73 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BATES 4 2.8% 12 8.5% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.3% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 124 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 426 CEDAR 16 10.19% 2 1.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 426 CEDAR 16 10.19% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 185 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 80 16.57% 1 1.1% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.00% 94 1.35PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,004 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,011 3.45PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,004 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,611 1.4% 12 1.35PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.0% 19 1.00 1.35PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.0% 19 1.00 1.35PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.0% 19 1.00 1.35PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.0% 19 1.00 1.35PER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.0% 19 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1													
WASHINGTON 28 8.3% 5 1.5% 76 22.6% 223 66.4% 4 1.2% 336 WANNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 0.7% 141													
WAYNE 18 12.8% 10 7.1% 22 15.6% 90 63.8% 1 0.7% 141 FREGION TOTAL* 738 7.8% 306 32.8% 2,028 21.3% 6,228 65.4% 221 2.3% 9,521 SOUTHWEST BARRY 7 1.8% 10 2.6% 116 2.9% 24.4% 79 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BATES 4 2.8% 12 85.% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BARTON 12 6.5% 7 3.3% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 144 CAMORN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 426 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 185 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 801 23.1% 2666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 1.06 64.7% 60 3.7% 1.11 64.3% HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 1.046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1.617 LAURENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MICLEP 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 1 0.9% 114 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.23% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.9% 125 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 18 19.8% 15 60.4% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 1.2% 1.68 3.10 3.66 3.4% 2 0.9% 3.15 3.1% 2.60 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 187 66.0% 5.9% 2.9% 3.15 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 2.5% 62 2.5% 60.4% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9 50.6% 1.1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 8.													
SOUTHWEST BARRY 7 1.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 6 1.5% 388 BARTON 7 5.9% 3 2.5% 2.5 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 119 BARTES 4 2.8% 12 8.8% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 1142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 184 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 1.3% 158 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 1.3% 158 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALIAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 1.5% 158 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 801 25.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 148 GREENE 17.8 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 90.0% 79 2.0% 3.84 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HENRY 16 1.1.1% 4 4.3% 28 29.9% 51 5.3% 0.00 0.0% 13.276 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 580 MCDONALD 11 1.4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 264 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 149 56.4% 10 1.9% 15.89 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 186 61.7% 3 3.1% 264 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 188 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 264 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 188 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 18 189, 55.5% 14 60.4% 10 0.9% 125 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 186 61.7% 3 1.1% 25.8% MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 3.2% 55.5% 18 189, 55.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 13.2 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 166 60.4% 1 0.9% 125 ST. LOUIS 6 5.10 CUTT 59 8 3.9% 55 2.2% 99 1.4% 2.05 5.5% 186 63.4% 2,000 65.5% 274 2.0% 13.88 KANSAS CITY 3.64 ENGIN TOTAL* 238 3.9% 55 2.2% 99 1.4% 2.045 2.86% 4.257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS 6 5.10 ME INV 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 13.7 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER 0.0T HOME INV 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 10.0% 2.16 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 10.0% 2.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 10.0% 2.0% 126 **CHANGE OF THE TOTAL 10.		WASHINGTON	28	8.3%	5	1.5%			223	66.4%	4	1.2%	336
SOUTHWEST BARRY 7 1.8% 10 2.6% 116 29.9% 249 64.2% 6 1.5% 388 BARTON 7 5.9% 3 2.5% 2.5 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 119 BARTES 4 2.8% 12 8.8% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 1142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 184 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 1.3% 158 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 1.3% 158 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALIAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 1.5% 158 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 801 25.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 148 GREENE 17.8 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 90.0% 79 2.0% 3.84 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HENRY 16 1.1.1% 4 4.3% 28 29.9% 51 5.3% 0.00 0.0% 13.276 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 580 MCDONALD 11 1.4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 264 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 149 56.4% 10 1.9% 15.89 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 186 61.7% 3 3.1% 264 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 188 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 264 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 188 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 18 189, 55.5% 14 60.4% 10 0.9% 125 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.5% 186 61.7% 3 1.1% 25.8% MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 3.2% 55.5% 18 189, 55.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 13.2 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 166 60.4% 1 0.9% 125 ST. LOUIS 6 5.10 CUTT 59 8 3.9% 55 2.2% 99 1.4% 2.05 5.5% 186 63.4% 2,000 65.5% 274 2.0% 13.88 KANSAS CITY 3.64 ENGIN TOTAL* 238 3.9% 55 2.2% 99 1.4% 2.045 2.86% 4.257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS 6 5.10 ME INV 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 13.7 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER 0.0T HOME INV 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 10.0% 2.16 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 10.0% 2.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 10.0% 2.0% 126 **CHANGE OF THE TOTAL 10.		WAYNE	18	12.8%	10	7.1%	22	15.6%	90	63.8%	1	0.7%	141
BARTON 7 5.9% 3 2.5% 29 24.4% 79 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BATES 4 2.8% 12 8.5% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 184 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 42.6 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 185 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 0.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 25.8% 1,006 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 32.9% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 67.1% 63.8% 5 0.8% 559 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 148 61.7% 3 1.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.8% 2 0.07% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 2.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 152 MORGGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 130 366.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 100 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 55 60.6% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 2 1.1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 57 22.5% 167 60.0% 5 2.0% 253 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 2 2.3% 77 2.2% 19 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.0% 255 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 4.27 59 6.6% 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 OTHER OUT FORTER 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0													
BARTON 7 5.9% 3 2.5% 29 24.4% 79 66.4% 1 0.8% 119 BATES 4 2.8% 12 8.5% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 184 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 42.6 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 185 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 0.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 170 25.8% 1,006 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 32.9% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 67.1% 63.8% 5 0.8% 559 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 148 61.7% 3 1.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.8% 2 0.07% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 2.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 152 MORGGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 130 366.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 100 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 55 60.6% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 2 1.1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 57 22.5% 167 60.0% 5 2.0% 253 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 2 2.3% 77 2.2% 19 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.0% 255 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 1.89 59 6.6% 4.27 59 6.6% 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 2.6.8% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 OTHER OUT FORTER 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0	SOUTHWEST	BARRY	7	1.8%	10	2.6%	116	29.9%	249	64.2%	6	1.5%	388
BATES 4 2.8% 12 8.5% 25 17.6% 97 68.3% 4 2.8% 142 BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 184 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 426 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 125 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,066 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.1% 293 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 366 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.09% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 99 NEWTON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 166 66.0% 4 0.9% 452 ST.CLAIR 8 8.8% 5 5.5% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 99 NEWTON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 23.1% 654 VENNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 23.1% 654 VENNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 23.3 84 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2.045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1.140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1.140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 3.80 40.0% 27.1 45 1.5% 5.1.5	· · · · · · · · · · ·												
BENTON 12 6.5% 7 3.8% 38 20.7% 121 65.8% 6 3.3% 184 CAMDEN 25 5.9% 10 2.3% 99 23.2% 290 68.1% 2 0.5% 426 CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 158 CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 185 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 114 6REENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2.666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3.863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.3% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 31.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 22.8% 13 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.2 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 612 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 02ARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 11 12.6% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 11 29.6% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 11 29.6% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 11 29.6% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 11 29.6% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 11 29.6% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 11 1.2% 5 5.5% 11 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90 1.1% 90													
CAMDEN CEDAR 16 10.1% 2 1.3% 36 22.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 136 13.2% 136 12.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 136 12.8% 102 64.6% 2 1.3% 136 12.8% 103 104.6% 2 1.3% 136 12.8% 104 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 118 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 0.0% 9 1.5% LAUGEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 589 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 6 2.3% 8 7 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 2 4.8.1% 6 2.0% 76 22.3% 176 68.8% 1 0.9% 121 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 6 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.0% 185 14.8 6 1.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 5 5 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 12 12 6.8% 30 16 66.6% 4 0.9% 42 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 110 29.6% 126 68.8% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 110 29.6% 126 68.9% 127 46.6% 10 2.2% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8 9.9% 5 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 5 5 60.4% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 8 7 33.3% 149 57 1.8 6 6.2% 2 5.8 6 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 13.13% 240 NEWTON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 11 29.6% 12 68.8% 12 0.0% 12													
CEDAR CEDAR CEDAR CHRISTIAN C													
CHRISTIAN 27 2.7% 20 2.0% 265 26.5% 684 68.3% 5 0.5% 1,001 DADE 2 2.8% 2 2.8% 19 26.4% 48 66.7% 1 1.4% 72 DALLAS 14 7.6% 0 0.0% 51 27.6% 119 64.3% 1 0.5% 185 DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 59 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25.2% 377 68.8% 1 0.9% 17.0 28.9% NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 32.% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 FOLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WERSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WERSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 42,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL** 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ***REGION TOTAL** 158 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ***OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OTHER OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 4.25 10.00% 126 100.0% 126 ***REGION TOTAL** 108 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.2		CAMDEN			10		99		290				
DADE DALLAS DALL		CEDAR	16	10.1%	2	1.3%	36	22.8%	102	64.6%	2	1.3%	158
DADE DALLAS DALL		CHRISTIAN	27	2.7%	20	2.0%	265	26.5%	684	68.3%	5	0.5%	1,001
DALLAS DOUGLAS B 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 00 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 99 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 99 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 310 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 12 2.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 2.49 **REGION TOTAL** 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 **KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **ST. LOUIS COUNTY 40 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL** 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 **CTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 10 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
DOUGLAS 8 7.0% 5 4.4% 30 26.3% 69 60.5% 2 1.8% 114 GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,663 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 10,466 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 55 60.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 20.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.0% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST. LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OTHER **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OTHER **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OTHER **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 66.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
GREENE 178 4.6% 49 1.3% 891 23.1% 2,666 69.0% 79 2.0% 3,863 HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 4.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 23.3 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 **REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 **CANSAS CITY 3/ACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 **CTHER OUT HOME INV 0.0% 5.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 10.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
HENRY 16 5.7% 14 4.9% 67 23.7% 183 64.7% 3 1.1% 283 HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 5500 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 81.% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 0ZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.5% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 91 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WRESTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ** OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 1.26 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 1.26 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 1.26 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 1.00 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 ** POLH OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.0% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 100.0% 126 1													
HICKORY 11 11.7% 4 4.3% 28 29.8% 51 54.3% 0 0.0.0% 94 JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1.046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.11% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 **REGION TOTAL** 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST CLOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL** 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 10.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 100 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 100 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 100 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 100 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 100 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 10.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL** 100 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 155 176 175 175 176 176													
JASPER 70 4.3% 24 1.5% 417 25.8% 1,046 64.7% 60 3.7% 1,617 LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 2.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.09% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 180,% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 180,% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 180,% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 180,% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 180,% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 18.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 18.9% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 NOTALE 1 1.1% 91 NOTALE 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,000 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *** REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 *** OUT HOME INV 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.0% 14.0% 15.4% 1.385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 126 *** REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001 12		HENRY	16	5.7%	14	4.9%	67	23.7%	183	64.7%	3	1.1%	283
LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 1112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 21.88 1 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 60.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 226 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ** OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		HICKORY	11	11.7%	4	4.3%	28	29.8%	51	54.3%	0	0.0%	94
LACLEDE 29 4.9% 9 1.5% 170 28.9% 376 63.8% 5 0.8% 589 LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 1112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 21.88 1 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 60.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 226 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ** OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		JASPER	70	4.3%	24	1.5%	417	25.8%	1.046	64.7%	60	3.7%	1.617
LAWRENCE 9 1.6% 16 2.9% 176 32.0% 340 61.8% 9 1.6% 550 MCDONALD 11 4.2% 6 2.3% 87 33.3% 149 57.1% 8 3.1% 261 MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ** OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 10 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
MCDONALD MILLER MILLER MILLER MORGAN MORG													
MILLER 24 8.1% 6 2.0% 76 25.8% 187 63.4% 2 0.7% 295 MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3.521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ** OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
MONITEAU 6 5.4% 3 2.7% 25 22.3% 77 68.8% 1 0.9% 112 MORGAN 21 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
MORGAN NEWTON 121 8.8% 6 2.5% 62 25.8% 148 61.7% 3 1.3% 240 NEWTON 121 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 **REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		MILLER	24	8.1%	6	2.0%	76	25.8%	187	63.4%	2	0.7%	295
NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 1.26 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		MONITEAU	6	5.4%	3	2.7%	25	22.3%	77	68.8%	1	0.9%	112
NEWTON 21 3.5% 7 1.2% 185 31.0% 364 61.1% 19 3.2% 596 OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 0.00 1.26 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		MORGAN	21	8.8%	6	2.5%	62	25.8%	148	61.7%	3	1.3%	240
OZARK 12 13.2% 5 5.5% 18 19.8% 55 60.4% 1 1.1% 91 POLK 22 4.9% 4 0.9% 121 26.8% 301 66.6% 4 0.9% 452 ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.2% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ***ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 ***REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 ***OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 ***REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
POLK ST CLAIR S 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE STONE STONE STONE S 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY S 9 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 **REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
ST CLAIR 8 8.9% 5 5.6% 17 18.9% 59 65.6% 1 1.1% 90 STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 **REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
STONE 8 2.3% 7 2.1% 101 29.6% 213 62.5% 12 3.5% 341 TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **T. LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 **OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
TANEY 59 9.0% 23 3.5% 132 20.2% 420 64.2% 20 3.1% 654 VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 ** KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 *** ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 5T LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 ****REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 *****OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 ***********************************													
VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 *REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 5T. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 5T LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		STONE	8	2.3%	7	2.1%	101	29.6%	213	62.5%	12	3.5%	341
VERNON 14 5.5% 10 4.0% 57 22.5% 167 66.0% 5 2.0% 253 WEBSTER 37 8.2% 12 2.7% 119 26.3% 274 60.6% 10 2.2% 452 WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 *REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 *REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 5T. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 5T LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		TANEY	59	9.0%	23	3.5%	132	20.2%	420	64.2%	20	3.1%	654
WEBSTER WRIGHT 12 4.9% 12 4.9% 64 26.0% 156 63.4% 2 0.8% 246 **REGION TOTAL* 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON **REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 **REGION TOTAL* 5T. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 **REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 **REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
WRIGHT													
REGION TOTAL 690 5.0% 293 2.1% 3,521 25.4% 9,090 65.5% 274 2.0% 13,868 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 *REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
KANSAS CITY JACKSON 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 *REGION TOTAL* 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													
REGION TOTAL 155 2.2% 99 1.4% 2,045 28.6% 4,257 59.6% 586 8.2% 7,142 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		*REGION TOTAL*	690	5.0%	293	2.1%	3,521	25.4%	9,090	65.5%	2/4	2.0%	13,868
ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 98 3.9% 53 2.1% 538 21.5% 1,140 45.7% 668 26.8% 2,497 ST LOUIS COUNTY *REGION TOTAL* 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV OUT OF STATE 10 0.0% 0 0.0% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001	KANSAS CITY												7,142
ST LOUIS COUNTY 140 2.6% 84 1.5% 1,143 21.1% 2,700 49.7% 1,361 25.1% 5,428 *REGION TOTAL* 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		*REGION TOTAL*	155	2.2%	99	1.4%	2,045	28.6%	4,257	59.6%	586	8.2%	7,142
REGION TOTAL 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001	ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	98	3.9%	53	2.1%	538	21.5%	1,140	45.7%	668	26.8%	2,497
REGION TOTAL 238 3.0% 137 1.7% 1,681 21.2% 3,840 48.5% 2,029 25.6% 7,925 OTHER OUT HOME INV 108 5.8% 3 0.2% 1,385 73.9% 352 18.8% 27 1.4% 1,875 OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001		ST LOUIS COUNTY	140	2.6%	84	1.5%	1,143	21.1%	2,700	49.7%	1,361	25.1%	5,428
OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001													7,925
OUT OF STATE 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 126 100.0% 126 *REGION TOTAL* 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001	OTHER	OUT HOME INV	102	5.8%	3	0.2%	1 385	73 9%	352	18.8%	27	1 4%	1 275
REGION TOTAL 108 5.4% 3 0.1% 1,385 69.2% 352 17.6% 153 7.6% 2,001	J						•						
STATE TOTAL 3,111 5.3% 1,198 2.1% 14,957 25.7% 35,325 60.7% 3,651 6.3% 58,242		KEGION TOTAL*	108	5.4%	3	0.1%	1,385	69.2%	352	17.6%	153	7.6%	2,001
	STATE TOTAL		3,111	5.3%	1,198	2.1%	14,957	25.7%	35,325	60.7%	3,651	6.3%	58,242

