



Intensive In-home Services  
Annual Report  
Fiscal Year 2011

Research and Evaluation  
December 2012



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, INTERIM DIRECTOR

---

CHILDREN'S DIVISION

CANDACE A. SHIVELY, DIRECTOR

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2011. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2011 (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI  
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED  
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

*An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.*

# Table of Contents

<b>Families and Children Referred and Served .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Table 1 Families Referred for IIS .....	2
Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted .....	4
Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into IIS .....	6
Table 4 Families Accepted by Referral Source Code .....	8
Table 5 Families and Children Accepted by Month .....	10
Table 6 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages .....	10
Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention .....	11
Table 8 Duration of IIS Intervention by Site .....	11
Table 9 Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status .....	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables .....	14
<b>Heads of Household Served .....</b>	<b>16</b>
Table 10 Head of Household Age for Families Accepted .....	17
Table 11 Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted .....	19
Table 12 Head of Household Race for Families Accepted .....	21
Table 13 Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted .....	25
Table 14 Head of Household Income for Families Accepted .....	29
Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	33
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables .....	34
<b>At-risk Children Served .....</b>	<b>35</b>
Table 16 Age of At-risk Children Accepted .....	36
Table 17 Gender of At-risk Children Accepted .....	38
Table 18 Race of At-risk Children Accepted .....	40
Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category .....	44
Table 20 At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented .....	48
Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	52
Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables .....	53
<b>Outcomes .....</b>	<b>54</b>
Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting Previous 4 FYs .....	55
Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider .....	55
Table 24 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider Chart .....	55
Table 25 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report .....	56
Table 26 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report Chart .....	56
Table 27 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 .....	57
Table 28 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 Chart .....	57
Notes: Outcomes Tables .....	58

**Intensive In-home Services**  
**Families and Children Referred and Served**  
**During FY 2011**

**Table 1  
Families Referred for IIS During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	143	31.9%	302	67.4%	3	.7%	448
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>67.4%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>448</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	302	59.9%	201	39.9%	1	.2%	504
	ST LOUIS CITY	192	60.6%	122	38.5%	3	.9%	317
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>60.2%</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>821</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	15	48.4%	16	51.6%	0	.0%	31
	BOONE	30	46.2%	35	53.8%	0	.0%	65
	CALLAWAY	18	64.3%	10	35.7%	0	.0%	28
	COLE	32	74.4%	11	25.6%	0	.0%	43
	HOWARD	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	0	.0%	9
	MONTGOMERY	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	.0%	19
	RANDOLPH	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	26
	WARREN	13	44.8%	16	55.2%	0	.0%	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>44.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>250</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	22	43.1%	29	56.9%	0	.0%	51
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CHARITON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLINTON	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	12
	DAVISS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	GRUNDY	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	7
	HARRISON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	LINN	14	48.3%	15	51.7%	0	.0%	29
	LIVINGSTON	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	0	.0%	10
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	1	3.7%	23	85.2%	3	11.1%	27
PUTNAM	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9	
SULLIVAN	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>63.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>178</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	12	54.5%	10	45.5%	0	.0%	22
	DENT	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	8	19.5%	33	80.5%	0	.0%	41
	IRON	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	PHELPS	7	21.2%	26	78.8%	0	.0%	33
	PULASKI	12	30.0%	28	70.0%	0	.0%	40
	REYNOLDS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	SHANNON	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	TEXAS	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	WAYNE	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>68.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>184</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	3	23.1%	10	76.9%	0	.0%	13
	BENTON	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	9
	CAMDEN	6	31.6%	13	68.4%	0	.0%	19
	DALLAS	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	8
	HENRY	13	40.6%	19	59.4%	0	.0%	32
	HICKORY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	14	37.8%	23	62.2%	0	.0%	37
	MILLER	15	55.6%	12	44.4%	0	.0%	27
	MONITEAU	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	MORGAN	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8
	POLK	9	33.3%	18	66.7%	0	.0%	27
	ST CLAIR	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	6
	WEBSTER	4	19.0%	17	81.0%	0	.0%	21
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>63.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>212</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	CEDAR	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	42	27.5%	111	72.5%	0	.0%	153
	MCDONALD	6	15.4%	33	84.6%	0	.0%	39
	NEWTON	15	18.8%	65	81.3%	0	.0%	80
	VERNON	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>300</b>

**Table 1 (continued)**  
**Families Referred for IIS During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	0	.0%	18
	CHRISTIAN	14	41.2%	20	58.8%	0	.0%	34
	DOUGLAS	4	15.4%	22	84.6%	0	.0%	26
	LAWRENCE	11	47.8%	12	52.2%	0	.0%	23
	OZARK	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	0	.0%	14
	STONE	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	0	.0%	13
	TANEY	26	36.1%	46	63.9%	0	.0%	72
	WRIGHT	8	27.6%	21	72.4%	0	.0%	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>229</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	136	32.5%	280	67.0%	2	.5%	418
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>67.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>418</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	12	30.0%	28	70.0%	0	.0%	40
	MARION	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONROE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	PIKE	3	12.0%	22	88.0%	0	.0%	25
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	19	19.2%	80	80.8%	0	.0%	99
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>187</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	15	44.1%	19	55.9%	0	.0%	34
	CLARK	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	KNOX	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	MACON	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SCHUYLER	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	9
	SHELBY	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	13
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>60.2%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>98</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	14	35.0%	26	65.0%	0	.0%	40
	GASCONADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	67	37.6%	111	62.4%	0	.0%	178
	OSAGE	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>227</b>
SITE 933	CASS	19	31.7%	41	68.3%	0	.0%	60
	COOPER	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	JOHNSON	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	0	.0%	15
	PETTIS	31	64.6%	17	35.4%	0	.0%	48
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	16	55.2%	12	41.4%	1	3.4%	29
	LAFAYETTE	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	0	.0%	17
	PLATTE	5	41.7%	6	50.0%	1	8.3%	12
	RAY	9	64.3%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	14
	SALINE	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	0	.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>95</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	0	.0%	9
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	30	44.1%	38	55.9%	0	.0%	68
	MADISON	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	0	.0%	22
	MISSISSIPPI	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	8
	PERRY	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	21
	ST FRANCOIS	45	58.4%	32	41.6%	0	.0%	77
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	SCOTT	5	13.9%	29	80.6%	2	5.6%	36
	WASHINGTON	15	65.2%	8	34.8%	0	.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>274</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	25	58.1%	17	39.5%	1	2.3%	43
	DUNKLIN	18	31.0%	40	69.0%	0	.0%	58
	NEW MADRID	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	0	.0%	17
	PEMISCOT	7	18.9%	30	81.1%	0	.0%	37
	RIPLEY	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	STODDARD	11	13.1%	73	86.9%	0	.0%	84
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>249</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,780</b>	<b>41.4%</b>	<b>2,503</b>	<b>58.2%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>4,301</b>

