



**Intensive In-Home Services
Annual Report
Fiscal Year 2013**

Missouri Department of Social Services
Research and Data Analysis
221 West High Street
Broadway Building
Jefferson City, MO 65102



JEREMIAH W. (JAY) NIXON, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, ACTING DIRECTOR

TIM DECKER, DIRECTOR
CHILDREN'S DIVISION

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088
WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

January 10, 2014

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2013. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2013 (July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.

Table of Contents

Families and Children Referred and Served	1
Table 1. Families Referred for IIS	2
Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted	4
Table 3. Families and Children Accepted.....	6
Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code	8
Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month	10
Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted Percentages	10
Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention	11
Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site	11
Table 9. Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables	14
Heads of Household Served	16
Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted	17
Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted	19
Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted	21
Table 13. Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted	23
Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted	27
Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	29
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables	30
At-Risk Children Served	31
Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted	32
Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted	34
Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted	36
Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category	38
Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented	42
Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	46
Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables	47
Outcomes.....	48
Table 22. Families and At-Risk Children Exiting Previous 4 FYs	49
Table 23. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider	49
Table 24. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider Chart	49
Table 25. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report	50
Table 26. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report Chart	50
Table 27. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1	51
Table 28. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 Chart	51
Notes: Outcomes Tables	52

Families and Children Referred and Served

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	170	45.8%	197	53.1%	4	1.1%	371
	* SITE TOTAL *	170	45.8%	197	53.1%	4	1.1%	371
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	263	62.2%	159	37.6%	1	.2%	423
	ST LOUIS CITY	164	51.1%	154	48.0%	3	.9%	321
	* SITE TOTAL *	427	57.4%	313	42.1%	4	.5%	744
SITE 733	BOONE	33	40.7%	48	59.3%	0	.0%	81
	CALLAWAY	29	60.4%	18	37.5%	1	2.1%	48
	COLE	28	90.3%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	31
	HOWARD	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	13
	RANDOLPH	22	52.4%	20	47.6%	0	.0%	42
	* SITE TOTAL *	123	57.2%	91	42.3%	1	.5%	215
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	BUCHANAN	12	25.0%	36	75.0%	0	.0%	48
	CALDWELL	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLINTON	2	13.3%	13	86.7%	0	.0%	15
	DAVISS	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	0	.0%	9
	GENTRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOLT	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	0	.0%	9
	NODAWAY	3	23.1%	9	69.2%	1	7.7%	13
	WORTH	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	21.7%	88	76.5%	2	1.7%	115
	SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CRAWFORD		5	38.5%	8	61.5%	0	.0%	13
DENT		2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
HOWELL		12	52.2%	11	47.8%	0	.0%	23
IRON		4	36.4%	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	11
MARIES		3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
OREGON		1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
PHELPS		13	48.1%	14	51.9%	0	.0%	27
PULASKI		7	29.2%	17	70.8%	0	.0%	24
REYNOLDS		3	30.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	10
TEXAS		7	38.9%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	18
WAYNE		11	55.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	20
* SITE TOTAL *		70	43.2%	91	56.2%	1	.6%	162
SITE 736	BATES	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	11
	BENTON	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	CAMDEN	10	30.3%	23	69.7%	0	.0%	33
	DALLAS	12	60.0%	8	40.0%	0	.0%	20
	HENRY	7	20.0%	28	80.0%	0	.0%	35
	HICKORY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	15	25.9%	43	74.1%	0	.0%	58
	MILLER	13	48.1%	14	51.9%	0	.0%	27
	MONITEAU	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8
	MORGAN	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	0	.0%	22
	POLK	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	13
	ST CLAIR	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8
	WEBSTER	18	48.6%	19	51.4%	0	.0%	37
	* SITE TOTAL *	106	37.5%	177	62.5%	0	.0%	283
	SITE 737	BARTON	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%
CEDAR		5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
DADE		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
JASPER		46	33.1%	93	66.9%	0	.0%	139
MCDONALD		8	26.7%	22	73.3%	0	.0%	30
NEWTON		19	29.2%	46	70.8%	0	.0%	65
VERNON		5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
* SITE TOTAL *		94	35.7%	169	64.3%	0	.0%	263
SITE 738	BARRY	15	71.4%	6	28.6%	0	.0%	21
	CHRISTIAN	23	50.0%	22	47.8%	1	2.2%	46
	DOUGLAS	7	38.9%	9	50.0%	2	11.1%	18
	LAWRENCE	19	65.5%	9	31.0%	1	3.4%	29
	OZARK	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	STONE	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	35	36.8%	58	61.1%	2	2.1%	95
	WRIGHT	14	38.9%	21	58.3%	1	2.8%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	122	46.4%	134	51.0%	7	2.7%	263