Appendix B: FY 2022 Reported Children by Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY	SUBSTAN- TIATED	UNSUB. PSI	UNSUB.	ASSESS- MENT	OTHER	TOTAL CHILDREN	SUBSTAN- TIATED CHILDREN PER 1,000	TOTAL CHILDREN PER 1,000
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	5	0	63	118	5	191	1.13	43.10
NONTHINEST	ATCHISON	3	Ö	10	23	1	37	2.64	32.54
	BUCHANAN	86	10	456	1,264	81	1,897	4.61	101.62
	CALDWELL	9	5	33	71	0	118	4.41	57.79
	CARROLL	5	0	43	95	3	146	2.72	79.52
	CASS	81	13	319	774	20	1,207	3.10	46.12
	CHARITON	_7	8	9	67	1	92	4.22	55.49
	CLAY	77	81	554	1,712	90	2,514	1.24	40.57
	CLINTON	8	5	72 47	152	2	239	1.61	48.24
	COOPER DAVIESS	17 4	2 4	25	137 64	2 2	205 99	4.47 1.84	53.85 45.45
	DE KALB	8	2	19	56	0	85	3.81	40.44
	GENTRY	1	0	27	72	7	107	0.64	68.28
	GRUNDY	9	6	25	135	1	176	3.67	71.84
	HARRISON	0	3	24	112	2	141	0.00	73.51
	HOLT	2	0	20	40	6	68	2.20	74.64
	JOHNSON	46	3	163	386	8	606	3.78	49.82
	LAFAYETTE	32	9	114	245	7	407	4.22	53.67
	LINN	13	11	32	102	0	158	4.70	57.16
	LIVINGSTON	25	12	36	138	3	214	8.11	69.39
	MERCER	1	0	5	21	0	27	1.23	33.29
	NODAWAY	24	3	45	129	3	204	5.66	48.15
	PETTIS PLATTE	46 38	2 14	188 183	497 517	14 15	747 767	4.27 1.49	69.32 30.05
	PUTNAM	4	0	5	49	15	59	3.90	50.05 57.56
	RAY	11	0	81	239	2	333	2.06	62.48
	SALINE	44	10	112	318	10	494	8.44	94.76
	SULLIVAN	2	2	12	33	0	49	1.43	35.00
	WORTH	2	0	2	7	1	12	4.90	29.41
	REGION TOTAL	610	205	2,724	7,573	287	11,399	2.80	52.26
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	50	17	66	232	5	370	10.30	76.23
	AUDRAIN	28	2	73	277	3	383	4.75	65.00
	BOONE CALLAWAY	88 41	30 25	550 147	1,365 506	39 14	2,072 733	2.29 4.30	54.02 76.79
	CLARK	22	4	8	63	0	97	14.02	61.82
	COLE	33	35	195	483	7	753	1.93	43.99
	FRANKLIN	91	28	296	920	30	1,365	3.86	57.83
	GASCONADE	9	7	39	162	20	237	2.90	76.40
	HOWARD	21	5	23	55	1	105	9.34	46.71
	KNOX	13	2	6	32	2	55	14.35	60.71
	LEWIS	19	8	27	118	7	179	8.92	84.00
	LINCOLN	87	17	174	595	6	879	5.73	57.87
	MACON	35	3	38	142	4	222	9.88	62.66
	MARION MONROE	50 8	44	109 10	404 91	25	632 138	7.57 4.10	95.74 70.70
	MONTGOMERY	11	21 7	40	115	8 1	174	4.10	70.70
	OSAGE	13	1	38	79	4	135	4.30	44.70
	PIKE	26	4	39	165	3	237	6.38	58.16
	RALLS	8	9	11	88	2	118	3.53	52.10
	RANDOLPH	37	16	86	209	9	357	6.99	67.42
	SCHUYLER	6	5	16	28	0	55	5.76	52.78
	SCOTLAND	10	0	6	34	0	50	7.12	35.59
	SHELBY	18	4	16	69	0	107	12.06	71.72
	ST CHARLES	242	25	677	1,728	89	2,761	2.56	29.17
	WARREN *REGION TOTAL*	35 1,001	12 331	153 2,843	366 8,326	13 292	579 12,793	4.23 3.84	69.98 49.09
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	14	5	25	132	5	181	6.03	77.98
	BUTLER	95	25	253	503	19	895	9.66	91.03
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	60	7	110	571	15	763	3.45	43.82
	CARTER	6	3	24	37	6	76	5.31	67.20
	CRAWFORD	27	10	72	260	15	384	5.18	73.70
	DENT DUNKLIN	13 25	8 10	43 192	166 370	7 18	237 615	4.09 3.59	74.62 88.29

Appendix B: FY 2022 Reported Children by Conclusion

		SUBSTAN-	UNSUB.	LINGUE	ASSESS-	071/	TOTAL	TIATED CHILDREN	TOTAL CHILDREN
REGION	COUNTY	TIATED	PSI	UNSUB.	MENT	OTHER	CHILDREN	PER 1,000	PER 1,000 84.70
SOUTHEAST	HOWELL IRON	59 18	37 15	160 46	529 113	17 2	802 194	6.23 8.98	96.81
	JEFFERSON	162	123	348	1,868	58	2,559	3.09	48.85
	MADISON	22	9	43	176	6	2,339	7.64	88.95
	MARIES	16	2	16	55	1	90	8.76	49.26
	MISSISSIPPI	26	2	48	165	4	245	9.87	92.98
	NEW MADRID	27	13	59	166	4	269	6.93	69.08
	OREGON	17	5	30	81	0	133	8.99	70.33
	PEMISCOT	24	16	67	260	7	374	5.95	92.73
	PERRY	11	2	31	187	10	241	2.51	55.02
	PHELPS	55	31	133	374	17	610	6.01	66.70
	PULASKI	62	35	162	416	26	701	5.08	57.45
	REYNOLDS	13	1	20	57	5	96	9.95	73.51
	RIPLEY	15	2	53	172	8	250	6.28	104.69
	SCOTT	39	1	144	481	14	679	4.28	74.47
	SHANNON	13	4	28	39	4	88	8.47	57.33
	ST FRANCOIS	95	35	271	768	40	1,209	6.78	86.25
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	3	36	147	5	198	1.77	49.92
	STODDARD	37	4	49	353	5	448	5.75	69.59
	TEXAS	30	17	94	214	19	374	5.61	69.95
	WASHINGTON	35	7	102	337	6	487	6.70	93.30
	WAYNE	26	12	28	140	1	207	12.29	97.87
	REGION TOTAL	1,049	444	2,687	9,137	344	13,661	5.14	66.88
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	8	15	152	374	8	557	1.01	70.08
	BARTON	11	6	36	130	1	184	3.84	64.25
	BATES	6	18	28	127	6	185	1.64	50.46
	BENTON	13	17	44	178	12	264	3.74	75.91
	CAMDEN	31	14	121	398	3	567	3.97	72.70
	CEDAR	17	3	42	160	2	224	4.79	63.15
	CHRISTIAN	32	33	326	924	13	1,328	1.38	57.34
	DADE	2	2	24	66	1	95	1.24	58.90
	DALLAS	21	0	64	193	2	280	5.15	68.73
	DOUGLAS	10	8	46	87	3	154	3.99	61.50
	GREENE	235	69	1,109	3,782	107	5,302	3.82	86.25
	HENRY	21	17	78 20	274	7	397	4.32	81.70
	HICKORY	18 79	4	38	68	1	129	13.30	95.34
	JASPER LACLEDE	36	27 13	543 228	1,465 517	82 10	2,196 804	2.59 4.17	72.13 93.03
	LAWRENCE	9	37	225	517	21	809		93.03 84.37
	MCDONALD	15	8	113	226	14	376	0.94 2.45	61.35
	MILLER	38	8	88	251	3	388	6.51	66.46
	MONITEAU	11	3	34	116	1	165	2.79	41.81
	MORGAN	26	9	81	247	6	369	5.43	77.10
	NEWTON	28	16	238	507	25	814	2.01	58.54
	OZARK	18	8	27	89	1	143	11.21	89.04
	POLK	24	11	160	435	7	637	3.15	83.69
	ST CLAIR	10	7	21	72	2	112	5.07	56.74
	STONE	11	11	132	293	15	462	2.08	87.33
	TANEY	84	29	157	568	36	874	7.39	76.90
	VERNON	29	11	81	241	6	368	6.07	77.04
	WEBSTER	55	21	161	387	19	643	5.20	60.75
	WRIGHT	16	17	87	224	6	350	3.41	74.50
	REGION TOTAL	914	442	4,484	12,916	420	19,176	3.52	73.89
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	182	145	2,780	5,957	822	9,886	1.12	60.64
	REGION TOTAL	182	145	2,780	5,957	822	9,886	1.12	60.64
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	126	80	728	1,719	986	3,639	2.33	67.35
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	175	117	1,491	3,607	1,922	7,312	0.80	33.27
	REGION TOTAL	301	197	2,219	5,326	2,908	10,951	1.10	40.00
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	187	8	1,718	557	37 150	2,507	0.00	0.00
	OUT OF STATE *REGION TOTAL*	0 187	0 8	0 1,718	0 557	156 193	156 2,663	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00
				-			•		58.38

Appendix C: FY 2022 Assessment Conclusion Incidents by Assessment Type

REGION	COUNTY	FAMILY ASSESSMI		JUVENILI ASSESSME		DIFFERENTIA RESPONSE		TOTAL
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	75	93.8%	A33E33IVIE 5	6.3%	0	0.0%	80
NONTHWEST	ATCHISON	14	70.0%	6	30.0%	0	0.0%	20
	BUCHANAN	859	92.8%	67	7.2%	Ö	0.0%	926
	CALDWELL	42	97.7%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	43
	CARROLL	59	88.1%	6	9.0%	2	3.0%	67
	CASS	515	89.9%	47	8.2%	11	1.9%	573
	CHARITON	42	91.3%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	46
	CLAY	1,175	91.4%	108	8.4%	2	0.2%	1,285
	CLINTON	112	94.1%	6	5.0%	1	0.8%	119
	COOPER	90	94.7%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	95
	DAVIESS	37	94.9%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	39
	DE KALB	37	90.2%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%	41
	GENTRY	40	87.0%	6	13.0%	0	0.0%	46
	GRUNDY	89	93.7%	5	5.3%	1	1.1%	95
	HARRISON	63	91.3%	4	5.8%	2	2.9%	69
	HOLT	26	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26
	JOHNSON	251	93.7%	16	6.0%	1	0.4%	268
	LAFAYETTE	159	90.9%	14	8.0%	2	1.1%	175
	LINN	69	95.8%	3	4.2%	0	0.0%	72
	LIVINGSTON	90	91.8%	8	8.2%	0	0.0%	98
	MERCER	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	15
	NODAWAY PETTIS	81 301	88.0% 92.6%	10 24	10.9% 7.4%	1 0	1.1% 0.0%	92 325
	PLATTE	364	92.6% 89.9%	37	9.1%	4	1.0%	405
	PUTNAM	35	89.7%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	39
	RAY	145	93.5%	10	6.5%	0	0.0%	155
	SALINE	214	92.6%	14	6.1%	3	1.3%	231
	SULLIVAN	26	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26
	WORTH	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	Ö	0.0%	5
	REGION TOTAL	5,028	91.8%	417	7.6%	31	0.6%	5,476
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	161	92.5%	13	7.5%	0	0.0%	174
NORTHEAST	AUDRAIN	163	87.2%	22	11.8%	2	1.1%	187
	BOONE	890	88.6%	113	11.3%	1	0.1%	1,004
	CALLAWAY	342	94.2%	19	5.2%	2	0.6%	363
	CLARK	42	95.5%	2	4.5%	0	0.0%	44
	COLE	328	92.4%	27	7.6%	0	0.0%	355
	FRANKLIN	614	90.8%	56	8.3%	6	0.9%	676
	GASCONADE	103	94.5%	5	4.6%	1	0.9%	109
	HOWARD	27	79.4%	7	20.6%	0	0.0%	34
	KNOX	25	89.3%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%	28
	LEWIS	80	95.2%	4	4.8%	0	0.0%	84
	LINCOLN	399	93.4%	28	6.6%	0	0.0%	427
	MACON	97	94.2%	6	5.8%	0	0.0%	103
	MARION	262	92.6%	20	7.1%	1	0.4%	283
	MONROE	60	89.6%	7	10.4%	0	0.0%	67
	MONTGOMERY	73	89.0%	8	9.8%	1	1.2%	82
	OSAGE	57	98.3%	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	58
	PIKE	100	87.0%	15	13.0%	0	0.0%	115
	RALLS	64	97.0%	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	66
	RANDOLPH	146	94.2%	9	5.8%	0	0.0%	155
	SCHUYLER	18	90.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	20
	SCOTLAND	17	94.4%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	18
	SHELBY	41	83.7%	8	16.3%	0	0.0%	49
	ST CHARLES	1,200	91.0%	119	9.0%	0	0.0%	1,319
	WARREN *REGION TOTAL*	251 5,560	95.8% 91.4%	8 505	3.1% 8.3%	3 17	1.1% 0.3%	262 6,082
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	90	94.7%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	95
JOUTHEAST	BUTLER	331	91.9%	29	8.1%	0	0.0%	360
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	379	95.5%	18	4.5%	0	0.0%	397
	CARTER	24	88.9%	3	11.1%	Ö	0.0%	27
	CRAWFORD	161	96.4%	6	3.6%	0	0.0%	167
	DENT	109	95.6%	5	4.4%	0	0.0%	114
	DUNKLIN	228	93.4%	16	6.6%	0	0.0%	244

Appendix C: FY 2022 Assessment Conclusion Incidents by Assessment Type

REGION	COUNTY	FAMIL ASSESSM		JUVENIL ASSESSME		DIFFERENT RESPONS		TOTAL
SOUTHEAST	HOWELL	335	89.8%	37	9.9%	1	0.3%	373
	IRON	68	93.2%	5	6.8%	0	0.0%	73
	JEFFERSON	1,218	93.5%	84	6.5%	0	0.0%	1,302
	MADISON	107	84.3%	20	15.7%	0	0.0%	127
	MARIES	44	95.7%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	46
	MISSISSIPPI	100	93.5%	7	6.5%	0	0.0%	107
	NEW MADRID	111	94.9%	6	5.1%	0	0.0%	117
	OREGON	49	96.1%	2	3.9%	0	0.0%	51
	PEMISCOT	146	96.7%	5	3.3%	0	0.0%	151
	PERRY	119	97.5%	3	2.5%	0	0.0%	122
	PHELPS	252	93.0%	19	7.0%	0	0.0%	271
	PULASKI	273	88.1%	37	11.9%	0	0.0%	310
	REYNOLDS	35	85.4%	6	14.6%	0	0.0%	41
	RIPLEY	100	97.1%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	103
	SCOTT	290	94.5%	17	5.5%	0	0.0%	307
	SHANNON	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	32
	ST FRANCOIS	490	93.3%	35	6.7%	0	0.0%	525
	STE GENEVIEVE	79	91.9%	7	8.1%	0	0.0%	86
	STODDARD	217	96.9%	7	3.1%	0	0.0%	224
	TEXAS	134	93.7%	9	6.3%	0	0.0%	143
	WASHINGTON	209	93.7%	14	6.3%	0	0.0%	223
	WAYNE	83	92.2%	7	7.8%	0	0.0%	90
	REGION TOTAL	5,811	93.3%	416	6.7%	1	0.0%	6,228
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	232	93.2%	17	6.8%	0	0.0%	249
	BARTON	77	97.5%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	79
	BATES	92	94.8%	5	5.2%	0	0.0%	97
	BENTON	113	93.4%	8	6.6%	0	0.0%	121
	CAMDEN	266	91.7%	21	7.2%	3	1.0%	290
	CEDAR	96	94.1%	6	5.9%	0	0.0%	102
	CHRISTIAN	622	90.9%	59	8.6%	3	0.4%	684
	DADE	47	97.9%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	48
	DALLAS	111	93.3%	8	6.7%	0	0.0%	119
	DOUGLAS	64	92.8%	5	7.2%	0	0.0%	69
	GREENE	2,407	90.3%	236	8.9%	23	0.9%	2,666
	HENRY	174	95.1%	9	4.9%	0	0.0%	183
	HICKORY	49	96.1%	2	3.9%	0	0.0%	51
	JASPER	975	93.2%	71	6.8%	0	0.0%	1,046
	LACLEDE	356	94.7%	18	4.8%	2	0.5%	376
	LAWRENCE	325	95.6%	15	4.4%	0	0.0%	340
	MCDONALD	142	95.3%	7	4.7%	0	0.0%	149
	MILLER	166	88.8%	16	8.6%	5	2.7%	187
	MONITEAU	70	90.9%	5	6.5%	2	2.6%	77
	MORGAN	133	89.9%	13	8.8%	2	1.4%	148
	NEWTON	328	90.1%	36	9.9%	0	0.0%	364
	OZARK	52	94.5%	3	5.5%	0	0.0%	55
	POLK	275	91.4%	26	8.6%	0	0.0%	301
	ST CLAIR	57	96.6%	2	3.4%	0	0.0%	59
	STONE	197	92.5%	16	7.5%	0	0.0%	213
	TANEY	394	93.8%	26	6.2%	0	0.0%	420
	VERNON	159	95.2%	8	4.8%	0	0.0%	167
	WEBSTER	241	88.0%	27	9.9%	6	2.2%	274
	WRIGHT	147	94.2%	9	5.8%	0	0.0%	156
	REGION TOTAL	8,367	92.0%	677	7.4%	46	0.5%	9,090
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	3,910	91.8%	338	7.9%	9	0.2%	4,257
	REGION TOTAL	3,910	91.8%	338	7.9%	9	0.2%	4,257
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	1,046	91.8%	94	8.2%	0	0.0%	1,140
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2,531	93.7%	169	6.3%	0	0.0%	2,700
	REGION TOTAL	3,577	93.2%	263	6.8%	0	0.0%	3,840
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	352	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	352
	REGION TOTAL	352	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	352
STATE TOTAL		32,605	92.3%	2,616	7.4%	104	0.3%	35,325