**Table 2**  
**Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2011**

**Reason Not Accepted Codes**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	26	5	1	5	39	4	1	224	1	0	0	0	10	1	0	128	445
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>445</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	4	2	11	17	51	4	20	139	0	0	0	7	5	5	0	58	323
	ST LOUIS CITY	7	0	17	13	23	6	3	60	0	0	1	10	0	9	0	28	177
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>500</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	4	5	1	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	23
	BOONE	0	4	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	31	45
	CALLAWAY	3	5	0	1	4	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	22
	COLE	0	4	0	2	5	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	HOWARD	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	MONTGOMERY	4	1	5	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	RANDOLPH	1	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10
	WARREN	0	12	2	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>172</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	ATCHISON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8
	BUCHANAN	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	42
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CHARITON	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CLINTON	0	8	0	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	GRUNDY	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	HARRISON	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	5
	LINN	0	10	4	0	4	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	25
	LIVINGSTON	3	1	1	2	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	MERCER	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	NODAWAY	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	34
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	11
	SULLIVAN	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>184</b>	
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	CRAWFORD	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	DENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	HOWELL	3	11	12	4	5	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	47
	IRON	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	MARIES	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	OREGON	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	PHELPS	0	0	8	0	2	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	39
	PULASKI	0	7	6	0	1	1	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	36
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	SHANNON	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	TEXAS	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9
	WAYNE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>191</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	10	4	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	BENTON	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
	CAMDEN	4	4	3	2	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	DALLAS	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	HENRY	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	32
	HICKORY	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	LACLEDE	6	13	3	1	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46
	MILLER	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	MONITEAU	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	MORGAN	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	POLK	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	ST CLAIR	0	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9
	WEBSTER	0	8	3	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	23
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>229</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CEDAR	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	DADE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	JASPER	1	2	5	0	39	0	0	87	0	4	0	0	5	5	0	14	162
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	40
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	98	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	107
	VERNON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>333</b>

**Table 2 (continued)**  
**Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2011**

**Reason Not Accepted Codes**

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 738	BARRY	0	8	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	16
	CHRISTIAN	1	20	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	39
	DOUGLAS	0	18	3	5	3	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	39
	LAWRENCE	0	4	1	1	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	OZARK	0	3	0	0	9	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	STONE	0	17	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
	TANEY	1	25	6	0	2	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	91
	WRIGHT	11	13	0	0	5	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	35
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>285</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	1	21	13	15	18	1	0	256	0	0	0	11	7	7	0	4	354
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>354</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	9	15	0	0	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
	MARION	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PIKE	1	6	4	1	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	26
	RALLS	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	ST CHARLES	1	23	36	6	9	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	118
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>197</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
	CLARK	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	5
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	SCOTLAND	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>54</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	39
	JEFFERSON	7	1	3	20	10	1	7	91	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	22	163
	OSAGE	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>206</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	21	4	3	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	11	90
	COOPER	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JOHNSON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10
	PETTIS	4	3	0	3	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	32
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>134</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	CLAY	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	2	22
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
	PLATTE	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13
	RAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SALINE	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>57</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	0	0	3	3	0	3	22	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	37
	MADISON	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	22
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	13
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	ST FRANCOIS	0	22	0	0	2	3	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SCOTT	2	0	2	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	31
	WASHINGTON	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>201</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	4	3	4	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	26
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	62
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	23
	PEMISCOT	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	10	58
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	6
	STODDARD	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	84	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	103
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>278</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1,837</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>3,820</b>	

**Table 3  
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services  
During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	138	399	2.89	344	2.49
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>2.89</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>2.49</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	304	759	2.50	526	1.73
	ST LOUIS CITY	186	529	2.84	349	1.88
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>1,288</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>875</b>	<b>1.79</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	13	33	2.54	23	1.77
	BOONE	31	84	2.71	59	1.90
	CALLAWAY	20	47	2.35	36	1.80
	COLE	34	90	2.65	61	1.79
	HOWARD	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	MONTGOMERY	11	29	2.64	18	1.64
	RANDOLPH	18	47	2.61	30	1.67
	WARREN	13	27	2.08	22	1.69
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>2.54</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>1.78</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	19	41	2.16	31	1.63
	CALDWELL	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	CHARITON	1	3	3.00	1	1.00
	CLINTON	7	20	2.86	17	2.43
	DAVISS	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	DE KALB	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	GENTRY	2	10	5.00	9	4.50
	GRUNDY	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	HARRISON	5	9	1.80	6	1.20
	LINN	14	38	2.71	30	2.14
	LIVINGSTON	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	NODAWAY	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	PUTNAM	4	6	1.50	6	1.50
	SULLIVAN	2	2	1.00	2	1.00
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>2.38</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>1.93</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	11	20	1.82	18	1.64
	DENT	2	6	3.00	6	3.00
	HOWELL	8	20	2.50	15	1.88
	IRON	4	10	2.50	7	1.75
	MARIES	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	OREGON	3	4	1.33	4	1.33
	PHELPS	7	17	2.43	11	1.57
	PULASKI	13	30	2.31	21	1.62
	REYNOLDS	1	2	2.00	1	1.00
	TEXAS	7	16	2.29	11	1.57
	WAYNE	2	6	3.00	5	2.50
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>2.29</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>1.75</b>
SITE 736	BATES	3	6	2.00	4	1.33
	BENTON	5	15	3.00	7	1.40
	CAMDEN	6	13	2.17	11	1.83
	DALLAS	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	HENRY	12	23	1.92	19	1.58
	HICKORY	2	9	4.50	6	3.00
	LACLEDE	10	24	2.40	20	2.00
	MILLER	15	37	2.47	27	1.80
	MORGAN	3	12	4.00	12	4.00
	POLK	7	25	3.57	11	1.57
	ST CLAIR	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	WEBSTER	6	15	2.50	12	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>2.59</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>1.89</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	4	7	1.75	6	1.50
	CEDAR	4	10	2.50	8	2.00
	DADE	2	4	2.00	2	1.00
	JASPER	42	115	2.74	98	2.33
	MCDONALD	5	12	2.40	5	1.00
	NEWTON	16	41	2.56	35	2.19
	VERNON	2	5	2.50	4	2.00
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>2.59</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>2.11</b>	

**Table 3 (continued)**  
**Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services**  
**During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 738	BARRY	8	18	2.25	12	1.50
	CHRISTIAN	15	36	2.40	25	1.67
	DOUGLAS	3	9	3.00	6	2.00
	LAWRENCE	12	32	2.67	29	2.42
	OZARK	4	10	2.50	7	1.75
	STONE	4	7	1.75	6	1.50
	TANEY	26	59	2.27	47	1.81
	WRIGHT	9	21	2.33	19	2.11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>2.37</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>1.86</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	132	255	1.93	224	1.70
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>1.70</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	11	24	2.18	17	1.55
	MARION	8	25	3.13	25	3.13
	MONROE	4	11	2.75	11	2.75
	PIKE	2	7	3.50	2	1.00
	RALLS	3	6	2.00	4	1.33
	ST CHARLES	19	49	2.58	35	1.84
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>2.00</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	34	2.43	30	2.14
	CLARK	6	13	2.17	11	1.83
	KNOX	2	6	3.00	4	2.00
	LEWIS	5	9	1.80	8	1.60
	MACON	12	25	2.08	25	2.08
	SCHUYLER	4	6	1.50	4	1.00
	SCOTLAND	5	8	1.60	5	1.00
	SHELBY	10	33	3.30	29	2.90
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>2.31</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>2.00</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	14	31	2.21	28	2.00
	GASCONADE	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	JEFFERSON	64	160	2.50	127	1.98
	OSAGE	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>2.44</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>2.00</b>
SITE 933	CASS	19	57	3.00	52	2.74
	COOPER	8	18	2.25	13	1.63
	JOHNSON	6	14	2.33	11	1.83
	PETTIS	30	85	2.83	50	1.67
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>2.76</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>2.00</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	7	1.75	7	1.75
	CLAY	19	42	2.21	35	1.84
	LAFAYETTE	10	28	2.80	18	1.80
	PLATTE	6	16	2.67	14	2.33
	RAY	8	13	1.63	12	1.50
	SALINE	13	34	2.62	27	2.08
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>2.33</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>1.88</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	7	2.33	3	1.00
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	28	71	2.54	53	1.89
	MADISON	10	32	3.20	16	1.60
	MISSISSIPPI	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	PERRY	15	37	2.47	20	1.33
	ST FRANCOIS	46	116	2.52	78	1.70
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	21	3.00	13	1.86
	SCOTT	5	17	3.40	13	2.60
	WASHINGTON	15	41	2.73	35	2.33
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>2.64</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>1.78</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	25	59	2.36	45	1.80
	DUNKLIN	19	47	2.47	37	1.95
	NEW MADRID	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	PEMISCOT	7	18	2.57	17	2.43
	RIPLEY	6	14	2.33	11	1.83
	STODDARD	10	25	2.50	20	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>2.42</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>1.94</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,758</b>	<b>4,433</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>3,339</b>	<b>1.90</b>