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	122	37.2%	185	56.4%	21	6.4%	328
	* SITE TOTAL *	122	37.2%	185	56.4%	21	6.4%	328
SITE 740	CHARITON	6	35.3%	11	64.7%	0	.0%	17
	GRUNDY	4	22.2%	14	77.8%	0	.0%	18
	HARRISON	4	26.7%	11	73.3%	0	.0%	15
	LINN	10	26.3%	28	73.7%	0	.0%	38
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PUTNAM	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	SULLIVAN	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	29.2%	75	70.8%	0	.0%	106
SITE 831	LINCOLN	17	37.0%	28	60.9%	1	2.2%	46
	MARION	13	38.2%	21	61.8%	0	.0%	34
	MONROE	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	5	26.3%	14	73.7%	0	.0%	19
	RALLS	4	14.8%	23	85.2%	0	.0%	27
	ST CHARLES	35	28.7%	87	71.3%	0	.0%	122
	* SITE TOTAL *	76	30.0%	176	69.6%	1	.4%	253
SITE 931	ADAIR	21	61.8%	13	38.2%	0	.0%	34
	CLARK	5	31.3%	11	68.8%	0	.0%	16
	KNOX	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	LEWIS	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	0	.0%	18
	MACON	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	16
	SCHUYLER	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	SCOTLAND	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	SHELBY	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	51.7%	56	48.3%	0	.0%	116
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%
FRANKLIN		20	29.9%	31	46.3%	16	23.9%	67
GASCONADE		3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
JEFFERSON		61	35.1%	113	64.9%	0	.0%	174
MONTGOMERY		4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
OSAGE		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
WARREN		14	48.3%	15	51.7%	0	.0%	29
* SITE TOTAL *		120	39.5%	168	55.3%	16	5.3%	304
SITE 933	CASS	28	53.8%	24	46.2%	0	.0%	52
	COOPER	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	JOHNSON	15	75.0%	5	25.0%	0	.0%	20
	LAFAYETTE	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	.0%	12
	PETTIS	28	68.3%	13	31.7%	0	.0%	41
	SALINE	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	91	63.6%	52	36.4%	0	.0%	143
	SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CLAY		11	61.1%	6	33.3%	1	5.6%	18
PLATTE		5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
RAY		1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
* SITE TOTAL *		22	57.9%	15	39.5%	1	2.6%	38
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	40	59.7%	27	40.3%	0	.0%	67
	MADISON	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	16
	MISSISSIPPI	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	0	.0%	14
	PERRY	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	ST FRANCOIS	55	67.1%	26	31.7%	1	1.2%	82
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	SCOTT	2	8.3%	21	87.5%	1	4.2%	24
	WASHINGTON	13	59.1%	9	40.9%	0	.0%	22
	* SITE TOTAL *	157	58.8%	108	40.4%	2	.7%	267
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	71.4%	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	21
	DUNKLIN	7	18.9%	30	81.1%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	0	.0%	15
	PEMISCOT	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	0	.0%	17
	RIPLEY	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	STODDARD	18	50.0%	18	50.0%	0	.0%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	49.3%	68	50.0%	1	.7%	136
STATE TOTAL	1,883	45.8%	2,163	52.7%	61	1.5%	4,107	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	Reason Not Accepted Codes														MISSING	TOTAL	
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23			24
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	13	1	14	17	5	5	41	0	4	0	26	45	13	0	27	220
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	13	1	14	17	5	5	41	0	4	0	26	45	13	0	27	220
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	12	3	4	7	59	1	32	33	1	1	1	0	4	16	0	61	235
	ST LOUIS CITY	13	16	20	7	26	3	19	53	1	6	1	0	0	3	0	45	213
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	19	24	14	85	4	51	86	2	7	2	0	4	19	0	106	448
SITE 733	BOONE	18	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	40	73
	CALLAWAY	5	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	15	33
	COLE	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	RANDOLPH	5	11	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	1	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	28	37	5	0	2	0	4	16	0	1	0	3	0	6	0	56	158
SITE 734	ANDREW	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	ATCHISON	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	6
	BUCHANAN	10	0	0	3	0	0	1	9	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	18	45
	CALDWELL	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CLINTON	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14
	DAVISS	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	DE KALB	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	LIVINGSTON	5	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
	NODAWAY	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	37	0	10	5	0	0	1	22	2	0	0	0	4	7	0	25	113
	SITE 735	CRAWFORD	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DENT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14
HOWELL		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	21
IRON		0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	11
MARIES		0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
OREGON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
PHELPS		6	1	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	19