Appendix D: FY 2022 Assessment Conclusion Children by Assessment Type

REGION	COUNTY	FAMIL' ASSESSM		JUVENILI ASSESSME		DIFFERENTI RESPONSI		TOTAL
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	111	94.1%	7 ASSESSIVIE	5.9%	0	0.0%	118
NONTHIVEST	ATCHISON	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	23
	BUCHANAN	1,186	93.8%	78	6.2%	0	0.0%	1,264
	CALDWELL	69	97.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	71
	CARROLL	84	88.4%	6	6.3%	5	5.3%	95
	CASS	705	91.1%	55	7.1%	14	1.8%	774
	CHARITON	59	88.1%	8	11.9%	0	0.0%	67
	CLAY	1,583	92.5%	125	7.3%	4	0.2%	1,712
	CLINTON	144	94.7%	6	3.9%	2	1.3%	152
	COOPER	131	95.6%	6	4.4%	0	0.0%	137
	DAVIESS	62	96.9%	2	3.1%	0	0.0%	64
	DE KALB	52	92.9%	4	7.1%	0	0.0%	56
	GENTRY	66	91.7%	6	8.3%	0	0.0%	72
	GRUNDY	128	94.8%	6	4.4%	1	0.7%	135
	HARRISON	105	93.8%	4	3.6%	3	2.7%	112
	HOLT	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40
	JOHNSON	366	94.8%	19	4.9%	1	0.3%	386
	LAFAYETTE	226	92.2%	17	6.9%	2	0.8%	245
	LINN	97	95.1%	5	4.9%	0	0.0%	102
	LIVINGSTON	130	94.2%	8	5.8%	0	0.0%	138
	MERCER	19 116	90.5%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	21 129
	NODAWAY PETTIS	116 473	89.9% 95.2%	11 24	8.5% 4.8%	2 0	1.6% 0.0%	497
	PLATTE	468	90.5%	43	4.8% 8.3%	6	1.2%	517
	PUTNAM	45	91.8%	3	6.1%	1	2.0%	49
	RAY	228	95.4%	11	4.6%	0	0.0%	239
	SALINE	298	93.7%	15	4.7%	5	1.6%	318
	SULLIVAN	33	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33
	WORTH	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	REGION TOTAL	7,048	93.1%	479	6.3%	46	0.6%	7,573
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	219	94.4%	13	5.6%	0	0.0%	232
NONTILAST	AUDRAIN	240	86.6%	33	11.9%	4	1.4%	277
	BOONE	1,232	90.3%	132	9.7%	1	0.1%	1,365
	CALLAWAY	482	95.3%	21	4.2%	3	0.6%	506
	CLARK	61	96.8%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%	63
	COLE	452	93.6%	31	6.4%	0	0.0%	483
	FRANKLIN	847	92.1%	61	6.6%	12	1.3%	920
	GASCONADE	156	96.3%	5	3.1%	1	0.6%	162
	HOWARD	45	81.8%	10	18.2%	0	0.0%	55
	KNOX	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	0.0%	32
	LEWIS	114	96.6%	4	3.4%	0	0.0%	118
	LINCOLN	564	94.8%	31	5.2%	0	0.0%	595
	MACON	136	95.8%	6	4.2%	0	0.0%	142
	MARION	377	93.3%	26	6.4%	1	0.2%	404
	MONROE	81	89.0%	10	11.0%	0	0.0%	91
	MONTGOMERY	105	91.3%	9	7.8%	1	0.9%	115
	OSAGE	78	98.7%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	79
	PIKE	149	90.3%	16	9.7%	0	0.0%	165
	RALLS RANDOLPH	86 196	97.7% 93.8%	2 13	2.3%	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	88 209
	SCHUYLER	26	93.6%	2	6.2% 7.1%	0	0.0%	209
	SCOTLAND	33	97.1%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	34
	SHELBY	59	85.5%	10	14.5%	0	0.0%	69
	ST CHARLES	1,587	91.8%	141	8.2%	0	0.0%	1,728
	WARREN	352	96.2%	9	2.5%	5	1.4%	366
	REGION TOTAL	7,706	92.6%	592	7.1%	28	0.3%	8,326
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	127	96.2%	5	3.8%	0	0.0%	132
	BUTLER	469	93.2%	34	6.8%	0	0.0%	503
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	548	96.0%	23	4.0%	0	0.0%	571
	CARTER	34	91.9%	3	8.1%	0	0.0%	37
	CRAWFORD	253	97.3%	7	2.7%	0	0.0%	260
	DENT	161	97.0%	5	3.0%	0	0.0%	166
	DUNKLIN	352	95.1%	18	4.9%	0	0.0%	370

Appendix D: FY 2022 Assessment Conclusion Children by Assessment Type

IRON	REGION	COUNTY	FAMIL ASSESSM	ENT	JUVENIL ASSESSME	NT	DIFFERENTI RESPONS	E	TOTAL
IFFERSON	SOUTHEAST	HOWELL		90.9%			1		529
MADISON 155 88.1% 21 11.9% 0 0.0% 176 MARIES 53 96.4% 2 3.6% 0 0.0% 155 MISSISSIPPI 157 95.2% 8 4.8% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 266 PERRY 184 98.4% 3 1.6% 0 0.0% 266 PERRY 184 98.4% 3 1.6% 0 0.0% 376 PHENS 3578 94.1% 22 2.5% 0 0.0% 377 PHENS 3578 94.1% 12 2.5% 0 0.0% 377 PHENS 3578 94.1% 15 2.2% 0 0.0% 377 PHENS 3578 94.1% 15 2.2% 0 0.0% 377 PHENS 378 94.9% 15 2.2% 0 0.0% 12 377 SCOTT 460 95.6% 21 4.4% 0 0.0% 438 STIFRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 383 STIFRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 383 STIFRANCOIS 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 383 STIFRANCOIS 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 321 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 321 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2%		IRON		95.6%		4.4%	0		113
MARIES 53 96.4% 2 3.6% 0 0.0% 555 MISSISSIPPI 157 95.2% 8 4.8% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 166 NEW MADRID 159 95.8% 7 4.2% 0 0.0% 186 OREGON 79 97.5% 2 2.5% 0 0.0% 186 PERRY 184 98.4% 3 1.6% 0 0.0% 187 PHIELPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 187 PHIELPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 187 PRILLY 167 97.1% 15 2.9% 0 0.0% 147 REFANCUDS 49 86.0% 8 14.0% 0 0.0% 177 SCONNON 79 95.6% 2 2 4.4% 0 0.00% 177 SCONNON 79 95.6% 2 2 4.4% 0 0.0% 177 STEF GENEVIVE 139 94.6% 1 5.3% 0 0.0% 78 STEF GENEVIVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 378 STEF GENEVIVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 2.0 0.00% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 2.0 0.00% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.00% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 15 5.9% 0 0.0% 323 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 323 WAYNE 132 94.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 323 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 323 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.		JEFFERSON						0.0%	1,868
MISSISSIPP 157 95.2% 8									176
NEW MADRID ORGON ORGON 79 975.9% 2 2.5% 0 0.0% 88 PENISCOT 255 98.1% 5 1.9% 0 0.0% 187 PHELPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 187 PHILEPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 374 PHILEPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 374 PHILEPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 374 PHILEPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 418 REYNOLIDS 49 86.0% 8 14.0% 0 0.0% 157 SCOTT SCO									55
OREGON 79 97.5% 2 2.5% 0 0.0% 88 PEMISCOT 255 98.1% 5 1.9% 0 0.0% 266 PERRY 184 98.4% 3 1.6% 0 0.0% 374 PHELPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 374 PULASKI 378 90.9% 38 9.1% 0 0.0% 575 RIPLEY 167 97.1% 5 2.9% 0 0.0% 575 RIPLEY 167 97.1% 5 2.9% 0 0.0% 418 STANNOON 37 94.9% 2 5.1% 0 0.0% 481 STANNOON 37 94.9% 2 5.1% 0 0.0% 766 STE GENVEVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 766 STE GENVEVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 335 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.3% 0 0.0% 335 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.3% 0 0.0% 335 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 335 WAYNE 122 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 335 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 335 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 150 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 337 BARTON 150 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 150 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 150 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 151 93.2% 21 5.9% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 9 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 9 0.0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 9 0.0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.3% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 3 0.0% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 8 1.8.8% 0 0.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0% 127 BARTON 152 95.0%									165
PEMISCOT 255 98.1% 5 1.9% 0 0.0% 266 PERRY 184 98.4% 3 1.6% 0 0.0% 187 PHELPS 352 94.1% 22 5.5% 0 0.0% 374 PULASKI 378 90.9% 38 9.1% 0 0.0% 418 REVNOLDS 49 86.0% 8 14.0% 0 0.0% 157 RIPLEY 167 97.1% 5 2.9% 0 0.0% 157 SCOTT 460 95.6% 21 4.4% 0 0.0% 483 SHANNON 37 94.9% 2 5.3% 0 0.0% 38 ST FRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 36 ST FRANCOIS 120 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 167 STODDARD 346 88.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 167 STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 353 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 214 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 337 WAYNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 144 "REGION TOTAL" 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 134 BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 138 BATES 122 95.0% 8 10 0.0% 12 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 138 BATES 122 95.0% 8 5.3% 4 1.0% 398 CLDAR 152 95.0% 8 1.0% 0 0.0% 120 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 120 BENTON 167 93.8% 1 1 6.2% 0 0.0% 120 BENTON 167 93.8% 1 1 6.2% 0 0.0% 120 BATES 122 95.0% 8 5.0% 4 1.0% 398 CLDAR 152 95.0% 8 1.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 1.4% 0 0.0% 166 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 1.4% 0 0.0% 167 HICKORY 66 99.15% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 166 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 1.15% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 187 95.9% 1.15% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 188 95.9% 1.15% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 189 95.9% 1.15% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 18									166
PERRY PHELPS PHE									81
PHELPS 352 94.1% 22 5.9% 0 0.0% 374 PULASKI 378 90.9% 38 9.1% 0 0.0% 418 REYNOLDS 49 86.0% 8 14.0% 0 0.0% 157 RIPLEY 167 97.1% 5 2.9% 0 0.0% 157 SCOTT 460 95.6% 21 4.4% 0 0.0% 133 SHANNON 37 94.9% 2 5.1% 0 0.0% 38 ST FRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 143 ST FRANCOIS 1727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 143 ST FRANCOIS 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 144 STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 135 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 214 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 134 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 134 "REGION TOTAL" 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 134 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 138 BENTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 138 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 138 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 138 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 160 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 99.59% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 99.59% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 99.59% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 137 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 27 97.4% 44 1.2% 37.88 HICKORY 66 97.1% 1 2.5% 0 0.0% 160 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.0% 50.									260
PULASKI 378 90.9% 38 91.% 0 0.0% 415 REVNOLDS 49 86.0% 8 14.0% 0 0.0% 57 RIPLEY 167 97.1% 5 2.9% 0 0.0% 172 SCOTT 460 95.6% 21 4.4% 0 0.0% 173 STRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 38 STRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 38 STRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 36 STEGENEVIEVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 143 STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 143 STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 353 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 214 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 133 WAYNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 144 "REGION TOTAL" 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 144 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 133 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 133 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 133 BARTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 138 BARTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 122 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.11 5.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.11 5.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.11 5.5% 0 0.0% 166 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.11 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 32 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 122 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 124 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 124 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 524 HENRY 264 96.4% 12 2.99 0 0 0.0% 524 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 524 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 0.0% 525 HENRY 324 925 HENRY 32									
REYNOLDS RIPLEY									
RIPLEY									
SCOTT 460 95.6% 21 4.4% 0 0.0% 438 SHANNON 37 94.9% 2 5.1% 0 0.0% 76 STERANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 76 STE GENEVEVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 35 STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 35 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 32 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 33 WAYNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 33 WAYNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 9.137 **REGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9.137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 37 BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 127 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 127 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DALLAS 155 95.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 160 DALLAS 155 95.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 160 DALLAS 155 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 160 DALLAS 165 95.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 160 GREENE 3,499 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3.783 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 56 DALLAS 155 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,362 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 56 LACIEDEC 433 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 5.16 MILLER 277 90.4% 18 7.2% 0 0.0% 50 MILLER 277 90.4% 18 7.2% 0 0.0% 50 TANEY 540 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 50 TANEY 540 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 50 TANEY 540 93.5% 11 6.5% 0 0.0% 50 TANEY 540 93.5% 10 0.0% 50 TANEY 540 93.5% 11									
SHANNON 37 94.9% 2 5.1% 0 0.0% 33									
ST FRANCOIS 727 94.7% 41 5.3% 0 0.0% 765									
STE GENVIEVE 139 94.6% 8 5.4% 0 0.0% 147 STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 235 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 214 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 337 WAVNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 146 **REGION TOTAL** 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 347 **REGION TOTAL** 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 347 BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 137 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 137 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 137 BARTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 137 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.5% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 160 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 160 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 160 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 160 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,788 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 35 5.7% 0 0.0% 50 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 35 5.7% 0 0.0% 50 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 35 5.7% 0 0.0% 50 JASP									
STODDARD 346 98.0% 7 2.0% 0 0.0% 353 TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 214 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 333 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 333 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 334 **REGION TOTAL** 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 374 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 133 BARTES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 133 BARTES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 147 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 178 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,783 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HIKKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 26 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 56 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 51 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 51 LAWRENCE 500 96.6% 3 3.5% 0 0.0% 20 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 25 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 11 MCDONALD 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 20 TANKY 540 95.1% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 25 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 11 MCDONALD 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 20 TANKY 540 95.1% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 20 TANKY 540 95.1% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 20 TANKY 540 95.1% 19 5.5% 10 0.5% 13 0.2% 5.957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 557 THEGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5.55 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 THEGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5.55 OTHER									
TEXAS 203 94.9% 11 5.1% 0 0.0% 337 WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 337 WASHINGTON 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 144 *REGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 374 BARTS 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 133 BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 127 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 41.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 41.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 87 GREENE 3,459 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 87 GREENE 3,459 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 67 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 56 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 51 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.00% 127 MODONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 527 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONINTEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 11 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.0% 527 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONINTEAU 105 90.5% 8 8.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONINTEAU 105 90.5% 8 8.69% 3 2.6% 114 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.0% 507 VEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 VEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 VEWTON 426 95.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 VEWTON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 433 STICLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 527 TANLEY 540 95.1% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 225 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5.546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5.957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 507 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5.957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 507 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5.957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5.957 **REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.0% 557									
WASHINGTON 323 95.8% 14 4.2% 0 0.0% 337 WAYNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 144 14.2% 14									
WAYNE 132 94.3% 8 5.7% 0 0.0% 144 PREGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 PREGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 PREGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 PREGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 PREGION TOTAL* 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 PREGION 127 PR.									
*REGION TOTAL** 8,668 94.9% 468 5.1% 1 0.0% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 137 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 137 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 177 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 178 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 88 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 187 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,788 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 3.5% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,082 JASPER 1,082 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 3.5% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,082 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 3.5% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,082 JASPER 1,083 JASPER 1									
SOUTHWEST BARRY 357 95.5% 17 4.5% 0 0.0% 374 BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 133 BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 127 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 167 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 167 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 69 DADE ADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 69 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 32 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 87 GREENE 3.459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3.782 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 274 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 1.465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 MICDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 11 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 0.0% 507 0.02ARK 8 6 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 0.0% 507 0.02ARK 8 6 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 0.0% 507 0.02ARK 8 6 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 0.0% 508									
BARTON 127 97.7% 3 2.3% 0 0.0% 133 BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 127 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 399 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 169 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 199 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 199 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,782 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 14.66 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 511 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 511 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 18 3.5% 0 0.0% 522 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 114 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 433 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 433 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 224 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 433 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 235 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 253 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 254 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 WEGGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,520 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557		*REGION TOTAL*	8,668	94.9%	468	5.1%	1	0.0%	9,137
BATES 122 96.1% 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 178 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 66 REENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,788 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,788 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 567 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MICDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MICDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MICDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MICDONALD 405 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 244 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 858 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 433 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 224 KENDON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 234 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 235 TANEY 540 95.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 234 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WEGON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 234 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WEGON 10TAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 10 0.0% 3.607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 0 0.0% 5.55	SOUTHWEST								374
BENTON 167 93.8% 11 6.2% 0 0.0% 178 CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 41% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 87 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,782 HENRY 264 96.6% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 166 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 1465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.66% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 557 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 557 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 438 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 438 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 438 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 232 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3									
CAMDEN 371 93.2% 23 5.8% 4 1.0% 398 CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 160 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 160 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 83 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,783 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 244 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 244 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 565 LACLEDE 493 95.5% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 526 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MORISHAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK									127
CEDAR 152 95.0% 8 5.0% 0 0.0% 166 CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 86 GRENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,783 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 166 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 517 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3 .4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3 .4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 438 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 232 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 234 WEBSTER 339 8.76% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 232 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 234 WEBSTER 339 8.76% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 232 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 255 TONE 274 93.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 255 TONE 274 93.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 255 TONE 274 93.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 255 TONE 274 93.5% 11 6.5% 0 0.0% 355 TONE 275 TONE 275 TONE 275 TONE 275 TON		BENTON							178
CHRISTIAN 840 90.9% 81 8.8% 3 0.3% 924 DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 66 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 37 GREENE 3.459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3.783 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 56 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 95.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 22 2.8% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 265 VERNON 22.9 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 244 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 SEGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5.957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 1,715 ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.57 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0 0.0% 5.5		CAMDEN	371					1.0%	398
DADE 65 98.5% 1 1.5% 0 0.0% 166 DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 87 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,782 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 1.465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 511 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 527 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 58 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 88 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 264 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 **REGION TOTAL** 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557									160
DALLAS 185 95.9% 8 4.1% 0 0.0% 193 DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 87 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,782 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 1,465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 566 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 264 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 **REGION TOTAL** 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,715 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		CHRISTIAN							924
DOUGLAS 82 94.3% 5 5.7% 0 0.0% 88 GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,782 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 5274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 1.465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 88 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 88 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 233 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 **REGION TOTAL** 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		DADE							66
GREENE 3,459 91.5% 279 7.4% 44 1.2% 3,782 HENRY 264 96.4% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 274 HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 66 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 1,465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 87 CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 507 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 239 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 268 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WESSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 244 VERSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 388 VRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 245 VERNON 229 95.0% 11 6.5% 0 0.0% 245 VERNON 25.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 25.5% 5.55 VERNON 25.5% 5.5% 0 0.0% 3.607 VERNON 25.5% 5.5% 5.5% 0 0.0% 3.607 VERNON 25.5% 5.5% 5.5% 0 0.0% 3.607 VERNON 25.5% 5.5% 5.5% 5.5% 5.5% 5.5% 5.5% 5.5		DALLAS							193
HENRY		DOUGLAS	82	94.3%	5	5.7%	0	0.0%	87
HICKORY 66 97.1% 2 2.9% 0 0.0% 68 JASPER 1,382 94.3% 83 5.7% 0 0.0% 1,465 LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 266 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 **REGION TOTAL** 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557		GREENE	3,459	91.5%	279	7.4%	44		3,782
JASPER		HENRY	264	96.4%	10	3.6%	0	0.0%	274
LACLEDE 493 95.4% 21 4.1% 3 0.6% 517 LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 527 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 88 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 223 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 224 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 5,326		HICKORY	66	97.1%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%	68
LAWRENCE 500 96.7% 17 3.3% 0 0.0% 517 MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 3.5% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 587 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 256 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5.356 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 5557 TOTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 5557 TOTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		JASPER	1,382	94.3%	83	5.7%	0	0.0%	1,465
MCDONALD 218 96.5% 8 3.5% 0 0.0% 226 MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 88 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 566 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		LACLEDE	493	95.4%		4.1%		0.6%	517
MILLER 227 90.4% 18 7.2% 6 2.4% 251 MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 2447 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 550 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 89 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557							0		517
MONITEAU 105 90.5% 8 6.9% 3 2.6% 116 MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 77 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 **REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557		MCDONALD	218	96.5%	8	3.5%		0.0%	226
MORGAN 231 93.5% 14 5.7% 2 0.8% 247 NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 507 OZARK 86 96.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 568 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 ***REGION TOTAL*** 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 CKANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 ***REGION TOTAL** 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 O.0%		MILLER	227	90.4%	18		6		251
NEWTON 465 91.7% 42 8.3% 0 0.0% 507		MONITEAU	105	90.5%	8	6.9%		2.6%	116
OZARK 86 96.6% 3 3.4% 0 0.0% 85 POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 244 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY		MORGAN	231	93.5%	14	5.7%	2	0.8%	247
POLK 403 92.6% 32 7.4% 0 0.0% 435 ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 557 00.0% 557 00.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 100.0		NEWTON			42		0		507
ST CLAIR 70 97.2% 2 2.8% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607		OZARK	86	96.6%		3.4%	0	0.0%	89
STONE 274 93.5% 19 6.5% 0 0.0% 293 TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		POLK		92.6%	32	7.4%	0	0.0%	435
TANEY 540 95.1% 28 4.9% 0 0.0% 568 VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		ST CLAIR	70	97.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	72
VERNON 229 95.0% 12 5.0% 0 0.0% 241 WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%		STONE	274	93.5%		6.5%	0	0.0%	293
WEBSTER 339 87.6% 32 8.3% 16 4.1% 387 WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		TANEY		95.1%		4.9%	0	0.0%	568
WRIGHT 214 95.5% 10 4.5% 0 0.0% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		VERNON		95.0%		5.0%	0	0.0%	241
REGION TOTAL 12,033 93.2% 802 6.2% 81 0.6% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		WEBSTER	339	87.6%		8.3%	16	4.1%	387
KANSAS CITY JACKSON *REGION TOTAL* 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY ST LOUIS COUNTY *REGION TOTAL* 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY *REGION TOTAL* 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 OTHER OUT HOME INV *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		WRIGHT	214	95.5%	10	4.5%	0	0.0%	224
REGION TOTAL 5,546 93.1% 398 6.7% 13 0.2% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		*REGION TOTAL*	12,033	93.2%	802	6.2%	81	0.6%	12,916
ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 1,608 93.5% 111 6.5% 0 0.0% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557	KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	5,546	93.1%	398	6.7%	13	0.2%	5,957
ST LOUIS COUNTY *REGION TOTAL* 3,412 94.6% 195 5.4% 0 0.0% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV ** 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		*REGION TOTAL*	5,546	93.1%	398	6.7%	13	0.2%	5,957
REGION TOTAL 5,020 94.3% 306 5.7% 0 0.0% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557	ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY					0		1,719
OTHER OUT HOME INV *REGION TOTAL* 557 557 100.0% 100.0% 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0 0 0 0.0% 0 0 0.0% 0 0 557 0		ST LOUIS COUNTY	3,412	94.6%	195	5.4%	0	0.0%	3,607
REGION TOTAL 557 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 557		*REGION TOTAL*	5,020	94.3%	306	5.7%	0	0.0%	5,326
	OTHER								557 557
STATE TOTAL 46,578 93.5% 3,045 6.1% 169 0.3% 49,792		REGION TOTAL							
	STATE TOTAL		46,578	93.5%	3,045	6.1%	169	0.3%	49,792