**Table 4**  
**Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	1	0	0	0	133	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	138
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>138</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	42	0	0	223	0	15	15	0	8	0	0	0	1	304
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	20	0	0	142	0	16	1	0	5	0	0	0	1	186
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	BOONE	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	31
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	COLE	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	11
	RANDOLPH	0	1	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	18
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>142</b>
	SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALDWELL		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CHARITON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
CLINTON		0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
DAVISS		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DE KALB		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
GENTRY		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
GRUNDY		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
HARRISON		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
LINN		0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
LIVINGSTON		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
NODAWAY		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
PUTNAM		0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
SULLIVAN		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	DENT	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	IRON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PHELPS	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	13
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	POLK	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	DADE	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	42
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>	

**Table 4 (continued)**  
**Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	15
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	STONE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	26
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	132
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>132</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	MARION	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	ST CHARLES	0	1	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MACON	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JEFFERSON	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	64
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	10
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	RAY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	1	26	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	15
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	1	1	28	1	1	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	46
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	15
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>130</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,537</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1,758</b>	

**Table 5**  
**Intensive In-home Services During FY 2011**  
**Families and Children Accepted by Month**

	<b>Families</b>	<b>At-risk Children</b>	<b>Not At-risk Children</b>	<b>Total Children</b>
<b>July 2010</b>	171	333	85	418
<b>August 2010</b>	135	250	90	340
<b>September 2010</b>	174	324	102	426
<b>October 2010</b>	152	303	87	390
<b>November 2010</b>	153	284	102	386
<b>December 2010</b>	137	262	88	350
<b>January 2011</b>	160	308	90	398
<b>February 2011</b>	129	251	80	331
<b>March 2011</b>	152	280	99	379
<b>April 2011</b>	151	268	128	396
<b>May 2011</b>	127	244	81	325
<b>June 2011</b>	117	232	62	294
<b>State Total</b>	1,758	3,339	1,094	4,433

**Table 6**  
**Intensive In-home Services during FY 2011**  
**Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Child`s Safety Cannot be Assured</b>	1,837	48.08%
<b>No Opening in IIS-Child at Home</b>	448	11.72%
<b>Missing</b>	434	11.36%
<b>Caretaker Unwilling to Participate</b>	275	7.19%
<b>No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed</b>	242	6.33%
<b>Primary Caretaker Unavailable</b>	154	4.03%
<b>No Immediate Likelihood of Placement</b>	113	2.95%
<b>Caretaker Unable to Participate</b>	95	2.48%
<b>Court Not in Agreement</b>	81	2.12%
<b>Unable to Contact Family</b>	48	1.25%
<b>Referral Source Did Not Follow Through</b>	42	1.09%
<b>Child Unwilling to Participate</b>	29	0.75%
<b>Therapist`s Safety Cannot be Assured</b>	15	0.39%
<b>Child is Physically Assaultive</b>	6	0.15%
<b>Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement</b>	1	0.02%
<b>State Total</b>	3,820	100.00%

Of the 1,758 families accepted into IIS during FY 2011, 1,659 families had a total of 2,398 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

**Table 7**  
**Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention**  
**during FY 2011**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,589	66.26%
Juvenile Court	255	10.63%
Adult Law Enforcement	251	10.46%
Dept of Mental Health	137	5.71%
Adult Criminal Court	105	4.37%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	61	2.54%
<b>Total Contacts</b>	<b>2,398</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Average Duration of FY 2011 IIS Interventions is 4.88 Weeks.

**Table 8**  
**Duration of IIS Intervention by Site**  
**for Families Accepted during FY 2011**

	<b>Total Weeks of Intervention</b>									<b>Site Total</b>
	<b>&lt;1 Wk</b>	<b>1 Wk</b>	<b>2 Wks</b>	<b>3 Wks</b>	<b>4 Wks</b>	<b>5 Wks</b>	<b>6 Wks</b>	<b>7 Wks</b>	<b>8+ Wks</b>	
<b>Site 731</b>	7	14	8	14	33	20	27	4	11	138
<b>Site 732</b>	21	35	35	29	48	62	198	28	34	490
<b>Site 733</b>	6	8	6	6	24	27	55	7	3	142
<b>Site 734</b>	3	3	8	5	15	7	19	1	0	61
<b>Site 735</b>	3	10	5	5	6	7	16	3	4	59
<b>Site 736</b>	3	6	10	10	16	10	8	3	5	71
<b>Site 737</b>	2	3	4	4	7	13	36	3	3	75
<b>Site 738</b>	0	1	4	2	10	20	40	2	2	81
<b>Site 739</b>	6	13	10	9	22	26	39	2	5	132
<b>Site 831</b>	4	3	4	7	16	10	3	0	0	47
<b>Site 931</b>	2	1	0	3	11	12	25	2	2	58
<b>Site 932</b>	1	5	1	2	2	5	57	7	2	82
<b>Site 933</b>	2	1	2	4	6	16	16	11	5	63
<b>Site 934</b>	2	5	7	3	7	14	18	3	1	60
<b>Site 935</b>	2	6	7	7	10	44	49	1	4	130
<b>Site 936</b>	3	6	2	4	19	17	17	0	1	69
<b>State Total</b>	67	120	113	114	252	310	623	77	82	1,758

**Table 9  
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status  
During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING	
		#	%	#	%	#	%		
SITE 731	JACKSON	119	78.8%	27	17.9%	5	3.3%	151	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>78.8%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>151</b>	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	256	81.0%	44	13.9%	16	5.1%	316	
	ST LOUIS CITY	140	76.9%	36	19.8%	6	3.3%	182	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>79.5%</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>498</b>	
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12	
	BOONE	23	69.7%	10	30.3%	0	.0%	33	
	CALLAWAY	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	17	
	COLE	14	41.2%	20	58.8%	0	.0%	34	
	HOWARD	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	MONTGOMERY	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11	
	RANDOLPH	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	19	
	WARREN	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>71.6%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>141</b>	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	15	71.4%	6	28.6%	0	.0%	21	
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	CLINTON	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7	
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1	
	HARRISON	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5	
	LINN	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	14	
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	NODAWAY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	PUTNAM	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4	
	SULLIVAN	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>70.8%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>65</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	4	40.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	10	
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	HOWELL	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8	
	IRON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5	
	MARIES	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	OREGON	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3	
	PHELPS	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9	
	PULASKI	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	0	.0%	14	
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1	
	TEXAS	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7	
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>62</b>	
	SITE 736	BATES	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
		BENTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
CAMDEN		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6	
DALLAS		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
HENRY		9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11	
HICKORY		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
LACLEDE		8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9	
MILLER		11	68.8%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	16	
MORGAN		2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3	
POLK		5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8	
ST CLAIR		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
WEBSTER		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>53</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>70</b>	
SITE 737		BARTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
		CEDAR	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2	
	JASPER	33	78.6%	9	21.4%	0	.0%	42	
	MCDONALD	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5	
	NEWTON	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	0	.0%	17	
	VERNON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>71.1%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>76</b>		