PULASKI		5	0	0	0	4	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	23
REYNOLDS		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	9
TEXAS		0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	15
WAYNE		1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	14
* SITE TOTAL *		16	14	1	5	5	1	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	54	146
SITE 736	BATES	3	19	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	BENTON	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CAMDEN	3	29	2	0	2	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
	DALLAS	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	HENRY	5	42	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
	HICKORY	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
	LACLEDE	2	54	3	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67
	MILLER	1	18	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	24
	MONITEAU	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	MORGAN	12	15	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	POLK	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14
	ST CLAIR	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	WEBSTER	2	15	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	34	222	10	10	5	1	0	32	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	321
	SITE 737	BARTON	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CEDAR		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
DADE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
JASPER		1	5	3	3	1	0	0	40	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	38	94
MCDONALD		0	1	0	3	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	25
NEWTON		1	7	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	6	66
VERNON		0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
* SITE TOTAL *		9	16	3	6	3	0	0	100	0	0	0	3	12	1	0	48	201
SITE 738	BARRY	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	18	
	CHRISTIAN	1	26	5	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	0	7	53	
	DOUGLAS	2	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	
	LAWRENCE	0	8	4	0	4	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	
	OZARK	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
	STONE	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	
	TANEY	29	19	0	1	2	0	2	5	0	0	0	2	1	0	5	66	
	WRIGHT	0	24	4	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	41	
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	97	13	9	21	0	5	33	0	0	0	5	4	0	21	240	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	Reason Not Accepted Codes														MISSING	TOTAL	
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23			24
SITE 739	GREENE	11	15	3	16	6	2	2	94	0	0	0	5	5	7	0	9	175
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	15	3	16	6	2	2	94	0	0	0	5	5	7	0	9	175
SITE 740	CHARITON	5	12	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	24
	GRUNDY	1	17	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
	HARRISON	2	16	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	LINN	7	18	0	0	3	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
	MERCER	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	SULLIVAN	2	4	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	69	5	4	6	0	2	22	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	127
SITE 831	LINCOLN	11	15	3	0	5	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	48
	MARION	15	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	38
	MONROE	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	PIKE	8	12	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	RALLS	29	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	33
	ST CHARLES	8	36	0	8	3	3	8	43	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	112
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	90	3	8	8	3	8	69	0	0	0	4	0	6	0	1	271
	SITE 931	ADAIR	9	9	2	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLARK		0	1	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
LEWIS		0	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
MACON		0	3	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
SCHUYLER		0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
SCOTLAND		0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
SHELBY		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
* SITE TOTAL *		9	22	3	4	3	0	0	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	81
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	FRANKLIN	3	11	0	1	1	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	60
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	JEFFERSON	7	2	1	3	18	0	2	59	2	1	0	0	6	0	5	106	
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	
	WARREN	7	7	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	26	
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	20	1	14	19	0	3	95	2	1	0	0	8	0	28	211	
SITE 933	CASS	6	16	0	0	2	0	0	11	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	41
	COOPER	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JOHNSON	3	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10
	LAFAYETTE	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11
	PETTIS	5	3	1	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	SALINE	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	21	1	1	2	4	0	24	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	3	88