Appendix E: FY 2022 Assessment Incidents by Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY		EFER/ PENED	SERV PROV		CONC		NO CON		SERV DECL CHILD	INED	FAMI UNCOOPE CHILD S	RATIVE	TOTAL
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	1	1.3%	2	2.5%	39	48.8%	36	45.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	80
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	85.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	BUCHANAN	30	3.2%	7	0.8%	560	60.5%	269	29.0%	4	0.4%	56	6.0%	926
	CALDWELL	1	2.3%	2	4.7%	27	62.8%	11	25.6%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	43
	CARROLL	2	3.0%	0	0.0%	39	58.2%	24	35.8%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	67
	CASS	12	2.1%	5	0.9%			267	46.6%	3	0.5%	10	1.7%	573
	CHARITON		15.2%	1	2.2%	28	60.9%	9	19.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	46
	CLAY	18	1.4%	18	1.4%	900	70.0%	291	22.6%	5	0.4%	53	4.1%	1,285
	CLINTON	5	4.2%	1	0.8%	70	58.8%	38	31.9%	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	119
	COOPER	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	59	62.1%	35	36.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	95
	DAVIESS	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	20		16	41.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	39
	DE KALB	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	23	56.1%	15	36.6%	2	4.9%	0	0.0%	41
	GENTRY	5	10.9%	3	6.5%	33	71.7%	5	10.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	46
	GRUNDY		15.8%	11	11.6%	40		22	23.2%	3	3.2%	4	4.2%	95
	HARRISON HOLT	9 2	13.0% 7.7%	9	13.0% 3.8%	28	40.6% 53.8%	22 9	31.9% 34.6%	0	0.0%	1 0	1.4% 0.0%	69 26
	JOHNSON	5	1.9%	1 1	0.4%	14 126		126	47.0%	0	0.0%	10	3.7%	268
	LAFAYETTE	5	2.9%	1	0.4%		61.7%	59	33.7%	1	0.6%	10	0.6%	175
	LINN		13.9%	5	6.9%	40	55.6%	14	19.4%	2	2.8%	1	1.4%	72
	LIVINGSTON	6	6.1%	11	11.2%		52.0%	27	27.6%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	98
	MERCER	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	NODAWAY	7	7.6%	0	0.0%	65	70.7%	20	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	92
	PETTIS	11	3.4%	2	0.6%	179	55.1%	130	40.0%	1	0.3%	2	0.6%	325
	PLATTE	9	2.2%	5	1.2%		70.9%	91	22.5%	2	0.5%	11	2.7%	405
	PUTNAM	7		3	7.7%	21		4	10.3%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	39
	RAY	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	98	63.2%	51	32.9%	2	1.3%	3	1.9%	155
	SALINE	17	7.4%	0	0.0%	157		50	21.6%	4	1.7%	3	1.3%	231
	SULLIVAN	1	3.8%	4	15.4%		42.3%	9	34.6%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	26
	WORTH	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	REGION TOTAL	192	3.5%	97	1.8%	3,323	60.7%	1,658	30.3%	40	0.7%	166	3.0%	5,476
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	8	4.6%	10	5.7%		70.7%	30	17.2%	0	0.0%	3	1.7%	174
	AUDRAIN	10	5.3%	4	2.1%	113		49	26.2%	2	1.1%	9	4.8%	187
	BOONE CALLAWAY	50 23	5.0% 6.3%	8 2	0.8%	494 209	49.2% 57.6%	419	41.7% 32.2%	6 4	0.6% 1.1%	27 8	2.7% 2.2%	1,004 363
	CLARK	3	6.8%	2	0.6% 4.5%	209	52.3%	117 14	31.8%	0	0.0%	2	4.5%	44
	COLE	30	8.5%	5	1.4%	243		72	20.3%	1	0.0%	4	1.1%	355
	FRANKLIN	53	7.8%	9	1.3%		44.5%	277	41.0%	2	0.3%	34	5.0%	676
	GASCONADE		10.1%	0	0.0%		75.2%	14	12.8%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	109
	HOWARD	6	17.6%	2	5.9%	6	17.6%	20	58.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34
	KNOX	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	17	60.7%	7	25.0%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	28
	LEWIS	4	4.8%	7	8.3%		65.5%	16	19.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	84
	LINCOLN	22	5.2%	5	1.2%	248	58.1%	137	32.1%	3	0.7%	12	2.8%	427
	MACON	10	9.7%	1	1.0%	46	44.7%	44	42.7%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	103
	MARION	23	8.1%	10	3.5%	122	43.1%	112	39.6%	3	1.1%	13	4.6%	283
	MONROE	9	13.4%	2	3.0%	24	35.8%	23	34.3%	4	6.0%	5	7.5%	67
	MONTGOMERY	3	3.7%	2	2.4%	52	63.4%	24	29.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	82
	OSAGE	12	20.7%	0	0.0%	40		4	6.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.4%	58
	PIKE	9	7.8%	0	0.0%	54		47	40.9%	1	0.9%	4	3.5%	115
	RALLS	5	7.6%	3	4.5%	32		24	36.4%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	66
	RANDOLPH	15	9.7%	7	4.5%	57		67	43.2%	4	2.6%	5	3.2%	155
	SCHUYLER	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	12		6	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	20
	SCOTLAND	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	11		5	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	SHELBY	3	6.1%	3	6.1%		55.1%	16	32.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	49
	ST CHARLES	15	1.1%	22	1.7%		52.5%	488	37.0%	11	0.8%	90	6.8%	1,319
	WARREN *REGION TOTAL*	14 341	5.3% 5.6%	3 108	1.1% 1.8%		68.3% 53.7%	49 2,081	18.7% 34.2%	4 47	1.5% 0.8%	13 242	5.0% 4.0%	262 6,082
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	18	18.9%	2	2.1%	57	60.0%	15	15.8%	3	3.2%	0	0.0%	95
	BUTLER	25	6.9%	6	1.7%		66.1%	65	18.1%	4	1.1%	22	6.1%	360
	CAPE GIRARDEAU		13.9%	15	3.8%		65.0%	49	12.3%	11		9	2.3%	397
	CARTER		11.1%	3	11.1%			9	33.3%	0	0.0%	3	11.1%	27
	CRAWFORD	12	7.2%	10	6.0%		40.1%	69	41.3%	Ö	0.0%	9	5.4%	167
	DENT	8	7.0%	4	3.5%		32.5%	55	48.2%	1	0.9%	9	7.9%	114
	DUNKLIN	31	12.7%	1	0.4%		42.2%	104	42.6%	1	0.4%	4	1.6%	244