**Table 9 (continued)**  
**Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status**  
**During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	16
	DOUGLAS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	LAWRENCE	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	OZARK	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	STONE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	21	84.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	25
	WRIGHT	7	87.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>84.1%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>82</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	97	69.8%	34	24.5%	8	5.8%	139
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>69.8%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>24.5%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>139</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	13
	MARION	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PIKE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	15	71.4%	4	19.0%	2	9.5%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>76.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>51</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	16
	CLARK	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	KNOX	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	MACON	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	13
	SCHUYLER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	14
	GASCONADE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	JEFFERSON	63	90.0%	6	8.6%	1	1.4%	70
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>89.9%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>89</b>
SITE 933	CASS	17	85.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	20
	COOPER	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	7
	PETTIS	21	70.0%	5	16.7%	4	13.3%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	19	82.6%	4	17.4%	0	.0%	23
	LAFAYETTE	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	PLATTE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RAY	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	SALINE	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>81.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	25	89.3%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	28
	MADISON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	35	72.9%	11	22.9%	2	4.2%	48
	SIE GENEVIEVE	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTT	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>77.2%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>123</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	71.4%	6	28.6%	0	.0%	21
	DUNKLIN	16	80.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	20
	NEW MADRID	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PEMISCOT	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	RIPLEY	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	STODDARD	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>79.4%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,377</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>1,796</b>	

## Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011.

### Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2011

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30<sup>th</sup> may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

### Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2011

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
  - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
  - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
  - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
  - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
  - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
  - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
  - 16 Unable to Contact Family
  - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
  - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
  - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
  - 22 Court Not in Agreement
  - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
  - 24 Referral was Done in Error

### Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2011

- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2011.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of at-risk and not at-risk children from the accepted families.

### Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2011

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

**Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)**

**Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status During FY 2011**

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
  - Child Ran Away (12)
  - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
  - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
  - In Residential (17)
  - With Division of Youth Services (18)
  - In Juvenile Justice (19)
  - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
  - Can't Locate Family (11)
  - Information Not Available (21)

**Intensive In-home Services**

**Heads of Household Served  
During FY 2011**

**Table 10**  
**Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	7	5.1%	56	40.6%	49	35.5%	17	12.3%	6	4.3%	2	1.4%	1	.7%	138
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>40.6%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>35.5%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>138</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	1.0%	74	24.3%	106	34.9%	72	23.7%	37	12.2%	9	3.0%	3	1.0%	304
	ST LOUIS CITY	3	1.6%	67	36.0%	70	37.6%	26	14.0%	15	8.1%	5	2.7%	0	.0%	186
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>35.9%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BOONE	2	6.5%	12	38.7%	13	41.9%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	CALLAWAY	1	5.0%	4	20.0%	10	50.0%	5	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	COLE	0	.0%	12	35.3%	13	38.2%	4	11.8%	3	8.8%	0	.0%	2	5.9%	34
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RANDOLPH	1	5.6%	6	33.3%	6	33.3%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	WARREN	0	.0%	4	30.8%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>142</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	3	15.8%	7	36.8%	4	21.1%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	19
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HARRISON	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	4	28.6%	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PUTNAM	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>61</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	3	27.3%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	OREGON	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PULASKI	0	.0%	3	23.1%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>44.1%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CAMDEN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HICKORY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MILLER	1	6.7%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>71</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	1	2.4%	17	40.5%	18	42.9%	6	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	MCDONALD	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	0	.0%	9	56.3%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	16
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>44.0%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>75</b>

**Table 10 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	2	7.7%	11	42.3%	8	30.8%	3	11.5%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>81</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	8	6.1%	58	43.9%	44	33.3%	16	12.1%	3	2.3%	2	1.5%	1	.8%	132
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>132</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	MARION	0	.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	1	5.3%	4	21.1%	7	36.8%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>47</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	3	21.4%	6	42.9%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	CLARK	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MACON	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>58</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	4	28.6%	6	42.9%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	1	1.6%	17	26.6%	15	23.4%	20	31.3%	9	14.1%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	64
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	6	31.6%	9	47.4%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	COOPER	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PETTIS	1	3.3%	11	36.7%	15	50.0%	2	6.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>30.2%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	1	5.3%	5	26.3%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	LAFAYETTE	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	PLATTE	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RAY	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SALINE	2	15.4%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	7	25.0%	14	50.0%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	28
	MADISON	0	.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	4	26.7%	8	53.3%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	8	17.4%	19	41.3%	12	26.1%	5	10.9%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	46
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	1	6.7%	5	33.3%	7	46.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>130</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	8.0%	12	48.0%	9	36.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	25
	DUNKLIN	1	5.3%	7	36.8%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	0	.0%	6	60.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>32.7%</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>1,758</b>	

**Table 11  
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	120	87.0%	18	13.0%	138
	* SITE TOTAL *	120	87.0%	18	13.0%	138
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	269	88.5%	35	11.5%	304
	ST LOUIS CITY	172	92.5%	14	7.5%	186
	* SITE TOTAL *	441	90.0%	49	10.0%	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	BOONE	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	31
	CALLAWAY	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20
	COLE	32	94.1%	2	5.9%	34
	HOWARD	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	MONTGOMERY	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	11
	RANDOLPH	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	18
	WARREN	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	124	87.3%	18	12.7%	142
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	19
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GRUNDY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HARRISON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	14	100.0%	0	.0%	14
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	NODAWAY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PUTNAM	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
* SITE TOTAL *	55	90.2%	6	9.8%	61	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	IRON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	OREGON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	PULASKI	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	REYNOLDS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	WAYNE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	83.1%	10	16.9%	59
SITE 736	BATES	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	BENTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	CAMDEN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	DALLAS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
	HICKORY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	LACLEDE	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	MILLER	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	15
	MORGAN	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	POLK	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	WEBSTER	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	80.3%	14	19.7%	71
SITE 737	BARTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CEDAR	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	33	78.6%	9	21.4%	42
	MCDONALD	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	NEWTON	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16
	VERNON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	62	82.7%	13	17.3%	75

**Table 11 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
	CHRISTIAN	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	15
	DOUGLAS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	LAWRENCE	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12
	OZARK	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	26
	WRIGHT	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>75.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>81</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	113	85.6%	19	14.4%	132
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>85.6%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>132</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	MARION	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	MONROE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	PIKE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>83.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>47</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	14
	CLARK	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	KNOX	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	MACON	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	12
	SCHUYLER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SHELBY	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>58</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	14
	GASCONADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	52	81.3%	12	18.8%	64
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>80.5%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 933	CASS	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	19
	COOPER	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	JOHNSON	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	PETTIS	27	90.0%	3	10.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>88.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CLAY	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	19
	LAFAYETTE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	PLATTE	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	RAY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	SALINE	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>78.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	23	82.1%	5	17.9%	28
	MADISON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	37	80.4%	9	19.6%	46
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	SCOTT	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>130</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	23	92.0%	2	8.0%	25
	DUNKLIN	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	19
	NEW MADRID	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PEMISCOT	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	RIPLEY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>85.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,497</b>	<b>85.2%</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>1,758</b>

**Table 12**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	77	55.8%	50	36.2%	0	.0%	1	.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	97	31.9%	192	63.2%	0	.0%	1	.3%
	ST LOUIS CITY	30	16.1%	153	82.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>70.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.2%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	20	64.5%	10	32.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	18	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	22	64.7%	12	35.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	17	94.4%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>93.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	9	69.2%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	14	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>98.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	41	97.6%	1	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>97.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>

**Table 12 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	2	1.4%	1	.7%	7	5.1%	138
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>138</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	4.6%	304
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.6%	186
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BOONE	0	.0%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	31
	CALLAWAY	1	5.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>142</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>61</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>59</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>71</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>75</b>	