SITE 934	CLAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
	PLATTE	0	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
	RAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	4	0	1	4	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	19
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	23	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	2	38
	MADISON	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	9
	PERRY	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	ST FRANCOIS	3	13	6	8	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	4	52
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	13
	SCOTT	0	1	1	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	5	23
	WASHINGTON	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	19	12	12	11	3	1	49	0	0	0	11	6	12	0	12	162
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	1	5	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	27
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	RIPLEY	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	STODDARD	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	29
* SITE TOTAL *	5	3	8	5	3	0	0	44	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	93	
STATE TOTAL	363	681	103	128	200	23	85	816	8	14	2	58	84	90	0	419	3,074	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	167	437	2.62	359	2.15
	* SITE TOTAL *	167	437	2.62	359	2.15
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	266	669	2.52	500	1.88
	ST LOUIS CITY	163	464	2.85	329	2.02
	* SITE TOTAL *	429	1,133	2.64	829	1.93
SITE 733	BOONE	31	77	2.48	57	1.84
	CALLAWAY	29	76	2.62	58	2.00
	COLE	28	67	2.39	61	2.18
	HOWARD	10	27	2.70	23	2.30
	RANDOLPH	23	51	2.22	38	1.65
	* SITE TOTAL *	121	298	2.46	237	1.96
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	13	31	2.38	23	1.77
	CALDWELL	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	CLINTON	2	4	2.00	3	1.50
	DE KALB	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	GENTRY	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	HOLT	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	LIVINGSTON	3	8	2.67	4	1.33
	NODAWAY	3	5	1.67	3	1.00
	WORTH	1	3	3.00	0	0.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	58	2.23	40	1.54
SITE 735	CARTER	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	CRAWFORD	5	13	2.60	12	2.40
	DENT	2	10	5.00	10	5.00
	HOWELL	13	36	2.77	22	1.69
	IRON	5	18	3.60	18	3.60
	MARIES	3	6	2.00	4	1.33
	OREGON	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	PHELPS	12	29	2.42	18	1.50
	PULASKI	7	12	1.71	7	1.00
	REYNOLDS	3	11	3.67	7	2.33
	TEXAS	6	15	2.50	14	2.33
	WAYNE	11	29	2.64	16	1.45
	* SITE TOTAL *	70	184	2.63	133	1.90
SITE 736	BATES	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	BENTON	4	9	2.25	5	1.25
	CAMDEN	10	29	2.90	22	2.20
	DALLAS	11	29	2.64	23	2.09
	HENRY	7	11	1.57	10	1.43
	HICKORY	3	7	2.33	7	2.33
	LACLEDE	16	34	2.13	28	1.75
	MILLER	12	32	2.67	22	1.83
	MONITEAU	3	8	2.67	6	2.00
	MORGAN	9	23	2.56	17	1.89
	POLK	8	24	3.00	23	2.88
	ST CLAIR	3	10	3.33	6	2.00
	WEBSTER	15	37	2.47	31	2.07
	* SITE TOTAL *	103	258	2.50	205	1.99
SITE 737	BARTON	9	26	2.89	17	1.89
	CEDAR	4	13	3.25	12	3.00
	DADE	3	8	2.67	5	1.67
	JASPER	46	113	2.46	83	1.80
	MCDONALD	8	25	3.13	18	2.25
	NEWTON	18	42	2.33	35	1.94
	VERNON	5	13	2.60	10	2.00
* SITE TOTAL *	93	240	2.58	180	1.94	
SITE 738	BARRY	14	39	2.79	35	2.50
	CHRISTIAN	24	60	2.50	54	2.25
	DOUGLAS	10	24	2.40	18	1.80
	LAWRENCE	20	56	2.80	46	2.30
	OZARK	4	10	2.50	9	2.25
	STONE	4	10	2.50	10	2.50
	TANEY	34	79	2.32	64	1.88
	WRIGHT	15	49	3.27	42	2.80
* SITE TOTAL *	125	327	2.62	278	2.22	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 739	GREENE	140	344	2.46	286	2.04
	* SITE TOTAL *	140	344	2.46	286	2.04
SITE 740	CHARITON	5	12	2.40	8	1.60
	GRUNDY	4	15	3.75	13	3.25
	HARRISON	4	12	3.00	12	3.00
	LINN	11	28	2.55	20	1.82
	MERCER	2	6	3.00	3	1.50
	PUTNAM	2	8	4.00	8	4.00
	SULLIVAN	4	12	3.00	12	3.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	93	2.91	76	2.38
SITE 831	LINCOLN	16	49	3.06	42	2.63
	MARION	12	31	2.58	25	2.08
	MONROE	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	PIKE	5	16	3.20	14	2.80
	RALLS	4	11	2.75	7	1.75
	ST CHARLES	36	84	2.33	75	2.08
	* SITE TOTAL *	75	196	2.61	168	2.24
SITE 931	ADAIR	22	65	2.95	58	2.64
	CLARK	5	7	1.40	5	1.00
	KNOX	3	7	2.33	5	1.67
	LEWIS	10	23	2.30	14	1.40
	MACON	8	13	1.63	13	1.63
	SCHUYLER	6	15	2.50	14	2.33
	SCOTLAND	5	10	2.00	7	1.40
	SHELBY	1	2	2.00	1	1.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	142	2.37	117	1.95
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	15	42	2.80	23
FRANKLIN		21	58	2.76	54	2.57
GASCONADE		3	7	2.33	7	2.33
JEFFERSON		61	147	2.41	114	1.87
MONTGOMERY		5	16	3.20	14	2.80
OSAGE		1	4	4.00	3	3.00
WARREN		13	34	2.62	33	2.54
* SITE TOTAL *		119	308	2.59	248	2.08
SITE 933	CASS	26	62	2.38	48	1.85
	COOPER	7	21	3.00	19	2.71
	JOHNSON	13	32	2.46	31	2.38
	LAFAYETTE	8	16	2.00	14	1.75
	PETTIS	29	63	2.17	45	1.55
	SALINE	6	12	2.00	11	1.83
	* SITE TOTAL *	89	206	2.31	168	1.89
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	12	2.40	12	2.40
	CLAY	11	40	3.64	37	3.36
	PLATTE	6	16	2.67	13	2.17
	RAY	3	5	1.67	5	1.67
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	73	2.92	67	2.68
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	8	23	2.88	18	2.25
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	37	93	2.51	61	1.65
	MADISON	13	29	2.23	19	1.46
	MISSISSIPPI	4	10	2.50	10	2.50