Appendix E: FY 2022 Assessment Incidents by Conclusion

				A	GENCY F	RESPONI	DED			SERV	ICES	FAM	ILY	
		FCS R	EFER/	SERV		CONC	ERNS	NO CON	ICERNS	DECL		UNCOOP	ERATIVE	
REGION	COUNTY	AC OP		PROV		ADDR		FOU		CHILD		CHILD	SAFE	TOTAL
SOUTHEAST	HOWELL	31	8.3%	8	2.1%	197	52.8%	91	24.4%	14	3.8%	32	8.6%	373
	IRON	9	12.3%	2	2.7%		47.9%	19	26.0%	4	5.5%	4	5.5%	73
	JEFFERSON	102	7.8%	18	1.4%	707		330	25.3%	37	2.8%	108	8.3%	1,302
	MADISON	10	7.9%	8	6.3%	49	38.6%	56	44.1%	1	0.8%	3	2.4%	127
	MARIES	6	13.0%	1	2.2%	18	39.1%	7	15.2%	0	0.0%	14	30.4%	46
	MISSISSIPPI		11.2%	1	0.9%		48.6%	40	37.4%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	107
	NEW MADRID		11.1%	3	2.6%	63		36	30.8%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	117
	OREGON	2	3.9%	2	3.9%		58.8%	14	27.5% 35.8%	0	0.0%	3	5.9%	51
	PEMISCOT		17.2%	7	4.6%	61		54 15		2	1.3%	1	0.7%	151
	PERRY	25	20.5% 13.7%	7	5.7% 0.0%	74 124	60.7%	15	12.3% 21.0%	0	0.0% 1.1%	1	0.8% 14.8%	122 271
	PHELPS PULASKI	14	4.5%	0 2	0.0%	173	49.4% 55.8%	57 53	17.1%	3 5	1.1%	40 63	20.3%	310
	REYNOLDS	5	12.2%	1	2.4%	26	63.4%	9	22.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	41
	RIPLEY	3	2.9%	3	2.4%	70	68.0%	14	13.6%	4	3.9%	9	8.7%	103
	SCOTT	23	7.5%	6	2.0%	165		110	35.8%	2	0.7%	1	0.3%	307
	SHANNON	3	9.4%	2	6.3%		37.5%	10	31.3%	1	3.1%	4	12.5%	32
	ST FRANCOIS	33	6.3%	13	2.5%		41.0%	243	46.3%	7	1.3%	14	2.7%	525
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	8.1%	4	4.7%	37		37	43.0%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	86
	STODDARD		33.5%	2	0.9%	94		50	22.3%	1	0.4%	2	0.9%	224
	TEXAS	10	7.0%	2	1.4%	76	53.1%	43	30.1%	1	0.7%	11	7.7%	143
	WASHINGTON	13	5.8%	5	2.2%	85	38.1%	100	44.8%	9	4.0%	11	4.9%	223
	WAYNE		12.2%	1	1.1%		55.6%	21	23.3%	3	3.3%	4	4.4%	90
	REGION TOTAL		10.0%	139	2.2%		51.3%	1,775	28.5%	116	1.9%	384	6.2%	6,228
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	14	5.6%	13	5.2%	140	56.2%	76	30.5%	0	0.0%	6	2.4%	249
	BARTON	14	17.7%	8	10.1%	27	34.2%	28	35.4%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	79
	BATES	3	3.1%	0	0.0%	73	75.3%	20	20.6%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	97
	BENTON	12	9.9%	5	4.1%		45.5%	46	38.0%	2	1.7%	1	0.8%	121
	CAMDEN	9	3.1%	3	1.0%		55.9%	105	36.2%	4	1.4%	7	2.4%	290
	CEDAR	12	11.8%	3	2.9%	46	45.1%	40	39.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	102
	CHRISTIAN	43	6.3%	16	2.3%	397		215	31.4%	6	0.9%	7	1.0%	684
	DADE	5	10.4%	3	6.3%	18	37.5%	19	39.6%	1	2.1%	2	4.2%	48
	DALLAS	7	5.9%	8	6.7%	56	47.1%	43	36.1%	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	119
	DOUGLAS		20.3%	1	1.4%		43.5%	22	31.9%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	69
	GREENE	200	7.5%	47	1.8%	1,694		579	21.7%	31	1.2%	115	4.3%	2,666
	HENRY		12.0%	5	2.7%		50.3%	53	29.0%	8	4.4%	3	1.6%	183
	HICKORY	1	2.0%	2	3.9%	18	35.3%	25	49.0%	2	3.9%	3	5.9%	51
	JASPER	69	6.6%	51	4.9%		54.7%	331	31.6%	5	0.5%	18	1.7%	1,046
	LACLEDE	21	5.6%	4	1.1%		58.5%	122	32.4%	2	0.5%	7	1.9%	376
	LAWRENCE	16	4.7%	12	3.5%		49.1%	139	40.9%	3	0.9%	3	0.9%	340
	MCDONALD	13	8.7%	3	2.0%	87		41	27.5%	4	2.7%	1	0.7%	149
	MILLER	15 4	8.0% 5.2%	3	1.6%		48.7% 50.6%	72 28	38.5% 36.4%	5	2.7% 3.9%	1	0.5%	187
	MONITEAU	9	5.2% 6.1%	2	2.6%	39	60.8%		28.4%	3	0.0%	1 4	1.3% 2.7%	77 149
	MORGAN	35	9.6%	3 5	2.0% 1.4%	90	63.5%	42 91	25.0%	0 1	0.0%	1	0.3%	148 364
	NEWTON				1.4%		49.1%	16		0	0.5%		1.8%	55
	OZARK POLK	15	18.2% 5.0%	1 6	2.0%		50.5%	108	29.1% 35.9%	3	1.0%	1 17	5.6%	301
	ST CLAIR	5	8.5%	0	0.0%		61.0%	18	30.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	59
	STONE	21	9.9%	5	2.3%		52.6%	69	32.4%	2		4	1.9%	213
	TANEY	35	8.3%	5	1.2%		55.5%	135	32.4%	2		10	2.4%	420
	VERNON	15	9.0%	19	11.4%		46.1%	50	29.9%	1		5	3.0%	167
	WEBSTER	10	3.6%	10	3.6%		35.0%	149	54.4%	6	2.2%	3	1.1%	274
	WRIGHT		21.8%	2	1.3%		47.4%	40	25.6%	1	0.6%	5	3.2%	156
	REGION TOTAL	683	7.5%	245	2.7%		56.2%	2,722	29.9%		1.1%	232	2.6%	9,090
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	147		51	1.2%	2,051	48.2%	1,577	37.0%	32		399	9.4%	4,257
	REGION TOTAL	147	3.5%	51	1.2%	2,051	48.2%	1,577	37.0%	32	0.8%	399	9.4%	4,257
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	36	3.2%	26	2.3%		53.6%	350	30.7%	33	2.9%	84	7.4%	1,140
	ST LOUIS COUNTY *REGION TOTAL*	65 101	2.4% 2.6%	83 109	3.1% 2.8%		49.8% 50.9%	1,052 1,402	39.0% 36.5%	47 80		108 192	4.0% 5.0%	2,700 3,840
OTUES						,		·						•
OTHER	OUT HOME INV *REGION TOTAL*	5 5	1.4% 1.4%	5 5	1.4% 1.4%		45.7% 45.7%	177 177	50.3% 50.3%	3 3	0.9% 0.9%	1 1	0.3% 0.3%	352 352
STATE TOTAL	- -		5.9%	754				11,392			1.2%	1,616		35,325
JIAIE IOIAL		2,031	J.370	/ 34	2.170	19,038	J4.U%	11,332	JZ.Z70	414	1.470	1,010	4.0%	33,323

Appendix F: FY 2022 Assessment Children by Conclusion

REGION	COUNTY		EFER/ PENED	SERV PROV		CONC		NO CON		SERV DECL CHILD	INED	FAMI UNCOOPE CHILD S	RATIVE	TOTAL
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	2	1.7%	3	2.5%	58	49.2%	53	44.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	118
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	Ö	0.0%			3	13.0%	Ö	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	BUCHANAN	45	3.6%	10	0.8%	746		383	30.3%	5	0.4%	75	5.9%	1,264
	CALDWELL	1	1.4%	3	4.2%	48	67.6%	17	23.9%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	71
	CARROLL	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	53	55.8%	35	36.8%	4	4.2%	1	1.1%	95
	CASS	20	2.6%	7	0.9%	383	49.5%	344	44.4%	5	0.6%	15	1.9%	774
	CHARITON	10	14.9%	1	1.5%	41	61.2%	14	20.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	67
	CLAY	25	1.5%	28	1.6%	1,200	70.1%	382	22.3%	8	0.5%	69	4.0%	1,712
	CLINTON	9	5.9%	1	0.7%	87	57.2%	46	30.3%	6	3.9%	3	2.0%	152
	COOPER	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	91	66.4%	45	32.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	137
	DAVIESS	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	38	59.4%	23	35.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	64
	DE KALB	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	30	53.6%	20	35.7%	5	8.9%	0	0.0%	56
	GENTRY			3	4.2%	53	73.6%	8	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	72
	GRUNDY		15.6%	17	12.6%	61		26	19.3%	4	3.0%	6	4.4%	135
	HARRISON	18	16.1%	15	13.4%	48	42.9%	29	25.9%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	112
	HOLT	4		3	7.5%	22	55.0%	11	27.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40
	JOHNSON	7	1.8%	2	0.5%	170	44.0%	193	50.0%	0	0.0%	14	3.6%	386
	LAFAYETTE	13	5.3%	1	0.4%	146		82	33.5%	1	0.4%	2	0.8%	245
	LINN		15.7%	6	5.9%	59	57.8%	18	17.6%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	102
	LIVINGSTON		11.6%	12	8.7%		52.2%	33	23.9%	4	2.9%	1	0.7%	138
	MERCER	6	28.6%	3	14.3%	8	38.1%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	NODAWAY	9	7.0%	0	0.0%		70.5%	29	22.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	129
	PETTIS	20	4.0%	2	0.4%	276	55.5%	195	39.2%	1	0.2%	3	0.6%	497
	PLATTE	11	2.1%	10	1.9%		70.8%	113	21.9%	2	0.4%	15	2.9%	517
	PUTNAM	9	18.4%	4	8.2%	25	51.0%	6	12.2%	4	8.2%	1	2.0%	49
	RAY	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	161		72	30.1%	2	0.8%	3	1.3%	239
	SALINE	23	7.2%	0	0.0%	213	67.0%	71	22.3%	8	2.5%	3	0.9%	318
	SULLIVAN	1	3.0%	4	12.1%		42.4%	13	39.4%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	33
	WORTH		28.6%	0	0.0%			1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	REGION TOTAL	300	4.0%	137	1.8%	•	60.5%	2,269	30.0%	64	0.8%	219	2.9%	7,573
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	11	4.7%	13	5.6%		69.4%	44	19.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.3%	232
	AUDRAIN	13	4.7%	4	1.4%		63.2%	70	25.3%	3	1.1%	12	4.3%	277
	BOONE	68	5.0%	13	1.0%	657		582	42.6%	6	0.4%	39	2.9%	1,365
	CALLAWAY	38	7.5%	2	0.4%	286	56.5%	161	31.8%	6	1.2%	13	2.6%	506
	CLARK	4	6.3%	2	3.2%	38	60.3%	15	23.8%	0	0.0%	4	6.3%	63
	COLE	45	9.3%	6	1.2%	323	66.9%	100	20.7%	1	0.2%	8	1.7%	483
	FRANKLIN	80	8.7%	15	1.6%	406		367	39.9%	3	0.3%	49	5.3%	920
	GASCONADE		11.7%	0	0.0%		74.7%	15	9.3%	0	0.0%	7	4.3%	162
	HOWARD	10		3	5.5%	9	16.4%	33	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55 22
	KNOX	1 6	3.1%	0	0.0%	19	59.4%	9	28.1%	1	3.1%	2	6.3%	32
	LEWIS	36	5.1% 6.1%	9 7	7.6%	82 340	69.5% 57.1%	19	16.1% 31.9%	0 6	0.0% 1.0%	2 16	1.7%	118 595
	LINCOLN	12		3	1.2%			190	42.3%	2		16	2.7%	142
	MACON MARION	36	8.5% 8.9%	18	2.1% 4.5%		45.1% 43.1%	60 154	38.1%	3	1.4% 0.7%	1 19	0.7% 4.7%	404
	MONROE		14.3%	3	3.3%	31		33	36.3%	_	6.6%	5	5.5%	91
	MONTGOMERY	5	4.3%	3	2.6%		62.6%	34	29.6%	6 0	0.0%	1	0.9%	115
	OSAGE		17.7%	0	0.0%		73.4%	5	6.3%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	79
	PIKE	13	7.9%	0	0.0%			66	40.0%	1	0.6%	6	3.6%	165
	RALLS	6	6.8%	3	3.4%	48		29	33.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	88
	RANDOLPH		10.5%	9	4.3%		34.4%	95	45.5%	5	2.4%	6	2.9%	209
	SCHUYLER		10.7%	0	0.0%			7	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	28
	SCOTLAND	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	23		8	23.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34
	SHELBY	3	4.3%	4	5.8%			20	29.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	69
	ST CHARLES	26	1.5%	28	1.6%		52.1%	631	36.5%	23	1.3%	120	6.9%	1,728
	WARREN	21	5.7%	4	1.1%		68.3%	61	16.7%	10	2.7%	20	5.5%	366
	REGION TOTAL	506	6.1%	151	1.8%		53.4%	2,808	33.7%	76	0.9%	339	4.1%	8,326
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER		17.4%	2	1.5%		64.4%	18	13.6%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%	132
	BUTLER	40	8.0%	7	1.4%		67.2%	85	16.9%	4	0.8%	29	5.8%	503
	CAPE GIRARDEAU		15.2%	20	3.5%		62.3%	82	14.4%	15	2.6%	11	1.9%	571
	CARTER		10.8%	3	8.1%	14		13	35.1%	0	0.0%	3	8.1%	37
	CRAWFORD	21	8.1%	18	6.9%		35.4%	113	43.5%	0	0.0%	16	6.2%	260
	DENT		10.8%	4	2.4%		32.5%	74	44.6%	1	0.6%	15	9.0%	166
	DUNKLIN	49	13.2%	1	0.3%	139	37.6%	174	47.0%	1	0.3%	6	1.6%	370