**Table 12 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	12	80.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	23	88.5%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>91.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	123	93.2%	4	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>93.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	16	84.2%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	13	92.9%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%
	CLARK	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>91.4%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	58	90.6%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>91.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	26	86.7%	3	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	16	84.2%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	23	82.1%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	13	86.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	43	93.5%	1	2.2%	2	4.3%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	13	68.4%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>85.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,217</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.1%</b>	

**Table 12 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	13.3%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	1	3.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>81</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	3.8%	132
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>132</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	11
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>47</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>58</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	7.8%	64
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SALINE	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	7.1%	28
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	13.3%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	46
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>130</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1,758</b>

**Table 13**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	31	22.5%	13	9.4%	3	2.2%	1	.7%	1	.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	30	9.9%	39	12.8%	11	3.6%	0	.0%	5	1.6%
	ST LOUIS CITY	34	18.3%	24	12.9%	3	1.6%	2	1.1%	1	.5%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	4	12.9%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	7	35.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	2	5.9%	7	20.6%	2	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	3	20.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	2	4.8%	2	4.8%	2	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>

**Table 13 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	7	5.1%	1	.7%	0	.0%	16	11.6%	65	47.1%	138
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>138</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	4	1.3%	3	1.0%	0	.0%	33	10.9%	179	58.9%	304
	ST LOUIS CITY	2	1.1%	5	2.7%	2	1.1%	27	14.5%	86	46.2%	186
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	13
	BOONE	1	3.2%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	4	12.9%	15	48.4%	31
	CALLAWAY	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	7	35.0%	20
	COLE	3	8.8%	3	8.8%	0	.0%	4	11.8%	13	38.2%	34
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	11
	RANDOLPH	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	8	44.4%	18
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	8	61.5%	13
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>41.5%</b>	<b>142</b>	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	6	31.6%	19
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	8	57.1%	14
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PUTNAM	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>50.8%</b>	<b>61</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	11
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	HOWELL	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	13
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	WAYNE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>59</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	BENTON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	5
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	HENRY	0	.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	50.0%	12
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	10
	MILLER	0	.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	53.3%	15
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	POLK	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	42.9%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>71</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	1	2.4%	4	9.5%	0	.0%	2	4.8%	29	69.0%	42
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	NEWTON	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	2	12.5%	7	43.8%	16
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>75</b>	

**Table 13 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	5	19.2%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	24	18.2%	13	9.8%	1	.8%	0	.0%	1	.8%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	3	15.8%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	8	12.5%	9	14.1%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	4	13.3%	6	20.0%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	1	3.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%
	PLATTE	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	5	10.9%	2	4.3%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	1	2.2%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	7	28.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>.7%</b>	

**Table 13 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	8
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	7	46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	6	50.0%	12
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	19.2%	14	53.8%	26
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	88.9%	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>56.8%</b>	<b>81</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	6	4.5%	0	.0%	9	6.8%	78	59.1%	132
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>132</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	4	36.4%	11
	MARION	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	4
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	13	68.4%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>47</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	28.6%	14
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	MACON	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	66.7%	12
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	60.0%	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>58</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	50.0%	14
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	6	9.4%	0	.0%	6	9.4%	33	51.6%	64
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	47.4%	19
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	8
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.7%	15	50.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	13	68.4%	19
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	10
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	8
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	7	53.8%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>60.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	22	78.6%	28
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	26.7%	8	53.3%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	14	30.4%	19	41.3%	46
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	7
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	2	13.3%	8	53.3%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>55.4%</b>	<b>130</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	4.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	3	12.0%	10	40.0%	25
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	10	52.6%	19
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	7
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	6
	STODDARD	2	20.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>1,758</b>	

**Table 14**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	50	36.2%	30	21.7%	18	13.0%	22	15.9%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15.9%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	75	24.7%	39	12.8%	45	14.8%	61	20.1%
	ST LOUIS CITY	60	32.3%	37	19.9%	38	20.4%	28	15.1%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%
	BOONE	3	9.7%	7	22.6%	8	25.8%	8	25.8%
	CALLAWAY	3	15.0%	3	15.0%	6	30.0%	5	25.0%
	COLE	10	29.4%	8	23.5%	9	26.5%	6	17.6%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	MONTGOMERY	1	9.1%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	4	36.4%
	RANDOLPH	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	23.1%	5	38.5%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24.6%</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	7	36.8%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	5	26.3%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	2	28.6%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	HARRISON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%
	LINN	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	8	57.1%	3	21.4%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	NODAWAY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%
	DENT	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	HOWELL	1	12.5%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%
	PHELPS	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%
	PULASKI	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	5	38.5%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32.2%</b>
	SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0
BENTON		1	20.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%
CAMDEN		0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%
DALLAS		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
HENRY		0	.0%	2	16.7%	4	33.3%	5	41.7%
HICKORY		0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
LACLEDE		1	10.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%
MILLER		3	20.0%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	1	6.7%
MORGAN		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%
POLK		1	14.3%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%
ST CLAIR		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WEBSTER		1	16.7%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25.4%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	DADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	10	23.8%	11	26.2%	8	19.0%	4	9.5%
	MCDONALD	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%
	NEWTON	7	43.8%	2	12.5%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12.0%</b>

**Table 14 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	6	4.3%	6	4.3%	6	4.3%	0	.0%	138
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>138</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	33	10.9%	31	10.2%	20	6.6%	0	.0%	304
	ST LOUIS CITY	12	6.5%	8	4.3%	3	1.6%	0	.0%	186
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>490</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BOONE	4	12.9%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	CALLAWAY	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	COLE	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	HOWARD	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RANDOLPH	4	22.2%	4	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	WARREN	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>142</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	3	15.8%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	2	28.6%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PUTNAM	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>61</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	2	25.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	IRON	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	OREGON	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	PULASKI	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>59</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CAMDEN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HENRY	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LACLEDE	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	POLK	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>71</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	4	9.5%	4	9.5%	1	2.4%	0	.0%	42
	MCDONALD	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>75</b>

**Table 14 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
	CHRISTIAN	6	40.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	4	26.7%
	DOUGLAS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	STONE	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	3	11.5%	8	30.8%	8	30.8%	4	15.4%
	WRIGHT	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	44	33.3%	29	22.0%	25	18.9%	13	9.8%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18.9%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9.8%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	3	27.3%
	MARION	0	.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%
	MONROE	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	ST CHARLES	4	21.1%	2	10.5%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	8	57.1%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	1
CLARK		1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%
KNOX		1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
LEWIS		0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%
MACON		5	41.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SCHUYLER		0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SCOTLAND		2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%
SHELBY		5	50.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.6%</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	14.3%	5	35.7%	1	7.1%	2	14.3%
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	4	6.3%	10	15.6%	7	10.9%	8	12.5%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	5.3%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	7	36.8%
	COOPER	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%
	PETTIS	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	8	26.7%	5	16.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22.2%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%
	LAFAYETTE	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
	PLATTE	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%
	RAY	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%
	SALINE	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	5	17.9%	4	14.3%	7	25.0%	11	39.3%
	MADISON	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	PERRY	4	26.7%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	2	13.3%
	ST FRANCOIS	7	15.2%	7	15.2%	9	19.6%	14	30.4%
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	3	42.9%
	SCOTT	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%
	WASHINGTON	2	13.3%	5	33.3%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	4.0%	0	.0%	16	64.0%	6	24.0%
	DUNKLIN	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	7	36.8%	8	42.1%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	1	14.3%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	6	60.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34.8%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	