	PERRY	15	29	1.93	27	1.80
	ST FRANCOIS	55	140	2.55	117	2.13
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	17	2.43	15	2.14
	SCOTT	3	7	2.33	4	1.33
	WASHINGTON	12	20	1.67	20	1.67
	* SITE TOTAL *	154	368	2.39	291	1.89
SITE 936	BUTLER	16	44	2.75	37	2.31
	DUNKLIN	7	21	3.00	16	2.29
	NEW MADRID	6	24	4.00	21	3.50
	PEMISCOT	10	42	4.20	39	3.90
	RIPLEY	8	29	3.63	24	3.00
	STODDARD	18	63	3.50	47	2.61
	* SITE TOTAL *	65	223	3.43	184	2.83
STATE TOTAL	1,893	4,888	2.58	3,866	2.04	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	IN- PATIENT		OUT- PATIENT		DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT		DSS JUVENILE			TOTAL		
			SELF	DMH CPS	DMH CPS	DMH CPS					COURT	COURT	FSD	OFFICER	OTHER			
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	1	0	0	165	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	167	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	165	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	167	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	28	0	2	202	0	15	14	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	266	
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	17	0	0	130	0	12	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	163	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	45	0	2	332	0	27	17	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	429	
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	31	
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	29	
	COLE	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	23	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	121
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	26
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CRAWFORD		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
DENT		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
HOWELL		0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
IRON		0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
MARIES		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	
OREGON		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
PHELPS		0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	12	
PULASKI		0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
REYNOLDS		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
TEXAS		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
WAYNE		0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
* SITE TOTAL *		0	0	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	70
SITE 736		BATES	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
	POLK	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	103
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	DADE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	46	
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	18	
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	93	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
	CHRISTIAN	0	1	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	24	
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	STONE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	TANEY	0	1	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	34	
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	2	0	2	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	125	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT		OUT- PATIENT		PRIVATE			LAW EN- FORCE- MENT		DSS JUVENILE			TOTAL
				DMH CPS	DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	FORCE- MENT	COURT	FSD	OFFICER	OTHER		
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	138	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	140
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	138	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	140
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LINN	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	32
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	1	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	MARION	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	ST CHARLES	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
	MACON	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	6
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	60
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	15
	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	JEFFERSON	0	1	0	0	57	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	61
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	108	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	8	0	119
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	26
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	8
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	29
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	89
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	RAY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	0	36	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	15
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	0	1	44	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	7	0	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	1	136	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	10	0	154
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	65
STATE TOTAL		1	50	0	5	1,711	1	32	19	1	9	1	63	0	1,893	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month During FY 2013