Appendix F: FY 2022 Assessment Children by Conclusion

SOUTHEAST HOWELL 55 10.4% 11 2.1% 261 49.3% 132 25.0% 21 40.0% 39 9.3% 51.0% 11 11 11 12 12 13 13 13	REGION	COUNTY	FCS RI	-	SERV PROV	ICES	RESPONI CONC		NO CON		SERV DECL CHILD	INED	FAM UNCOOPI CHILD	ERATIVE	TOTAL
IRON															
FFERSON 167 8.9% 27 1.4% 968 51.8% 484 459 25.9% 47 2.5% 175 9.4% 1,868 MANISON 15 8.5% 10 5.7% 73 8.1% 78 4.3% 1.2 1.1% 4.2 1.1% 4.2 3.3% 1.5% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2 1.18% 1.2	JOUTHLAST														
MADISON															
MARIES 7 12.7% 1 1.8% 19 34.5% 12 21.8% 00 0.0% 16 29.1% 55 NEW MADRID 17 10.2% 7 4.2% 85 51.2% 55 33.1% 1 0.0% 1 1.00% 16 0.0% 16 0.0% 12 1.2% 16.5% 15.0%															
MISSISPIP															
NEW MADRIDI															
OREGON 3 3 3.7% 55 6.2% 51 63.0% 19 23.5% 0 0.0% 3 3.7% 81															
PEMISCOT 35 13.5% 14 5.4% 107 41.2% 59 36.9% 7 2.7% 1 0 0.4% 260 PERRY 44 23.5% 14 7.5% 108 57.8% 18 9.6% 7 0.0% 0 0.0% 16 18 18 PHELPS 56 15.0% 0 0.0% 174 46.5% 18 12.17% 3 0.8% 60 10.0% 34 14 PHELPS 56 15.0% 0 0.0% 121.6% 45.5% 18 12.17% 3 0.8% 60 10.0% 34 14 PHELPS 56 15.0% 0 0.0% 121.6% 18 12.17% 3 0.8% 60 10.0% 34 14 PHELPS 56 15.0% 0 0.0% 121.6% 18 12.17% 3 0.8% 60 10.0% 34 14 PHELPS 12.17% 19 12.10% 19 12.															
PERRY PHELPS PHELPS PHELPS PHELPS FOR FOR PUASAKI FOR PUASAKI FOR PUASAKI FOR PUASAKI FOR PUASAKI FOR PUASAKI FOR PHELPS FOR FOR PHELPS FOR FOR PHELPS FOR FOR FOR FOR FOR PHELPS FOR															
PHELPS 55 15.0% 0 0.0% 174 46.5% 81 21.7% 3 0.8% 60 16.0% 374 PULSKI 225.3% 2 0.0% 217 52.2% 77 18.5% 81 90 21.6% 416 REYNOLDS 10 17.5% 1 1.8% 35 61.4% 11 19.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 57 RIPLEY 6 3.5% 3 1.7% 124 72.1% 20 11.6% 6 3.5% 13 7.6% 172 SCOTT 36 7.5% 81 1.7% 124 72.1% 20 11.6% 6 3.5% 13 7.6% 172 SCOTT 36 7.5% 81 1.7% 124 72.1% 20 11.6% 6 3.5% 13 7.6% 172 SCOTT 36 7.5% 81 1.7% 124 72.1% 20 11.6% 6 3.5% 13 7.6% 172 SCOTT 36 7.5% 81 1.7% 124 72.1% 20 11.6% 6 3.5% 13 7.6% 172 SCOTT 36 7.5% 18 1.7% 124 72.5% 14 15.9% 14 15.9% 14 15.9% 12 1.0% 15 1.0% 15 1.2% 41 15 1.0% 14 1.0% 17 1.0% 15 1.0% 15 1.0% 14 1.0% 14 1.0% 17 1.0% 15 1.0% 15 1.0% 14 1.0% 14 1.0% 17 1.0% 15 1.0% 15 1.0% 14 1.0% 17 1.0% 15															
PULASK 22 5.3% 2 0.5% 217 52.2% 77 18.5% 8 1.9% 90 21.6% 416 RENNOLOS 10 17.5% 1 1.8% 35 61.4% 11 1.93% 0 0.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0% 57 616 1.0%															
REYNOLOS 10 17.5% 1 1 1.8% 35 61.4% 11 19.3% 0 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 57 RIPLEY 6 3.3% 3 1.7% 124 72.1% 20 11.6% 6 3.2% 13 7.6% 172 SCOTT 36 7.5% 8 1.7% 27 57.6% 156 32.4% 3 0.6% 1 0.2% 481 SHANNON 3 7.7% 2 5.1% 14 3.5% 16 32.4% 3 0.6% 1 0.2% 481 SHANNON 3 7.7% 2 5.1% 14 13.5% 16 41.1% 347 45.2% 14 1.8% 17 2.2% 768 STE GENEVICE 23 15.6% 13 8.8% 57 38.8% 53 38.6% 1 1 0.7% 40 0.0% 147 STODDARD 113 32.0% 5 1.4% 150 42.5% 79 22.4% 2 0.6% 4 1.1% 353 TEXAS 15 7.0% 6 2.2% 114 53.3% 55 57.% 1 0.5% 23 10.7% 214 WASHINGTON 18 5.3% 8 2.4% 112 36.2% 159 47.2% 14 4.2% 16 4.7% 337 WAYNE 16 11.4% 1 0.7% 83 59.3% 31 22.1% 3 2.1% 6 4.3% 140 **REGION TOTAL** 1,001 11.0% 214 2.3% 4,553 49.8% 2,628 28.8% 166 1.8% 575 6.3% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY 24 6.4% 11 8.5% 42 32.3% 47 36.2% 10 0.0% 9 2.4% 31 0.5% 12 0.0% 17 9.6% 5 2.8% 83 46.6% 69 38.8% 31 1.0% 30 0.0% 9 2.4% 31 0.5% 32 0.0% 12 0.0% 12 0.0% 17 9.6% 5 2.8% 83 46.6% 69 38.8% 31 1.0% 3 0.0% 1 0.0% 9 2.4% 32 0.0% 12															
RIPLEY															
SCOTT 36 7.5% 8 1.7% 277 57.6% 156 32.4% 3 0.6% 5 1 0.2% 481 SHANNON 3 7.7% 2 5.1% 14 3.59% 14 3.59% 14 1.26% 5 1.28% 39															
SHANNON 3 7.7% 2 5.1% 14 35.9% 14 35.9% 1 2.6% 5 12.8% 39 STEGENEVIEVE 23 15.6% 13 8.8% 57 38.8% 53 36.1% 1 0.7% 0 0.0% 147 STODDARD 113 32.0% 5 1.4% 150 42.5% 79 22.4% 20 0.6% 4 1.1% 33.5% TEXAS 15 7.0% 6 2.8% 114 53.3% 55 25.7% 1 0.5% 23 10.7% 214 WASHINGTON 18 5.3% 8 2.4% 122 36.2% 159 47.2% 14 4.2% 16 4.7% 33.7 WANNE 16 11.4% 1 0.7% 83 59.3% 31 22.1% 3 2.1% 6 4.3% 140 "REGION TOTAL" 1,001 11.0% 214 2.3% 4,553 49.8% 2,628 159.8% 166 1.8% 575 6.3% 91.37 **SOUTHWEST** BARRY 24 6.4% 18 4.8% 206 55.1% 117 31.3% 0 0.0% 9 2.4% 374 BARTON 27 20.8% 11 8.5% 42 32.3% 47 36.2% 159 4.8% 166 1.8% 575 6.3% 91.37 **BENTON 17 9.6% 5 2.8% 83 46.6% 69 38.8% 3 1.7% 1 0.8% 0 0.0% 178 CEDAR 22 13.8% 55 31.5% 0.0% 97 6.4% 24 18.8% 1 0.8% 0 0.0% 178 CEDAR 22 13.8% 55 31.5% 214 4.4% 60 37.5% 0 0.0% 2 1.3% 160 CHRISTIAN 53 5.7% 23 2.5% 552 59.7% 277 30.0% 10 1.1% 9 1.0% 924 DADE 7 10.6% 31 4.5% 6 1.5% 215 5.9% 27 40.9% 25 37.9% 1 1.5% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 1.5% 22 5.9% 277 40.9% 25 37.9% 1 1.5% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 1.5% 22 9.9% 273 30.8% 10 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 88 JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.0% 1 0.0% 22 2.3% 87 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 2 1.0% 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 1 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8 HENRY 30 10.9% 93 3.3% 142 51.8% 170 1.0% 14 2.2% 41 1.1% 180 4.6% 37.8% 11 1.5% 22 4.1% 18.8% 10 0.0% 12 2.3% 18.8% 160 1.4% 11.1% 180 4.8% 37.8% 11 1.5% 22 4.1% 11.1% 180 4.8% 37.8% 11 1.5% 22 4.1% 11.1% 180 4.8% 37.8% 11 1.1% 180 4.8% 37.8% 11 1.1% 180															
STFARNCOIS															
STE GENEVIEVE 23 15.6% 13 8.8% 57 88.8% 53 86.1% 1 0.7% 0 0.0% 147 STOODARD 113 32.0% 5 1.4% 150 42.5% 779 22.4% 22 0.6% 4 1.1% 353 TEXAS 15 7.0% 6 2.8% 114 53.3% 55 25.7% 1 0.5% 23 10.7% 214 WASHINGTON 18 5.3% 8 2.4% 122 36.6% 159 47.2% 14 4.2% 16 4.7% 337 WAYNE 16 11.4% 1 0.7% 83 59.3% 31 22.1% 3 2.1% 6 4.3% 140 TEXAS 160 11.4% 1 0.7% 83 59.3% 31 22.1% 3 2.1% 6 4.3% 140 TEXAS 140 TEXAS 1.00 11.0% 214 2.3% 45.53 49.8% 26.68 166 1.8% 575 6.3% 9.137															
STODDARD															
TEXAS WASHINGTON 18 5.3% 8 24% 122 86.2% 129 47.2% 11 0.5% 223 10.7% 214 WASHINGTON 18 5.3% 8 24% 122 86.2% 129 47.2% 13 0.2% 6 4.3% 140 WASHINGTON 18 11.4% 1 0.7% 83 593% 31 0.21% 13 2.1% 6 4.3% 140 WASHINGTON 18 11.4% 1 0.7% 83 593% 31 0.21% 13 2.1% 6 4.3% 140 WASHINGTON 18 6.3% 8 4.553 49.8% 2,628 28.8% 16 18.% 575 6.3% 9,137 SOUTHWEST BARRY BARRY 24 6.4% 18 8.4% 97 76.4% 24 18.9% 1 0.8% 2 1.5% 130 BATES 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 97 76.4% 24 18.9% 1 0.8% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 17 9.6% 6 1.5% 29.7 76.4% 24 18.9% 1 0.8% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 17 9.6% 6 1.5% 215 54.0% 142 35.7% 11 0.8% 0 0.0% 127 CANDEN 14 3.5% 6 1.5% 215 54.0% 142 35.7% 11 0.8% 0 0.0% 127 CANDEN 14 3.5% 6 1.5% 215 54.0% 142 35.7% 11 0.8% 10 0.6% 128 CANDEN 14 3.5% 6 1.5% 225 59.7% 277 30.0% 10 1.1% 9 10.6% 260 DAILAS 15 7.8% 11 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 193 DOUGLAS 10 2.3% 87 11 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6															
WASHINGTON 18 5.3% 8 2.4% 122 36.2% 159 47.2% 14 4.2% 16 4.7% 33.74 4.2% 16 4.3% 13.74 4.2% 16 4.3% 13.74 4.2% 16 4.3% 13.74 4.2% 13.75 4.2% 13.74 4.2% 13.75 4.2% 13.74 4.2% 13.75 4.2%															
WAYNE 16 11.4% 214 2.3% 4.553 49.8% 2.628 28.8% 166 1.8% 575 6.3% 9.137															
REGION TOTAL 1,001 11.0% 214 2.3% 4,553 49.8% 2,628 28.8% 166 1.8% 575 6.3% 9,137															
BARTON 27 20.8% 11 8.5% 42 32.3% 47 36.2% 1 0.8% 2 1.5% 130 BATES 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 127 127 BENTON 17 9.6% 5 2.8% 83 46.6% 69 38.8% 3 1.7% 1 0.6% 178 CAMDEN 14 3.5% 6 1.5% 215 54.0% 142 35.7% 11 2.8% 10 2.5% 398 CEDAR 22 13.8% 5 3.1% 71 44.4% 60 37.5% 0 0.0% 2 1.3% 100 CHRISTIAN 53 5.7% 23 2.5% 552 59.7% 277 30.0% 10 1.1% 9 1.0% 924 DADE 7 10.6% 3 4.5% 74 0.9% 25 37.5% 1 1.55% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 1 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 193 DOUGLAS 20 23.0% 1 1.1% 88 43.7% 26 29.9% 0 0.0% 2 2.3% 87 GREENE 324 8.6% 72 1.99 2.324 61.4% 841 22.2% 41 1.1% 180 4.8% 3.782 HICKORY 1 1.5% 2 2.9% 23 38.8% 33 48.5% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 68 1.ASPER 112 7.6% 80 55.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4															
BATES 5 3.9% 0 0.0% 97 76.4% 24 18.9% 1 0.8% 0 0.0% 127 BENTON 17 9.6% 5 2.8% 83 46.6% 69 38.8% 3 1.7% 1 0.6% 178 CAMDEN 14 3.5% 6 1.5% 215 54.0% 142 35.7% 11 2.8% 10 2.5% 338 (CEDAR 22 13.8% 5 3.1% 71 44.4% 60 37.5% 0 0.0% 2 1.3% 160 CHRISTIAN 53 5.7% 23 2.5% 552 59.7% 277 30.0% 10 1.1% 9 1.0% 924 DADE 7 10.6% 13 4.5% 27 40.9% 25 37.9% 1 1.5% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 13 DOUGLAS 20 23.0% 1 1.11% 38 43.7% 26 29.9% 0 0.0% 2 2.3% 87 GREENE 324 8.6% 72 1.9% 23.24 61.4% 841 22.2% 41 1.1% 180 4.8% 3,782 HENRY 30 10.9% 9 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 3 1.1% 274 HICKORY 1 1.5% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1.4% 51.4% LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 21 72 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.2% 51.4% MCODNALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 51.4% MCODNALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 52.6 MMILER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 21.4 45.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.0% 2 2 0.0% 51.4 51.4 MMONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 2.9% 2 7 0.0% 9 1.0% 51.4 MMONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 2.9% 2 7 0.0% 9 1.1 4.2 5.0% 11 5.0% 11 4.2 5.	SOUTHWEST														
BENTON															
CAMDEN 14 3.5% 6 1.5% 215 54.0% 142 35.7% 11 2.8% 10 2.5% 398 CEDAR 22 13.8% 5 3.1% 71 44.4% 60 37.5% 10 0.0% 2 1.3% 160 CHRISTIAN 53 5.7% 23 2.5% 552 59.7% 277 30.0% 10 1.1% 9 1.0% 924 DADE 7 10.6% 3 4.5% 27 40.9% 25 37.9% 1 1.5% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 193 DOUGLAS 20 23.0% 1 1.1% 38 43.7% 26 29.9% 0 0.0% 2 2.3% 87 GREENE 324 8.6% 72 1.9% 2,324 61.4% 841 22.2% 41 1.1% 180 4.8% 3,782 HENRY 30 10.9% 9 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 3 1.1% 274 HICKORY 1 1.5% 2 2.9% 23 33.8% 33 48.5% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 68 JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 289 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 21.5 1.0% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 22.6 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 4 0.9% 2 2.0% 2 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 9 3.3% 36 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 9 3.3% 36 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 9 3.3% 36 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 9 3.3% 36 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 9 3.3% 36 52.5% 1 0.0% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9 3.6% 9															
CEDAR CHRISTIAN															
CHRISTIAN 53 5.7% 23 2.5% 552 59.7% 277 30.0% 10 1.1% 9 1.0% 92 40.9% 278 37.9% 11 1.5% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 3 1.6% 193 DOUGLAS 20 23.0% 1 1.1% 38 43.7% 26 29.9% 0 0.0% 2 2.3% 877 10.6% 378 11.1% 180 4.8% 378 21.4% HENRY 30 10.9% 9 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 3 1.1% 274 41.0% 175 1.0% 180 4.8% 378 22.9% 77 10.3% 68 1ASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 1.4% 1,466 1.4% 1															
DADE 7 10.6% 3 4.5% 27 40.9% 25 37.9% 1 1.5% 3 4.5% 66 DALLAS 15 7.8% 11 5.7% 85 44.0% 76 39.4% 3 1.6% 33 1.6% 193 DOUGLAS 20 23.0% 1 1.1% 38 43.7% 26 29.9% 0 0.0% 2 2.3% 87 GREENE 324 8.6% 72 1.9% 2,324 61.4% 841 22.2% 41 1.1% 180 4.8% 3,782 HENRY 30 10.9% 9 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 3 1.1% 274 HICKORY 1 1.5% 2 2.9% 23 33.8% 33 48.5% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 68 JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 289 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 4 0.8% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 69.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MIONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MIONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 0ZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 0ZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 1.4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 50 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 50 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 50 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 50 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 50 0.0% 0 0.0% 3 3.0% 34															
DALLAS DOUGLAS DOUG															
DOUGLAS 20 23.0% 1 1.1% 38 43.7% 26 29.9% 0 0.0% 2 2.3% 87 67 67 67 67 67 67 67															
GRENE 324 8.6% 72 1.9% 2,324 61.4% 841 22.2% 41 1.1% 180 4.8% 3,782 HENRY 30 10.9% 9 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 3 1.1% 274 HICKORY 1 1.5% 2 2.9% 73 33.8% 33 48.5% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 68 JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 289 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 4 0.8% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.9% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 QZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 43 51.0% 45.1% 51.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 0 2.1% 21.8% 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 ST.CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 461.1% 61.1% 61.5% 94 32.1% 22 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 34 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 27 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 27 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 27 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 27 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 24 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 27 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 24 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 21 30.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 21 30.6% 50 3.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 24 3.6% 50 3.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 22 30.6% 50 3.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 337 NWRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 22 3.5% 50 3.5% 1 2 3.1% 3 0.8% 357 NWRIGHT 50 22.5% 4 10.0% 111 46.1% 22 3.5% 50 3.5% 1															
HENRY 30 10.9% 9 3.3% 142 51.8% 74 27.0% 16 5.8% 3 1.1% 274 HICKORY 1 1.5% 2 2.9% 23 33.8% 33 48.5% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 68 JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 289 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 14 0.2% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 52.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 2 47.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 46 61.2 6.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 2.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 2.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 2.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 2.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 50.95.5% 12 3.1% 589 9.9% 5.957 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57.5% 57															
HICKORY 1 1.5% 2 2.9% 23 33.8% 33 48.5% 2 2.9% 7 10.3% 68 JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 248 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 14 0.8% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 65 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 23 53% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 TANEY 50 2.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL** 1,081 8.4% 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL** 1,48 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															,
JASPER 112 7.6% 80 5.5% 777 53.0% 465 31.7% 8 0.5% 23 1.6% 1,465 LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 289 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 4 0.8% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2.825 47.4% 2.166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 51.0UIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 17.47 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 118 6.9% 1,719 57.10UIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 17.47 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL* 188 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
LACLEDE 27 5.2% 5 1.0% 289 55.9% 177 34.2% 5 1.0% 14 2.7% 517 LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 4 0.8% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 5T. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 17.19 **REGION TOTAL** 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
LAWRENCE 26 5.0% 20 3.9% 247 47.8% 215 41.6% 5 1.0% 4 0.8% 517 MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 2 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 59 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 \$T REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 99 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 \$T REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 99 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 \$T REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 99 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 \$T REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 99 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 \$T LOUIS COUNTY 99 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
MCDONALD 23 10.2% 5 2.2% 127 56.2% 66 29.2% 4 1.8% 1 0.4% 226 MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 216 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.11 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,791 ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
MILLER 21 8.4% 3 1.2% 121 48.2% 97 38.6% 7 2.8% 2 0.8% 251 MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.11% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 92.4 59.8% 54.8% 54.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 174 84.8% 1,149 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 \$1.00 \$1.0															
MONITEAU 5 4.3% 2 1.7% 61 52.6% 41 35.3% 6 5.2% 1 0.9% 116 MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12.916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 5,957 **REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
MORGAN 16 6.5% 3 1.2% 142 57.5% 77 31.2% 0 0.0% 9 3.6% 247 NEWTON 64 12.6% 9 1.8% 303 59.8% 128 25.2% 1 0.2% 2 0.4% 507 OZARK 24 27.0% 2 2.2% 40 44.9% 22 24.7% 0 0.0% 1 1.1% 89 POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
NEWTON															
OZARK															
POLK 23 5.3% 9 2.1% 218 50.1% 160 36.8% 4 0.9% 21 4.8% 435 ST CLAIR 6 8.3% 0 0.0% 44 61.1% 22 30.6% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 72 STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
ST CLAIR															
STONE 35 11.9% 6 2.0% 151 51.5% 94 32.1% 2 0.7% 5 1.7% 293 TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 575 LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
TANEY 52 9.2% 5 0.9% 316 55.6% 178 31.3% 3 0.5% 14 2.5% 568 VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 \$51.00 ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
VERNON 22 9.1% 24 10.0% 111 46.1% 74 30.7% 1 0.4% 9 3.7% 241 WEBSTER 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 *REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON *REGION TOTAL* 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 **ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 **ST. LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 **REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
WEBSTER WRIGHT **REGION TOTAL* 16 4.1% 15 3.9% 134 34.6% 207 53.5% 12 3.1% 3 0.8% 387 WRIGHT **REGION TOTAL* 50 22.3% 4 1.8% 97 43.3% 66 29.5% 1 0.4% 6 2.7% 224 **REGION TOTAL* 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON **REGION TOTAL* 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY **ST LOUIS COUNTY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY **REGION TOTAL* 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 **REGION TOTAL* 9															
WRIGHT *REGION TOTAL* 50 22.3% 1,081 4 358 1.8% 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 6 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY *REGION TOTAL* 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 **T. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 51 LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 79 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 8.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 8.8% **REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 8.5%															
REGION TOTAL 1,081 8.4% 358 2.8% 7,083 54.8% 3,900 30.2% 148 1.1% 346 2.7% 12,916 KANSAS CITY JACKSON 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 *REGION TOTAL* 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557															
REGION TOTAL 238 4.0% 81 1.4% 2,825 47.4% 2,166 36.4% 58 1.0% 589 9.9% 5,957 ST. LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY 56 3.3% 36 2.1% 924 53.8% 546 31.8% 39 2.3% 118 6.9% 1,719 ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557		-													
ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557	KANSAS CITY						,		,						
ST LOUIS COUNTY 92 2.6% 125 3.5% 1,747 48.4% 1,419 39.3% 67 1.9% 157 4.4% 3,607 *REGION TOTAL* 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557	ST LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	56	3 3%	36	2 1%	92/	53 8%	5/16	31 8%	20	2 3%	112	6 9%	1 710
REGION TOTAL 148 2.8% 161 3.0% 2,671 50.2% 1,965 36.9% 106 2.0% 275 5.2% 5,326 OTHER OUT HOME INV 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557 *REGION TOTAL* 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557	31. 20013														
REGION TOTAL 9 1.6% 7 1.3% 278 49.9% 255 45.8% 7 1.3% 1 0.2% 557									•						
STATE TOTAL 3,283 6.6% 1,109 2.2% 26,440 53.1% 15,991 32.1% 625 1.3% 2,344 4.7% 49,792	OTHER														
	STATE TOTAL		3,283	6.6%	1,109	2.2%	26,440	53.1%	15,991	32.1%	625	1.3%	2,344	4.7%	49,792