**Table 14 (continued)**  
**Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	3	20.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LAWRENCE	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	OZARK	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	1	3.8%	0	.0%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	26
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>81</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	14	10.6%	3	2.3%	4	3.0%	0	.0%	132
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>132</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>47</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	CLARK	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	MACON	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SCHUYLER	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>58</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	4	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	12	18.8%	11	17.2%	12	18.8%	0	.0%	64
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>82</b>
SITE 933	CASS	3	15.8%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	COOPER	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	JOHNSON	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PETTIS	5	16.7%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	19
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SALINE	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	MADISON	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	6	13.0%	0	.0%	3	6.5%	0	.0%	46
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>130</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	DUNKLIN	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RIPLEY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	STODDARD	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1,758</b>	

**Table 15**  
**Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families**  
**Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2011**

	<b>Number</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Parenting Skills Problems</b>	1,447	82.3%
<b>Parent/Child Conflict</b>	860	48.9%
<b>Communication</b>	836	47.6%
<b>Mental Health Problems</b>	640	36.4%
<b>Child Neglect</b>	619	35.2%
<b>Emotional Problems</b>	439	25.0%
<b>Severe Financial Problems</b>	359	20.4%
<b>Physical Abuse</b>	301	17.1%
<b>Transportation</b>	217	12.3%
<b>Drug Abuse</b>	212	12.1%
<b>Medical Illness/Disability</b>	184	10.5%
<b>Marital Conflict</b>	180	10.2%
<b>School Problems</b>	169	9.6%
<b>Physical Violence</b>	154	8.8%
<b>Homelessness</b>	142	8.1%
<b>Shelter</b>	141	8.0%
<b>Alcohol Abuse</b>	108	6.1%
<b>Developmental Disability</b>	83	4.7%
<b>Delinquent Behavior</b>	64	3.6%
<b>Criminal Behavior</b>	52	3.0%
<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	46	2.6%
<b>Pregnancy</b>	35	2.0%
<b>Suicide Attempts</b>	29	1.7%
<b>Runaway</b>	26	1.5%
<b>Prostitution</b>	3	.2%

## **Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables**

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

### **Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2011**

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

# **Intensive In-home Services**

## **At-risk Children Served During FY 2011**

**Table 16**  
**Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	36	10.5%	136	39.5%	132	38.4%	40	11.6%	344
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>344</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	35	6.7%	134	25.5%	188	35.7%	169	32.1%	526
	ST LOUIS CITY	43	12.3%	87	24.9%	131	37.5%	88	25.2%	349
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>875</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	5	21.7%	11	47.8%	7	30.4%	23
	BOONE	5	8.5%	26	44.1%	12	20.3%	16	27.1%	59
	CALLAWAY	6	16.7%	11	30.6%	14	38.9%	5	13.9%	36
	COLE	2	3.3%	25	41.0%	16	26.2%	18	29.5%	61
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	5	27.8%	7	38.9%	6	33.3%	18
	RANDOLPH	3	10.0%	11	36.7%	11	36.7%	5	16.7%	30
	WARREN	4	18.2%	2	9.1%	10	45.5%	6	27.3%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>33.2%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>253</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	5	16.1%	16	51.6%	8	25.8%	2	6.5%	31
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	6	35.3%	8	47.1%	3	17.6%	17
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	4
	GENTRY	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	6
	LINN	1	3.3%	10	33.3%	16	53.3%	3	10.0%	30
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41.5%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>118</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	1	5.6%	5	27.8%	7	38.9%	5	27.8%	18
	DENT	0	.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	0	.0%	2	13.3%	7	46.7%	6	40.0%	15
	IRON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	11
	PULASKI	3	14.3%	6	28.6%	5	23.8%	7	33.3%	21
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	TEXAS	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	11
	WAYNE	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35.9%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>103</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	CAMDEN	1	9.1%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	11
	DALLAS	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	4	21.1%	8	42.1%	7	36.8%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
	LACLEDE	1	5.0%	13	65.0%	3	15.0%	3	15.0%	20
	MILLER	2	7.4%	14	51.9%	8	29.6%	3	11.1%	27
	MORGAN	0	.0%	4	33.3%	4	33.3%	4	33.3%	12
	POLK	1	9.1%	0	.0%	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	11
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>134</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	8
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	9	9.2%	28	28.6%	41	41.8%	20	20.4%	98
	MCDONALD	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	2	5.7%	15	42.9%	14	40.0%	4	11.4%	35
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>33.5%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>158</b>

**Table 16 (continued)**  
**Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	12
	CHRISTIAN	2	8.0%	6	24.0%	11	44.0%	6	24.0%	25
	DOUGLAS	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	LAWRENCE	1	3.4%	11	37.9%	12	41.4%	5	17.2%	29
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	STONE	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	10	21.3%	14	29.8%	17	36.2%	6	12.8%	47
	WRIGHT	1	5.3%	9	47.4%	5	26.3%	4	21.1%	19
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>35.8%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>151</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	38	17.0%	78	34.8%	76	33.9%	32	14.3%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	8	47.1%	5	29.4%	17
	MARION	4	16.0%	11	44.0%	10	40.0%	0	.0%	25
	MONROE	0	.0%	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	3	8.6%	7	20.0%	16	45.7%	9	25.7%	35
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	6	20.0%	12	40.0%	8	26.7%	4	13.3%	30
	CLARK	0	.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	11
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	LEWIS	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	8
	MACON	3	12.0%	10	40.0%	9	36.0%	3	12.0%	25
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	SHELBY	3	10.3%	10	34.5%	11	37.9%	5	17.2%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>116</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	7.1%	8	28.6%	10	35.7%	8	28.6%	28
	GASCONADE	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	7	5.5%	42	33.1%	43	33.9%	35	27.6%	127
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>32.9%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>164</b>
SITE 933	CASS	2	3.8%	17	32.7%	24	46.2%	9	17.3%	52
	COOPER	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	13
	JOHNSON	1	9.1%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	11
	PETTIS	5	10.0%	13	26.0%	23	46.0%	9	18.0%	50
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>126</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	8	22.9%	11	31.4%	11	31.4%	5	14.3%	35
	LAFAYETTE	3	16.7%	6	33.3%	4	22.2%	5	27.8%	18
	PLATTE	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	8	57.1%	2	14.3%	14
	RAY	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	12
	SALINE	2	7.4%	7	25.9%	14	51.9%	4	14.8%	27
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>113</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	5.7%	16	30.2%	23	43.4%	11	20.8%	53
	MADISON	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	6	37.5%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	5	25.0%	9	45.0%	6	30.0%	20
	ST FRANCOIS	4	5.1%	12	15.4%	29	37.2%	33	42.3%	78
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	23.1%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	13
	SCOTT	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	13
	WASHINGTON	3	8.6%	13	37.1%	12	34.3%	7	20.0%	35
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>28.4%</b>	<b>232</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	3	6.7%	18	40.0%	19	42.2%	5	11.1%	45
	DUNKLIN	4	10.8%	15	40.5%	13	35.1%	5	13.5%	37
	NEW MADRID	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	2	11.8%	7	41.2%	6	35.3%	2	11.8%	17
	RIPLEY	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	STODDARD	2	10.0%	8	40.0%	10	50.0%	0	.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>40.3%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>1,043</b>	<b>31.2%</b>	<b>1,239</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>3,339</b>	