	FAMILIES	AT-RISK CHILDREN	NOT AT-RISK CHILDREN	TOTAL CHILDREN
July 2012	141	280	91	371
August 2012	157	335	54	389
September 2012	126	264	48	312
October 2012	184	385	99	484
November 2012	147	306	75	381
December 2012	146	274	113	387
January 2013	165	338	104	442
February 2013	141	307	66	373
March 2013	177	361	107	468
April 2013	170	333	92	425
May 2013	178	370	92	462
June 2013	161	313	81	394
State Total	1,893	3,866	1,022	4,888

Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted

Child's Safety Cannot be Assured	816	26.5%
No Opening in IIS-Child at Home	681	22.2%
Missing	419	13.6%
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	363	11.8%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	200	6.5%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	128	4.2%
No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed	103	3.4%
Caretaker Unable to Participate	90	2.9%
Unable to Contact Family	85	2.8%
Court Not in Agreement	84	2.7%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	58	1.9%
Child Unwilling to Participate	23	0.7%
Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured	14	0.5%
Child is Physically Assaultive	8	0.3%
Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement	2	0.1%
State Total	3,074	100.0%

Intensive In-Home Services

Of the 1,893 families accepted into IIS during FY 2013, 1,795 families had a total of 2,508 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention During FY 2013

Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,724	68.7%
Adult Law Enforcement	271	10.8%
Juvenile Court	204	8.1%
Adult Criminal Court	130	5.2%
Dept of Mental Health	122	4.9%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	57	2.3%
Total Prior Contacts	2,508	100.0%

Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site for Families Accepted During FY 2013

	Total Weeks of Intervention									Average Weeks of Intervention	SITE TOTAL
	<1 Wk	1 Wk	2 Wks	3 Wks	4 Wks	5 Wks	6 Wks	7 Wks	8+ Wks		
SITE 731	1	9	2	28	48	32	31	6	10	4.68	167
SITE 732	11	30	17	30	35	56	202	26	22	5.24	429
SITE 733	2	5	8	8	23	16	49	9	1	4.81	121
SITE 734	0	3	1	1	6	7	8	0	0	4.42	26
SITE 735	3	9	4	1	9	9	26	2	7	5.16	70
SITE 736	4	7	3	9	6	21	35	11	7	5.01	103
SITE 737	0	3	7	8	6	7	43	10	9	5.80	93
SITE 738	5	8	9	7	8	38	47	2	1	4.62	125
SITE 739	4	9	6	9	10	13	85	4	0	4.94	140
SITE 740	0	0	1	4	0	7	16	3	1	5.47	32
SITE 831	4	3	3	3	12	7	39	3	1	4.91	75
SITE 931	1	5	2	1	6	11	30	3	1	5.00	60
SITE 932	5	6	7	7	11	16	53	7	7	4.95	119
SITE 933	4	4	4	6	11	18	33	6	3	4.83	89
SITE 934	0	0	1	1	4	11	8	0	0	4.96	25
SITE 935	4	7	6	10	25	59	38	2	3	5.01	154
SITE 936	1	5	3	3	3	10	23	5	12	6.72	65
State Total	49	113	84	136	223	338	766	99	85	5.07	1,893

Average duration of FY 2013 IIS Interventions is 5.07 weeks.

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
SITE 731	JACKSON	125	79.1%	30	19.0%	3	1.9%	158
	* SITE TOTAL *	125	79.1%	30	19.0%	3	1.9%	158
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	212	82.2%	36	14.0%	10	3.9%	258
	ST LOUIS CITY	125	76.7%	25	15.3%	13	8.0%	163
	* SITE TOTAL *	337	80.0%	61	14.5%	23	5.5%	421
SITE 733	BOONE	24	70.6%	10	29.4%	0	.0%	34
	CALLAWAY	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	0	.0%	29
	COLE	11	37.9%	17	58.6%	1	3.4%	29
	HOWARD	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11
	RANDOLPH	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	84	68.9%	37	30.3%	1	.8%	122
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	13
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOLT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LIVINGSTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	85.2%	4	14.8%	0	.0%	27
	SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CRAWFORD		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
DENT		2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
HOWELL		8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
IRON		4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
MARIES		4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
OREGON		0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
PHELPS		10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	12
PULASKI		4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	8
REYNOLDS		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
TEXAS		6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
WAYNE		4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
* SITE TOTAL *		50	71.4%	19	27.1%	1	1.4%	70
SITE 736		BATES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	DALLAS	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	11
	HENRY	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
	HICKORY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	.0%	12
	MILLER	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	8
	POLK	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	70.5%	27	28.4%	1	1.1%	95
SITE 737	BARTON	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DADE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	JASPER	35	83.3%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	42
	MCDONALD	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	NEWTON	15	75.0%	5	25.0%	0	.0%	20
	VERNON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	70	80.5%	17	19.5%	0	.0%	87
SITE 738	BARRY	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	12
	CHRISTIAN	19	79.2%	5	20.8%	0	.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	LAWRENCE	19	90.5%	2	9.5%	0	.0%	21
	OZARK	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	STONE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	24	75.0%	8	25.0%	0	.0%	32
	* SITE TOTAL *	96	80.0%	23	19.2%	1	.8%	120