Appendix G: FY 2022 Substantiated Incidents by Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY	PHYS ABL		NEC	LECT	EMOT ABL	IONAL	MEDI NEGI		EDUCA [*]		SEX	UAL USE	TOTAL INCIDENTS
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	1	25.0%	NEG 1	25.0%	0	0.0%	NEGI 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	INCIDENTS 4
NON INVEST	ATCHISON	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	35	48.6%	28	38.9%	8	11.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	23	31.9%	72
	CALDWELL	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	50.0%	8
	CARROLL	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	4
	CASS	21	33.3%	28	44.4%	7	11.1%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	31	49.2%	63
	CHARITON	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	3
	CLAY	21	35.0%	13	21.7%	13	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33	55.0%	60
	CLINTON	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	8
	COOPER	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	10
	DAVIESS	2	50.0% 16.7%	1	25.0%	2	50.0% 50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0% 66.7%	4
	DE KALB GENTRY	1 1	100.0%	4 0	66.7% 0.0%	3 0	0.0%	1 0	16.7% 0.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	4 0	0.0%	6 1
	GRUNDY	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	7
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	HOLT		100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JOHNSON	16	48.5%	15	45.5%	4	12.1%	2	6.1%	0	0.0%	15	45.5%	33
	LAFAYETTE	9	32.1%	10	35.7%	2	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	46.4%	28
	LINN	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	12
	LIVINGSTON	9	40.9%	8	36.4%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	7	31.8%	22
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1
	NODAWAY	7	43.8%	6	37.5%	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	31.3%	16
	PETTIS	9	29.0%	9	29.0%	2	6.5%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%	14	45.2%	31
	PLATTE	13	50.0%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	12	46.2%	26
	PUTNAM	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	RAY SALINE	3	27.3% 40.7%	3	27.3% 40.7%	1 5	9.1% 18.5%	0 2	0.0% 7.4%	0	0.0% 3.7%	5 11	45.5% 40.7%	11 27
	SULLIVAN	11 1	100.0%	11 1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1
	REGION TOTAL	187	39.9%	169	36.0%	60	12.8%	10	2.1%	4	0.9%	209	44.6%	468
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	12	40.0%	17	56.7%	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	10	33.3%	30
	AUDRAIN	14	66.7%	8	38.1%	6	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	7	33.3%	21
	BOONE	31	47.0%	32	48.5%	7	10.6%	6	9.1%	0	0.0%	19	28.8%	66
	CALLAWAY	10	29.4%	14	41.2%	4	11.8%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	14	41.2%	34
	CLARK	6	50.0%	7	58.3%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	5	41.7%	12
	COLE	8	30.8%	9	34.6%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	18	69.2%	26
	FRANKLIN	24	31.2%	30	39.0%	7	9.1%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	41	53.2%	77
	GASCONADE	1	16.7%	6		2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	6
	HOWARD	2	20.0%	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	10
	KNOX	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	LEWIS LINCOLN	4 17	44.4% 27.9%	5 34	55.6% 55.7%	1 11	11.1% 18.0%	0 2	0.0% 3.3%	0 1	0.0% 1.6%	3 21	33.3% 34.4%	9 61
	MACON	6	31.6%	12	63.2%	3	15.8%	3	3.3% 15.8%	2	10.5%	3	15.8%	19
	MARION	16	43.2%	24	64.9%	5	13.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	5	13.5%	37
	MONROE	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	7
	MONTGOMERY	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	63.6%	11
	OSAGE	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	8
	PIKE	5	31.3%	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	7	43.8%	16
	RALLS	5	62.5%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8
	RANDOLPH	14	56.0%	10	40.0%	5	20.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	5	20.0%	25
	SCHUYLER	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	SHELBY	5	41.7%	9	75.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	12
	ST CHARLES	53	30.1%	98	55.7%	26	14.8%	4	2.3%	4	2.3%	66	37.5%	176
	WARREN *REGION TOTAL*	4 248	14.3% 34.7%	9 363	32.1% 50.8%	5 97	17.9% 13.6%	0 27	0.0% 3.8%	0 16	0.0% 2.2%	16 267	57.1% 37.4%	28 714
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	4	40.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10
JOUTHLAST	BUTLER	23	33.8%	43	63.2%	9	13.2%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	26	38.2%	68
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	10	28.6%	28	80.0%	5	14.3%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%	5	14.3%	35
	CARTER	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	6
	CRAWFORD	6	33.3%	10	55.6%	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	9	50.0%	18
	DENT		25.0%	7	87.5%	1	12.5%		0.0%		12.5%	2		
	DENT	2	23.070	,	67.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5/0		25.0%	8

Appendix G: FY 2022 Substantiated Incidents by Category of Abuse/Neglect

Append	IX U. FT 202			itiati	cu III									
REGION	COUNTY	ABI		NEG		EMOT ABI	JSE	MED! NEG!	LECT	EDUCA NEG	LECT	SEXI ABI	JSE	TOTAL INCIDENTS
SOUTHEAST	HOWELL	21	46.7%	22	48.9%	6	13.3%	3	6.7%	1	2.2%	16	35.6%	45
	IRON	5	50.0%	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	60.0%	10
	JEFFERSON	38	31.1%	47	38.5%	13	10.7%	8	6.6%	0	0.0%	64	52.5%	122
	MADISON	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	66.7%	15
	MARIES	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	6	40.0%	10	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	40.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	3	16.7%	8	44.4%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	50.0%	18
	OREGON	4	40.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	6	35.3%	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	52.9%	17
	PERRY	3	37.5%	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8
	PHELPS	14	34.1%	20	48.8%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	17	41.5%	41
	PULASKI	23	52.3%	27	61.4%	8	18.2%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	9	20.5%	44
	REYNOLDS	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	9
	RIPLEY	6	60.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	10
	SCOTT	7	23.3%	11	36.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	18	60.0%	30
	SHANNON	9	100.0%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	9
	ST FRANCOIS	29	42.6%	28	41.2%	12	17.6%	3	4.4%	0	0.0%	36	52.9%	68
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	6
	STODDARD	8	34.8%	10	43.5%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	9	39.1%	23
	TEXAS	8	38.1%	12	57.1%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	38.1%	21
	WASHINGTON	14	50.0%	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	32.1%	28
	WAYNE	9	50.0%	8	44.4%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	12	66.7%	18
	REGION TOTAL	281	38.1%	363	49.2%	96	13.0%	34	4.6%	8	1.1%	326	44.2%	738
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	7
	BARTON	4	57.1%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7
	BATES	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4
	BENTON	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	4	33.3%	12
	CAMDEN	6	24.0%	19	76.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	28.0%	25
	CEDAR	6	37.5%	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	5	31.3%	16
	CHRISTIAN	5	18.5%	8	29.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	17	63.0%	27
	DADE	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	2
	DALLAS	5	35.7%	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	14
	DOUGLAS	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	8
	GREENE	79	44.4%	81	45.5%	34	19.1%	6	3.4%	0	0.0%	74	41.6%	178
	HENRY	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	7	43.8%	16
	HICKORY	7	63.6%	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11
	JASPER	23	32.9%	18	25.7%	9	12.9%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	39	55.7%	70
	LACLEDE	11	37.9%	18	62.1%	4	13.8%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	9	31.0%	29
	LAWRENCE	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	9
	MCDONALD	3	27.3%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	54.5%	11
	MILLER	6	25.0%	16	66.7%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	7	29.2%	24
	MONITEAU	3	50.0%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MORGAN	9	42.9%	12		4	19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	47.6%	21
	NEWTON	7	33.3%	4	19.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	13	61.9%	21
	OZARK	5	41.7%	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	12
	POLK	7	31.8%	6	27.3%	5	22.7%	Ö	0.0%	Ō	0.0%	13	59.1%	22
	ST CLAIR	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	Ö	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	8
	STONE	1	12.5%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	8
	TANEY	25	42.4%	25	42.4%	3	5.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	23	39.0%	59
	VERNON	3	21.4%	8	57.1%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	50.0%	14
	WEBSTER	15	40.5%	21	56.8%	4	10.8%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	12	32.4%	37
	WRIGHT	5	41.7%	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	50.0%	12
	REGION TOTAL	261		308	44.6%	94	13.6%	16	2.3%	5	0.7%	296	42.9%	690
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	59	38.1%	38	24.5%	14	9.0%	4	2.6%	2	1.3%	92	59.4%	155
	REGION TOTAL	59	38.1%	38	24.5%	14	9.0%	4	2.6%	2	1.3%	92	59.4%	155
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	52	53.1%	56	57.1%	12	12.2%	8	8.2%	2	2.0%	20	20.4%	98
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	57	40.7%	74	52.9%	28	20.0%	8	5.7%	1	0.7%	41	29.3%	140
	REGION TOTAL	109	45.8%	130	54.6%	40	16.8%	16	6.7%	3	1.3%	61	25.6%	238
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	57		26	24.1%	1	0.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	37		108
	REGION TOTAL	57	52.8%	26	24.1%	1	0.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	37	34.3%	108
STATE TOTAL		1,202	38.6%	1,397	44.9%	402	12.9%	110	3.5%	38	1.2%	1,288	41.4%	3,111
			,						, .					

A substantiated incident may have up to 6 categories of abuse/neglect. An incident will be counted for each type of abuse/neglect while the total column is a distinct count of substantiated incidents.

Appendix H: FY 2022 Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect

REGION	COUNTY		SICAL USE	NEG	LECT	EMOT ABI	ional Jse	MED! NEG!		EDUCAT NEGI		SEX AB	UAL USE	TOTAL CHILDREN
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	
	ATCHISON	1	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	38	44.2%	38	44.2%	13	15.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	24	27.9%	86
	CALDWELL	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	9
	CARROLL	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5
	CASS	27	33.3%	38	46.9%	13	16.0%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	33	40.7%	81
	CHARITON CLAY	5 23	71.4% 29.9%	0 25	0.0% 32.5%	1 23	14.3% 29.9%	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	3 38	42.9% 49.4%	7 77
	CLINTON	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	25 1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	50 6	75.0%	8
	COOPER	4	23.5%	14	82.4%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	29.4%	17
	DAVIESS	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4
	DE KALB	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	Ö	0.0%	4	50.0%	8
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GRUNDY	6	66.7%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	9
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	HOLT		100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JOHNSON	20	43.5%	28	60.9%	4	8.7%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	15	32.6%	46
	LAFAYETTE	9	28.1%	14	43.8%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	40.6%	32
	LINN LIVINGSTON	8 9	61.5% 36.0%	5 10	38.5% 40.0%	2 1	15.4% 4.0%	0 1	0.0% 4.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	3 8	23.1% 32.0%	13 25
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1
	NODAWAY	7	29.2%	13	54.2%	6	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	20.8%	24
	PETTIS	10	21.7%	18	39.1%	5	10.9%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	15	32.6%	46
	PLATTE	17	44.7%	15	39.5%	4	10.5%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	13	34.2%	38
	PUTNAM	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	RAY	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	45.5%	11
	SALINE	13	29.5%	25	56.8%	8	18.2%	4	9.1%	1	2.3%	12	27.3%	44
	SULLIVAN		100.0%		100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	WORTH *REGION TOTAL*	0 219	0.0% 35.9%	0 270	0.0% 44.3%	0 92	0.0% 15.1%	0 13	0.0% 2.1%	0 4	0.0% 0.7%	2 225	0.0% 36.9%	2 610
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	16	32.0%	33	66.0%	6	12.0%	3	6.0%	1	2.0%	13	26.0%	50
	AUDRAIN	14	50.0%	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	7	25.0%	28
	BOONE	32	36.4%	52	59.1%	14	15.9%	6	6.8%	0	0.0%	20	22.7%	88
	CALLAWAY	11	26.8%	21	51.2%	5	12.2%	0	0.0%	2	4.9%	14	34.1%	41
	CLARK	10	45.5%	16	72.7%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	6	27.3%	22
	COLE	8	24.2%	14	42.4%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	20	60.6%	33
	FRANKLIN	25	27.5%	43	47.3%	10	11.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	41	45.1%	91
	GASCONADE	1	11.1%		100.0%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	HOWARD KNOX	4 1	19.0% 7.7%	15 12	71.4% 92.3%	0 1	0.0% 7.7%	0 2	0.0% 15.4%	0	0.0% 0.0%	5 0	23.8% 0.0%	21 13
	LEWIS	8	42.1%	15	78.9%	1	7.7% 5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	15.8%	19
	LINCOLN	19	21.8%	58	66.7%	18	20.7%	2	2.3%	1	1.1%	23	26.4%	87
	MACON	8	22.9%	26	74.3%	5	14.3%	4	11.4%	3	8.6%	3	8.6%	35
	MARION	18	36.0%	35		7		0	0.0%	2	4.0%	5		
	MONROE	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	8
	MONTGOMERY	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	63.6%	
	OSAGE	1	7.7%	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	13
	PIKE	6	23.1%	17	65.4%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	7	26.9%	
	RALLS	5 14	62.5%	5 21	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	
	RANDOLPH SCHUYLER	14 3	37.8% 50.0%	21 3	56.8% 50.0%	9	24.3% 0.0%	3 0	8.1% 0.0%	1 0	2.7% 0.0%	5 2	13.5% 33.3%	37 6
	SCOTLAND	1	10.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	SHELBY	7	38.9%	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	18
	ST CHARLES	54	22.3%	154	63.6%	41	16.9%	4	1.7%	6	2.5%	73	30.2%	242
	WARREN	4	11.4%	14	40.0%	8	22.9%	0	0.0%	Ö	0.0%	18	51.4%	35
	REGION TOTAL	275	27.5%	616	61.5%	149	14.9%	29	2.9%	21	2.1%	287	28.7%	1,001
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	4	28.6%	9	64.3%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	14
	BUTLER	27	28.4%	67	70.5%	10	10.5%	6	6.3%	1	1.1%	30	31.6%	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	13	21.7%	53	88.3%	11	18.3%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	5	8.3%	60
	CRAWEORD	2	33.3%	2 17	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	6
	CRAWFORD DENT	6 4	22.2% 30.8%	17 12	63.0% 92.3%	8 1	29.6% 7.7%	2 0	7.4% 0.0%	0 2	0.0% 15.4%	12 2	44.4% 15.4%	27 13
	DUNKLIN	8	30.8%	10	92.3% 40.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	12		25
	DOMNEH	3	32.070	10	-10.0 /0	-	10.070	_	7.070	1	7.070	12	- 0.070	23