**Table 17**  
**Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	158	45.9%	186	54.1%	344
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>344</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	271	51.5%	255	48.5%	526
	ST LOUIS CITY	160	45.8%	189	54.2%	349
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>875</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	14	60.9%	9	39.1%	23
	BOONE	31	52.5%	28	47.5%	59
	CALLAWAY	13	36.1%	23	63.9%	36
	COLE	30	49.2%	31	50.8%	61
	HOWARD	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	18
	RANDOLPH	12	40.0%	18	60.0%	30
	WARREN	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>51.0%</b>	<b>253</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	10	32.3%	21	67.7%	31
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	5	29.4%	12	70.6%	17
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	DE KALB	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	GENTRY	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	GRUNDY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	HARRISON	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	LINN	14	46.7%	16	53.3%	30
	LIVINGSTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	NODAWAY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PUTNAM	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	SULLIVAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>118</b>
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18
	DENT	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	HOWELL	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	15
	IRON	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7
	MARIES	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	OREGON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	HELPS	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11
	PULASKI	10	47.6%	11	52.4%	21
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	TEXAS	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	WAYNE	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>103</b>
	SITE 736	BATES	2	50.0%	2	50.0%
BENTON		5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
CAMDEN		1	9.1%	10	90.9%	11
DALLAS		1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
HENRY		10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19
HICKORY		3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
LACLEDE		7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20
MILLER		17	63.0%	10	37.0%	27
MORGAN		8	66.7%	4	33.3%	12
POLK		2	18.2%	9	81.8%	11
ST CLAIR		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
WEBSTER		5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>63</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>53.0%</b>	<b>134</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	CEDAR	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
	DADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	47	48.0%	51	52.0%	98
	MCDONALD	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	NEWTON	13	37.1%	22	62.9%	35
	VERNON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>158</b>

**Table 17 (continued)**  
**Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12
	CHRISTIAN	9	36.0%	16	64.0%	25
	DOUGLAS	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	LAWRENCE	11	37.9%	18	62.1%	29
	OZARK	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7
	STONE	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	TANEY	20	42.6%	27	57.4%	47
	WRIGHT	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>151</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	101	45.1%	123	54.9%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>45.1%</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>54.9%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	8	47.1%	9	52.9%	17
	MARION	12	48.0%	13	52.0%	25
	MONROE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	PIKE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	RALLS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	25	71.4%	10	28.6%	35
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40.4%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	46.7%	16	53.3%	30
	CLARK	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	11
	KNOX	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LEWIS	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	MACON	15	60.0%	10	40.0%	25
	SCHUYLER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SHELBY	16	55.2%	13	44.8%	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>116</b>
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	14	50.0%	14	50.0%	28
	GASCONADE	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	70	55.1%	57	44.9%	127
	OSAGE	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>53.0%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>47.0%</b>	<b>164</b>
SITE 933	CASS	36	69.2%	16	30.8%	52
	COOPER	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	JOHNSON	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	PETTIS	25	50.0%	25	50.0%	50
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>126</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	CLAY	18	51.4%	17	48.6%	35
	LAFAYETTE	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18
	PLATTE	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
	RAY	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12
	SALINE	16	59.3%	11	40.7%	27
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>113</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	24	45.3%	29	54.7%	53
	MADISON	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20
	ST FRANCOIS	26	33.3%	52	66.7%	78
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	SCOTT	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13
	WASHINGTON	18	51.4%	17	48.6%	35
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>42.7%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>232</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	24	53.3%	21	46.7%	45
	DUNKLIN	19	51.4%	18	48.6%	37
	NEW MADRID	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	7	41.2%	10	58.8%	17
	RIPLEY	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	STODDARD	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,607</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>1,732</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>3,339</b>

**Table 18**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	153	44.5%	159	46.2%	1	.3%	1	.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	150	28.5%	352	66.9%	0	.0%	3	.6%
	ST LOUIS CITY	46	13.2%	294	84.2%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.3%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	30	50.8%	28	47.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	31	86.1%	2	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	45	73.8%	15	24.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	17	94.4%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	25	83.3%	4	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	19	86.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>75.5%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	29	93.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	30	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>97.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	12	57.1%	9	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>90.3%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	92	93.9%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	32	91.4%	2	5.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 18 (continued)**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	2	.6%	14	4.1%	14	4.1%	344
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>344</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	8	1.5%	13	2.5%	526
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	1	.3%	7	2.0%	349
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>875</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	BOONE	0	.0%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	59
	CALLAWAY	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	36
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	61
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	30
	WARREN	0	.0%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>253</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	31
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>118</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>103</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>134</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	3	3.1%	2	2.0%	98
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	35
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>158</b>	

**Table 18 (continued)**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	23	92.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	43	91.5%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>96.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	207	92.4%	8	3.6%	1	.4%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>92.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	13	52.0%	6	24.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	22	62.9%	7	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	24	80.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	14	56.0%	7	28.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>83.6%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	28	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	124	97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>98.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	48	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	41	82.0%	3	6.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>87.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	33	94.3%	2	5.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	11	61.1%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	5	35.7%	8	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	23	85.2%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	47	88.7%	6	11.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	74	94.9%	3	3.8%	1	1.3%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>92.7%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	37	82.2%	8	17.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	30	81.1%	7	18.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2,236</b>	<b>67.0%</b>	<b>957</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.1%</b>	

**Table 18 (continued)**  
**Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	4.3%	47
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>151</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	1	.4%	7	3.1%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	5	20.0%	1	4.0%	25
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	6	17.1%	0	.0%	35
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	4	16.0%	0	.0%	25
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>116</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.4%	127
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>164</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	7.7%	52
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	PETTIS	0	.0%	2	4.0%	4	8.0%	50
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>126</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	33.3%	18
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SALINE	0	.0%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	27
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>113</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	53
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	78
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>232</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	45
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.1%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>3,339</b>	

**Table 19**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	327	95.1%	3	.9%	5	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>95.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	505	96.0%	6	1.1%	3	.6%	2	.4%	1	.2%
	ST LOUIS CITY	340	97.4%	5	1.4%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>96.6%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.1%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	22	95.7%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	58	98.3%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	36	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	58	95.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	30	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	20	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>96.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	30	96.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.2%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	27	90.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>94.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	12	80.0%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	6	85.7%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	19	90.5%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>91.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	17	89.5%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>92.5%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	94	95.9%	2	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	34	97.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>95.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	

**Table 19 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	2	.6%	3	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	1.2%	344
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>344</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2	.4%	1	.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	1.1%	526
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	349
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>875</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	59
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	COLE	2	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	61
	HOWARD	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	9.1%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>253</b>
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.7%	30
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>118</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>103</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LACLEDE	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>134</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.0%	98
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	35
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>158</b>

**Table 19 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	23	92.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	28	96.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	5	83.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	47	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>96.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	219	97.8%	3	1.3%	0	.0%	1	.4%	1	.4%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	24	96.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>97.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	29	96.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	25	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	28	96.6%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>96.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	27	96.4%	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	122	96.1%	3	2.4%	1	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>96.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	50	96.2%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	1	1.9%	0	.0%
	COOPER	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	50	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>96.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	33	94.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	14	77.8%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	11	91.7%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>92.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	50	94.3%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	77	98.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	41	91.1%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	34	91.9%	3	8.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	14	82.4%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>91.8%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>3,193</b>	<b>95.6%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.1%</b>	

**Table 19 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	DOUGLAS	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LAWRENCE	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>151</b>
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>116</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	127
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>164</b>	
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	52
	COOPER	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	JOHNSON	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	50
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>126</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	5.7%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	PLATTE	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>113</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	53
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	78
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	SCOTT	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>232</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	45
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>3,339</b>