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
SITE 739	GREENE	94	74.0%	30	23.6%	3	2.4%	127
	* SITE TOTAL *	94	74.0%	30	23.6%	3	2.4%	127
SITE 740	CHARITON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	GRUNDY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HARRISON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LINN	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	96.4%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	28
SITE 831	LINCOLN	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	16
	MARION	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PIKE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	RALLS	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	24	72.7%	8	24.2%	1	3.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	50	71.4%	18	25.7%	2	2.9%	70
	SITE 931	ADAIR	14	70.0%	6	30.0%	0	.0%
CLARK		1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	5
KNOX		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
LEWIS		10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	12
MACON		6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
SCHUYLER		6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
SCOTLAND		6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
SHELBY		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *		46	75.4%	15	24.6%	0	.0%	61
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%
	FRANKLIN	16	69.6%	5	21.7%	2	8.7%	23
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	49	86.0%	8	14.0%	0	.0%	57
	MONTGOMERY	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WARREN	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	78.4%	21	18.9%	3	2.7%	111
SITE 933	CASS	19	76.0%	6	24.0%	0	.0%	25
	COOPER	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	JOHNSON	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	LAFAYETTE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	PETTIS	23	79.3%	6	20.7%	0	.0%	29
	SALINE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	79.8%	17	20.2%	0	.0%	84
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	PLATTE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	RAY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	0	.0%	23
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	29	85.3%	5	14.7%	0	.0%	34
	MADISON	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	PERRY	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	36	66.7%	18	33.3%	0	.0%	54
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTT	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	WASHINGTON	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	73.8%	36	24.8%	2	1.4%	145
SITE 936	BUTLER	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	12
	DUNKLIN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	NEW MADRID	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	PEMISCOT	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	RIPLEY	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	6
	STODDARD	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	40	75.5%	11	20.8%	2	3.8%	53
STATE TOTAL	1,390	77.1%	370	20.5%	42	2.3%	1,802	

Intensive In-Home Services

Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2013.

Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2013

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-Home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30th may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1st. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

Table 2 Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2013

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
 - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
 - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
 - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
 - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
 - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
 - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
 - 16 Unable to Contact Family
 - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
 - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
 - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
 - 22 Court Not in Agreement
 - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
 - 24 Referral was Done in Error

Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2013

- Each family is only counted once during the fiscal year. If a family is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.
- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2013.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of At-Risk and not At-Risk children from the accepted families.

Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2013

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

Intensive In-Home Services

Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)

Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2013

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
 - Child Ran Away (12)
 - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
 - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
 - In Residential (17)
 - With Division of Youth Services (18)
 - In Juvenile Justice (19)
 - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
 - Can't Locate Family (11)
 - Information Not Available (21)