Appendix H: FY 2022 Substantiated Children by Category of Abuse/Neglect

-		PHYS	ICAI				IONAL	MED	ICAI	EDUCAT	TIONAL	SEX	JAI	TOTAL
REGION	COUNTY	ABL		NEG	LECT	ABI		NEG		NEGL				CHILDREN
SOUTHEAST	HOWELL	22	37.3%	35	59.3%	7	11.9%	3	5.1%	1	1.7%	19	32.2%	59
	IRON	9	50.0%	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	38.9%	18
	JEFFERSON	47	29.0%	78	48.1%	14	8.6%	10	6.2%	0	0.0%	70	43.2%	162
	MADISON	11	50.0%	8	36.4%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	45.5%	22
	MARIES	9	56.3%	6	37.5%	6	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	31.3%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	8	30.8%	20	76.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	26.9%	26
	NEW MADRID	6	22.2%	14	51.9%	3	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	37.0%	27
	OREGON	6	35.3%	11	64.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	29.4%	17
	PEMISCOT	8	33.3%	13	54.2%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	41.7%	24
	PERRY	3	27.3%	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
	PHELPS	17	30.9%	33	60.0%	4	7.3%	1	1.8%	0	0.0%	18	32.7%	55
	PULASKI	27	43.5%	44	71.0%	13	21.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	10	16.1%	62
	REYNOLDS	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	9	69.2%	13
	RIPLEY	9	60.0%	8	53.3%	4	26.7%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	15
	SCOTT	8	20.5%	20	51.3%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	18	46.2%	39
	SHANNON	12	92.3%	9	69.2%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	36	37.9%	46	48.4%	14	14.7%	3	3.2%	0	0.0%	41	43.2%	95
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	7
	STODDARD	8	21.6%	16	43.2%	6	16.2%	3	8.1%	3	8.1%	11	29.7%	37
	TEXAS	11	36.7%	19	63.3%	4	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	33.3%	30
	WASHINGTON	15 10	42.9%	22	62.9%	9	25.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	25.7%	35 26
	WAYNE *REGION TOTAL*	352	38.5% 33.6%	14 617	53.8% 58.8%	1 132	3.8% 12.6%	1 46	3.8% 4.4%	1 5	0.0% 1.4%	14 364	53.8% 34.7%	1,049
	11201011 101712	332	33.070	01,	30.070	132	12.0/0	0	11.170		21170	50.	3 117 70	2,015
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	8
	BARTON	4	36.4%	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11
	BATES	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	6
	BENTON	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	13
	CAMDEN	6	19.4%	25	80.6%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	22.6%	31
	CEDAR	6	35.3%	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	5	29.4%	17
	CHRISTIAN	5	15.6%	13	40.6%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	18	56.3%	32
	DADE DALLAS	0 5	0.0%		100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1 2	50.0% 9.5%	2
		5 4	23.8%	16	76.2%	8	38.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%			21
	DOUGLAS GREENE	91	40.0% 38.7%	7 125	70.0% 53.2%	3 47	30.0% 20.0%	7	10.0% 3.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	3 75	30.0% 31.9%	10 235
	HENRY	8	38.1%	8	38.1%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	9	42.9%	233
	HICKORY	10	55.6%	14	77.8%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	18
	JASPER	24	30.4%	25	31.6%	15	19.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	40	50.6%	79
	LACLEDE	13	36.1%	25	69.4%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	10	27.8%	36
	LAWRENCE	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	9
	MCDONALD	4	26.7%	6	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	46.7%	15
	MILLER	6	15.8%	26	68.4%	5	13.2%	0	0.0%	3	7.9%	9	23.7%	38
	MONITEAU	3	27.3%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	MORGAN	11	42.3%	16	61.5%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	38.5%	26
	NEWTON	7	25.0%	8	28.6%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	15	53.6%	28
	OZARK	6	33.3%	10	55.6%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	18
	POLK	7	29.2%	7	29.2%	7	29.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	54.2%	24
	ST CLAIR	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	10
	STONE	2	18.2%	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11
	TANEY	26	31.0%	49	58.3%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	26	31.0%	84
	VERNON	4	13.8%	21	72.4%	7	24.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	31.0%	29
	WEBSTER	18	32.7%	38	69.1%	7	12.7%	0	0.0%	4	7.3%	13	23.6%	55
	WRIGHT	5	31.3%	10	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	37.5%	16
	REGION TOTAL	292	31.9%	494	54.0%	138	15.1%	17	1.9%	10	1.1%	317	34.7%	914
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	62	34.1%	54	29.7%	18	9.9%	4	2.2%	2	1.1%	103	56.6%	182
	REGION TOTAL	62	34.1%	54	29.7%	18	9.9%	4	2.2%	2	1.1%	103	56.6%	182
ST. LOUIS	ST LOUIS CITY	60	47.6%	83	65.9%	19	15.1%	11	8.7%	3	2.4%	20	15.9%	126
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	64	36.6%	101		31	17.7%	9	5.1%		2.9%	42	24.0%	175
	REGION TOTAL	124	41.2%	184	61.1%	50	16.6%	20	6.6%	8	2.7%	62	20.6%	301
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	63 63	33.7%	72 72	38.5%	1	0.5%	3	1.6%		0.0%	66 66	35.3% 35.3 %	187
	REGION TOTAL	63	33.7%	72	38.5%	1	0.5%	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	66	35.3%	187
STATE TOTAL		1,387	32.7%	2,307	54.4%	580	13.7%	132	3.1%	60	1.4%	1,424	33.6%	4,244

A substantiated child may have up to 6 categories of abuse/neglect. A child will be counted for each type of abuse/neglect while the total column is a distinct count of substantiated children.

Appendix I: Substantiated CA/N Fatalities by Fiscal Year

	COUNTY	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
NORTHWEST	ANDREW	0	0	0	1	0
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0
	BUCHANAN	4	1	1	2	0
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	0
	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0
	CASS CHARITON	0 1	0 1	0 0	0 0	1 0
	CLAY	1	4	2	1	3
	CLINTON	0	0	1	1	0
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	0
	DAVIESS	0	0	0	0	0
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0
	GENTRY	1	0	0	0	0
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	0
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	0
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	0
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	0
	LINN	0	1	0	0	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	1
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	0
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	0
	PETTIS PLATTE	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 1	2 3
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	0
	RAY	0	0	0	0	1
	SALINE	0	0	1	0	0
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0
	REGION TOTAL	7	7	6	7	11
NORTHEAST	ADAIR	1	0	1	1	1
	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	0
	BOONE	1	1	0	1	0
	CALLAWAY	1	0	0	0	0
	CLARK	0	0	0	1	0
	COLE	0	1	0	1	0
	FRANKLIN	2	0	0	2	1
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	0
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	0
	KNOX	0	0	1	0	0
	LEWIS	0	1	0	0	1
	LINCOLN MACON	1 1	0 0	0 0	2 0	2 0
	MARION	0	0	0	2	0
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	0
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	0
	OSAGE	0	0	1	0	0
	PIKE	0	0	0	1	Ö
	RALLS	0	0	2	0	0
	RANDOLPH	0	0	1	0	0
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	0
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	1
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	0
	ST. CHARLES	3	2	0	1	2
	WARREN	0	0	0	2	2
	REGION TOTAL	10	5	6	14	10
SOUTHEAST	BOLLINGER	0	0	1	0	0
	BUTLER	0	1	0	2	1
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	1	0	0	0
	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0
	CRAWFORD	2	0	0	0	0
	DENT	0	0	0	1	0
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix I: Substantiated CA/N Fatalities by Fiscal Year

	COUNTY	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
SOUTHEAST	HOWELL	0	1	0	0	1
	IRON	0	0	0	0	0
	JEFFERSON	1	0	3	3	1
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	0
	MARIES	0	1	0	0	0
	MISSISSIPPI	0	1	0	0	1
	NEW MADRID	2	0	1	0	0
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	0
	PEMISCOT PERRY	0 0	1 0	2 0	0 0	1 1
	PHELPS	0	0	1	0	0
	PULASKI	1	1	1	0	1
	REYNOLDS	0	1	2	0	0
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	0
	SCOTT	0	1	1	0	1
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	0
	ST. FRANCOIS	1	0	1	0	1
	STE. GENEVIEVE	0	0	1	0	0
	STODDARD	0	0	0	1	0
	TEXAS	0	0	0	1	1
	WASHINGTON	1	0	1	1	1
	WAYNE	0	0	1	0	0
	REGION TOTAL	10	9	16	9	11
SOUTHWEST	BARRY	0	0	0	0	0
	BARTON	0	0	0	1	0
	BATES	0	0	0	0	0
	BENTON	0	0	0	1	0
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	1
	CEDAR	0	0	1	0	0
	CHRISTIAN	2	0	0	0	0
	DADE	0	0	0	0	0
	DALLAS	0	0	1	1	0
	DOUGLAS	0	1	0	0	0
	GREENE	2	1	0	2	1
	HENRY	0	1	0	0	0
	HICKORY	0 2	0	0	0 0	0 1
	JASPER LACLEDE	1	1 0	1 1	1	1
	LACLEDE	0	0	1	0	0
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	0
	MILLER	0	2	0	1	0
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	0
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	0
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	0
	OZARK	1	0	0	0	0
	POLK	1	0	0	2	0
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0
	STONE	1	0	0	0	0
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	0
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	0
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	0
	WRIGHT	0	1	0	1	1
	REGION TOTAL	10	7	5	10	5
KANSAS CITY	JACKSON	2	4	1	6	5
	REGION TOTAL	2	4	1	6	5
ST. LOUIS	ST. LOUIS CITY	1	3	7	10	1
	ST. LOUIS COUNTY	6	9	9	14	5
	REGION TOTAL	7	12	16	24	6
OTHER	OUT HOME INV	3	0	1	2	1
•	*REGION TOTAL*	3	0	1	2	1
STATE TOTAL		49	44	51	72	49
JIAIL IOIAL		73	77	31	12	75

Legal

The Missouri Child Abuse Law, Section 210.110 RSMo defines:

Abuse as any physical injury, sexual abuse, or emotional abuse inflicted on a child other than by accidental means by those responsible for the child's care, custody, and control, except that discipline including spanking, administered in a reasonable manner, shall not be construed to be abuse. Victims of abuse shall also include any victims of sex trafficking or severe forms of trafficking as those terms are defined in 22 U.S.C. 78 Section 7102(9)-(10).

Neglect as failure to provide, by those responsible for the care, custody, and control of the child, the proper or necessary support, education as required by law, nutrition or medical, surgical, or any other care necessary for the child's well-being. Victims of neglect shall also include any victims of sex trafficking or severe forms of trafficking as those terms are defined in 22 U.S.C. 78 Section 7102(9)-(10).

Sex trafficking is defined as the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, obtaining, patronizing, or soliciting of a person for the purpose of a commercial sex act.

Severe forms of trafficking in persons is defined as:

- (A) Sex trafficking in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age; or
- (B) The recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services, through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery.

Care, custody and **control** of the child includes, but is not limited to:

- The parents or legal guardians of the child;
- Other members of the child's household;
- Those exercising supervision over a child for any part of a twenty-four hour day;
- Any adult person who has access to the child based on relationship to the parents of the child or members of the child's household or the family;
- Any person who takes control of the child by deception, force, or coercion; or
- School personnel, contractors and volunteers, if the relationship with the child was established through the school or through school-related activities, even if the alleged abuse or neglect occurred outside of school hours or off school grounds.

Investigation is the collection of physical and verbal evidence to determine if a child has been abused or neglected.

Assessment and services provides for a prompt assessment of a child and their family when the child has been reported to the CD as a victim of abuse or neglect by a person responsible for that child's care, custody or control. Assessments include the provision of community-based services to reduce the risk of abuse and neglect and to support the family. This approach takes the place of the traditional investigation.

Juvenile assessments: As of August 28, 2015 the Children's Division utilizes a family assessment and services approach when reports are received containing concerns of children with problem sexual behaviors. A child with problem sexual behavior is defined as 'any person, under fourteen years of age, who has allegedly committed sexual abuse against another child'. These reports are screened by the Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline Unit when any child under the age of fourteen (14) is alleged to have committed an act of sexual abuse against any person under the age of eighteen (18). Prior to May 2018, non-caretaker referrals, investigations, or traditional assessments may all have met criteria for a juvenile report. In May 2018, CD policy allowed juvenile reports to be treated like Family Assessments and the system was changed to be able to track the report as juvenile.

Differential response assessments are those assessments determined by the family, CD worker and supervisor as needing more intensive work, Its' purpose is to provide the family with needed resources, support and services to further promote safety and well-being of the family during the assessment period.

RSMo 210.115. Reports of abuse or neglect, who shall make — When any physician, medical examiner, coroner, dentist, chiropractor, optometrist, podiatrist, resident, intern, nurse, hospital or clinic personnel that are engaged in the examination, care, treatment, or research of persons, and any other health practitioner, psychologist, mental health professional, social worker, day care center worker or other child care worker, juvenile officer, probation or parole officer, jail or detention center personnel, teacher, principal or other school official, minister as provided by section 352.400, RSMo, peace officer or law enforcement official, or other person with responsibility for the care of children, has reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been or may be subjected to abuse or neglect or observes a child being subjected to conditions or circumstances which would reasonably result in abuse or neglect, that person shall immediately report or cause a report to be made to the division in accordance with the provisions of sections 210.109 to 210.183.

RSMo 352.400 - Christian Science practitioners were added to the definition of ministers with regard to the individuals required to report incidents of suspected child abuse/neglect. Minister is defined as "any person while practicing as a minister of the gospel, clergyperson, priest, rabbi, Christian Science practitioner, or other person serving in a similar capacity for any religious organization who is responsible for or who has supervisory authority over one who is responsible for the care, custody, and control of a child or has access to a child", effective August 28, 2003.

Operational

At the end of each child abuse/neglect investigation/assessment, the Children's Service Worker reaches a conclusion.

The following are operational definitions for **Investigative** conclusions:

Substantiated: A finding that abuse/neglect has occurred or is occurring as a result of the observation of visible signs, physical and/or credible verbal evidence provided to the Children's Service Worker by the child, perpetrator or witnesses in accordance with the definitions of abuse/neglect. This includes cases which are adjudicated by the courts and those with preponderance of evidence.

Unsubstantiated-Preventive Services Indicated: A finding that insufficient visible signs, physical and/or credible evidence exist, but where the Children's Service Worker determines that indicators are present which, if unresolved, could potentially contribute to child abuse/neglect.

Unsubstantiated: A finding that insufficient physical or credible verbal evidence exists and where few or no indicators are identified and the Children's Service worker has not identified a specific threat exists for the child.

The following are definitions for **Assessment** conclusions:

Agency Responded Refer to FCS or AC Case Opened: The Division responded to the report and at some point during the assessment period referred the family to Family Centered Services (FCS) or the child was taken into custody and Alternative Care (AC) case was opened.

Agency Responded Services Provided: The Division responded to the report and found concerns in the home.

Agency Responded Concerns Addressed: The Division responded to the report and found concerns in the home but those concerns were addressed during the assessment process, community resources or other resources from staff.

Agency Responded No Concerns Found: The Division responded to the report, assured the safety of the children, spoke with parents/caretaker, made a home visit and found the allegations in the report to have no merit.

Family Declined Services Child Safe: The Division offered to provide Differential Response services but the family refused. CD staff was able to document the child was safe.

Family Uncooperative Child Safe: The Division offered to provide Differential Response services but the family refused. CD staff was able to document the child was safe.

For a small number of reports, the definitions on the previous page are not applicable. Other conclusions for either investigations or assessments include **Unable to Locate**, **Inappropriate Report**, **Located Out of State**, **Home Schooling**, **Already Investigated**, and **School Investigation by School Board** (Substantiated, Unsubstantiated, Unresolved).

Methodological

The following are technical definitions used in the computations of the statistics throughout this report:

Reported incident: An allegation of child abuse/neglect made to the hotline which meets the legal definitions for abuse/neglect and for care, custody, and control. A reported incident may involve more than one child and more than one alleged perpetrator. The terms "report," "incident" and "reported incident" are used interchangeably throughout this report.

Incident conclusion: The Children's Service Worker assigns a conclusion for each child and for each alleged perpetrator involved in an incident. If at least one child is substantiated, the incident is considered to be substantiated.

Incident category of abuse/neglect: Up to fifty findings of abuse/neglect can be assigned to each substantiated child. Each incident may have up to six categories of abuse/neglect because each child may be substantiated for different types of abuse/neglect. For incidents involving more than one child, each category of abuse/neglect is counted once if at least one child was substantiated for that category.

Reported child: A child named in a reported incident of child abuse/neglect. A child may be reported more than one time during the year, and unless otherwise indicated, counts of children are duplicated in this report.

Child conclusion: The Children's Service Worker assigns a conclusion for each child involved in an incident. For example, some children in the report may be substantiated while others may be unsubstantiated.

Child category of abuse/neglect: Each substantiated child may be assigned up to six categories of abuse/neglect.

Alleged perpetrator: A person named as the perpetrator in a reported incident of child abuse/neglect. An alleged perpetrator may be involved in more than one incident during the year. Unless otherwise indicated, counts of alleged perpetrators are duplicated in this report.

Alleged perpetrator conclusion: The Children's Service Worker assigns a conclusion to each alleged perpetrator involved in an incident. One perpetrator in a report may be substantiated while another may be unsubstantiated.

Substantiated perpetrator: When a conclusion of an investigation in which the Division has made a determination of child abuse or neglect by a Preponderance of Evidence in accordance with the law and the allegations against the perpetrator are determined substantiated.