**Table 20**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	1	.3%	18	5.2%	216	62.8%	2	.6%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	9	1.7%	90	17.1%	269	51.1%	8	1.5%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.3%	56	16.0%	190	54.4%	8	2.3%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	3	13.0%	11	47.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	0	.0%	1	1.7%	48	81.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	1	2.8%	2	5.6%	27	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	0	.0%	5	8.2%	44	72.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	13	72.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	28	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	4.5%	14	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	28	90.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	58.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	30	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>88.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	12	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	0	.0%	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	1	4.8%	4	19.0%	16	76.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	65.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	85.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	1	9.1%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	1	8.3%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>79.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	0	.0%	3	3.1%	80	81.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	0	.0%	2	5.7%	31	88.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 20 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	103	29.9%	1	.3%	0	.0%	3	.9%	344
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>344</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	124	23.6%	12	2.3%	1	.2%	13	2.5%	526
	ST LOUIS CITY	75	21.5%	16	4.6%	1	.3%	2	.6%	349
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.2%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>875</b>
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	9	39.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	BOONE	10	16.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	59
	CALLAWAY	6	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	COLE	10	16.4%	2	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	61
	HOWARD	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	3	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	RANDOLPH	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	WARREN	7	31.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>253</b>	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	2	6.5%	0	.0%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	31
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	7	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>118</b>	
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	IRON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>103</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LACLEDE	7	35.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	14.8%	27
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	12
	POLK	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	4	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>134</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	12	12.2%	3	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	98
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	2	5.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>158</b>	

**Table 20 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	1	8.3%	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	2	8.0%	20	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	24	82.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	2.1%	37	78.7%	3	6.4%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	10.5%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>82.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	1	.4%	6	2.7%	191	85.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>85.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	3	17.6%	11	64.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	0	.0%	1	4.0%	21	84.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	1	2.9%	18	51.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>68.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	3.3%	0	.0%	20	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	1	12.5%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	1	4.0%	15	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	26	89.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	3.6%	0	.0%	21	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	10	7.9%	83	65.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	49	94.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	1	7.7%	10	76.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	1	9.1%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	1	2.0%	3	6.0%	33	66.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	2	5.7%	1	2.9%	24	68.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	3	16.7%	15	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	2	16.7%	5	41.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	4	14.8%	20	74.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>70.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	2	3.8%	46	86.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	81.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	43	55.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	7.7%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	7.7%	0	.0%	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	26	74.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	2.2%	29	64.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	32	86.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	1	5.0%	15	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>70.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>2,308</b>	<b>69.1%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	

**Table 20 (continued)**  
**At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2011**

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	25
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LAWRENCE	2	6.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	OZARK	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	TANEY	5	10.6%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	WRIGHT	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>151</b>	
SITE 739	GREENE	12	5.4%	0	.0%	2	.9%	12	5.4%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	17.6%	17
	MARION	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	MONROE	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PIKE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	13	37.1%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	2	5.7%	35
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	9	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	8	32.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	25
	SCHUYLER	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	3	10.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>116</b>	
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	6	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	31	24.4%	3	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	127
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>164</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	52
	COOPER	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	JOHNSON	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	11
	PETTIS	3	6.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	9	18.0%	50
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>126</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	8	22.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PLATTE	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	RAY	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	SALINE	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>113</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	2	3.8%	0	.0%	53
	MADISON	1	6.3%	0	.0%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	ST FRANCOIS	12	15.4%	14	17.9%	5	6.4%	0	.0%	78
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WASHINGTON	3	8.6%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	0	.0%	35
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>232</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	45
	DUNKLIN	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.7%	37
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	10	58.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	RIPLEY	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	STODDARD	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.7%</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>.5%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>3,339</b>	

**Table 21**  
**At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families**  
**Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2011**

	Number	Percent
<b>Parent/Child Conflict</b>	1,273	38.1%
<b>Child Neglect</b>	1,203	36.0%
<b>Communication</b>	1,183	35.4%
<b>Parenting Skills Problems</b>	865	25.9%
<b>Emotional Problems</b>	730	21.9%
<b>Mental Health Problems</b>	701	21.0%
<b>School Problems</b>	607	18.2%
<b>Physical Abuse</b>	430	12.9%
<b>Delinquent Behavior</b>	329	9.9%
<b>Physical Violence</b>	254	7.6%
<b>Homelessness</b>	189	5.7%
<b>Medical Illness/Disability</b>	166	5.0%
<b>Severe Financial Problems</b>	166	5.0%
<b>Developmental Disability</b>	151	4.5%
<b>Shelter</b>	138	4.1%
<b>Drug Abuse</b>	117	3.5%
<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	115	3.4%
<b>Runaway</b>	105	3.1%
<b>Transportation</b>	94	2.8%
<b>Marital Conflict</b>	44	1.3%
<b>Suicide Attempts</b>	34	1.0%
<b>Criminal Behavior</b>	31	.9%
<b>Alcohol Abuse</b>	27	.8%
<b>Pregnancy</b>	7	.2%
<b>Prostitution</b>	1	.0%

## **Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables**

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

### **Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2011**

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

### **Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2011**

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.

# **Intensive In-home Services**

## **Outcomes During FY 2011**


**Table 22**  
**Families and At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services**  
**During Fiscal Years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010**  
**(Families and At-risk Children are Unduplicated)**

Fiscal Year	Families	At-risk Children
2007	1,799	3,640
2008	1,623	3,299
2009	1,527	2,932
2010	1,889	3,745

**Table 23**  
**At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider**

Fiscal Year	Contract		In-house		At-risk Children Exiting
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2007	2,859	78.54%	781	21.45%	3,640
2008	2,484	75.29%	815	24.70%	3,299
2009	2,334	79.60%	598	20.39%	2,932
2010	3,096	82.67%	649	17.32%	3,745

**Table 24**  
**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider**



**Table 25**

**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services**

**Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report Either During IIS or After IIS Exit**

(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After Exit)

**Contracted and In-house Providers**

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2007	3,154	57	39	52	89	102	89	58	3,640
2008	2,886	52	45	41	51	103	65	56	3,299
2009	2,601	47	58	27	66	75	58	**	2,932
2010	3,366	79	74	36	88	102	**	**	3,745

**Contracted Providers Only**


Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2007	2,485	42	33	35	67	80	71	46	2,859
2008	2,202	36	34	24	34	71	44	39	2,484
2009	2,103	33	37	22	45	52	42	**	2,334
2010	2,796	54	60	35	76	75	**	**	3,096

**In-house Providers Only**

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2007	669	15	6	17	22	22	18	12	781
2008	684	16	11	17	17	32	21	17	815
2009	498	14	21	5	21	23	16	**	598
2010	570	25	14	1	12	27	**	**	649

**Table 26**

**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their IIS exit**



**Table 27**

**At-risk Children Exiting IIS**

**Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 Either During IIS or After IIS Exit**

(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)

**Contracted and In-house Providers**

Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2007	2,800	148	183	90	129	128	104	58	3,640
2008	2,454	151	175	92	140	152	76	59	3,299
2009	2,199	174	155	86	102	138	78	**	2,932
2010	2,834	270	274	99	123	145	**	**	3,745

**Contracted Providers Only**


Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2007	2,230	116	137	58	96	107	68	47	2,859
2008	1,896	121	130	55	96	98	45	43	2,484
2009	1,776	123	115	72	78	111	59	**	2,334
2010	2,362	196	225	89	107	117	**	**	3,096

**In-house Providers Only**

Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2007	570	32	46	32	33	21	36	11	781
2008	558	30	45	37	44	54	31	16	815
2009	423	51	40	14	24	27	19	**	598
2010	472	74	49	10	16	28	**	**	649

**Table 28**

**At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their IIS exit**



## **Notes: Outcomes During FY 2011**

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. At-risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. At the time of the run of this report, FY 2007 and FY 2008 are the only fiscal years that have had enough time to allow a 48 month follow-up of all exits. FY 2009 has data for follow-ups through 36 months and FY 2010 has data for follow-ups through 24 months.

### **Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010**

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

### **Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider**

- Service providers with codes less than '2000' are considered to be Contracted providers. Service providers with codes '2000' or greater are considered to be In-house providers.