Heads of Household Served

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	5.4%	84	50.3%	56	33.5%	10	6.0%	4	2.4%	3	1.8%	1	.6%	167
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	5.4%	84	50.3%	56	33.5%	10	6.0%	4	2.4%	3	1.8%	1	.6%	167
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	5	1.9%	56	21.1%	117	44.0%	53	19.9%	27	10.2%	7	2.6%	1	.4%	266
	ST LOUIS CITY	4	2.5%	72	44.2%	55	33.7%	27	16.6%	4	2.5%	1	.6%	0	.0%	163
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	2.1%	128	29.8%	172	40.1%	80	18.6%	31	7.2%	8	1.9%	1	.2%	429
SITE 733	BOONE	0	.0%	7	22.6%	18	58.1%	1	3.2%	5	16.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	7	24.1%	15	51.7%	3	10.3%	4	13.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	COLE	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	12	42.9%	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	HOWARD	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	1	4.3%	7	30.4%	12	52.2%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.5%	33	27.3%	60	49.6%	10	8.3%	14	11.6%	1	.8%	0	.0%	121
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	1	33.3%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WORTH	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	7.7%	12	46.2%	8	30.8%	4	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CRAWFORD		0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
DENT		0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
HOWELL		0	.0%	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
IRON		0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
MARIES		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
OREGON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
PHELPS		0	.0%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
PULASKI		0	.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	7
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
TEXAS		0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
WAYNE		0	.0%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
* SITE TOTAL *		0	.0%	20	28.6%	20	28.6%	17	24.3%	6	8.6%	5	7.1%	2	2.9%	70
SITE 736		BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	2	20.0%	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	DALLAS	0	.0%	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	HENRY	0	.0%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	HICKORY	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	6	37.5%	4	25.0%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	16
	MILLER	0	.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	12
	MONITEAU	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	POLK	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	6	40.0%	6	40.0%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.9%	42	40.8%	34	33.0%	15	14.6%	4	3.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	103
	SITE 737	BARTON	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%
CEDAR		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
DADE		0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
JASPER		1	2.2%	16	34.8%	12	26.1%	15	32.6%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	46
MCDONALD		0	.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
NEWTON		1	5.6%	5	27.8%	6	33.3%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	18
VERNON		0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *	3	3.2%	31	33.3%	26	28.0%	24	25.8%	5	5.4%	4	4.3%	0	.0%	93	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	4	28.6%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	9	37.5%	9	37.5%	5	20.8%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	LAWRENCE	1	5.0%	4	20.0%	12	60.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	OZARK	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	1	2.9%	12	35.3%	15	44.1%	4	11.8%	0	.0%	2	5.9%	0	.0%	34
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.6%	39	31.2%	57	45.6%	19	15.2%	3	2.4%	4	3.2%	1	.8%	125

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		≥ 70		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	9	6.4%	52	37.1%	53	37.9%	14	10.0%	8	5.7%	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	140
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	6.4%	52	37.1%	53	37.9%	14	10.0%	8	5.7%	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	140
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	LINN	0	.0%	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	3.1%	11	34.4%	13	40.6%	5	15.6%	0	.0%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	32
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	4	25.0%	9	56.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	MARION	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PIKE	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	7	19.4%	15	41.7%	10	27.8%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	17	22.7%	33	44.0%	19	25.3%	4	5.3%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	75
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	4.5%	7	31.8%	10	45.5%	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	CLARK	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MACON	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.7%	23	38.3%	22	36.7%	8	13.3%	3	5.0%	2	3.3%	1	1.7%	60
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	6.7%	6	40.0%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	15
	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	7	33.3%	10	47.6%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	JEFFERSON	1	1.6%	7	11.5%	30	49.2%	12	19.7%	7	11.5%	3	4.9%	1	1.6%	61
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WARREN	0	.0%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.8%	18	15.1%	59	49.6%	21	17.6%	14	11.8%	4	3.4%	2	1.7%	119
SITE 933	CASS	2	7.7%	4	15.4%	8	30.8%	8	30.8%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PETTIS	2	6.9%	11	37.9%	8	27.6%	5	17.2%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	SALINE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.5%	24	27.0%	35	39.3%	17	19.1%	6	6.7%	2	2.2%	1	1.1%	89
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	0	.0%	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	11	44.0%	8	32.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	25
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	5.4%	14	37.8%	16	43.2%	4	10.8%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	MADISON	2	15.4%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	PERRY	1	6.7%	5	33.3%	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	1	1.8%	21	38.2%	20	36.4%	8	14.5%	3	5.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	3.9%	56	36.4%	56	36.4%	22	14.3%	9	5.8%	3	1.9%	2	1.3%	154
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	2	12.5%	10	62.5%	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	NEW MADRID	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PEMISCOT	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	8	44.4%	7	38.9%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.1%	21	32.3%	27	41.5%	7	10.8%	6	9.2%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	65
STATE TOTAL		55	2.9%	622	32.9%	739	39.0%	296	15.6%	118	6.2%	47	2.5%	16	.8%	1,893

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	149	89.2%	18	10.8%	167
	* SITE TOTAL *	149	89.2%	18	10.8%	167
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	235	88.3%	31	11.7%	266
	ST LOUIS CITY	145	89.0%	18	11.0%	163
	* SITE TOTAL *	380	88.6%	49	11.4%	429
SITE 733	BOONE	21	67.7%	10	32.3%	31
	CALLAWAY	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	29
	COLE	24	85.7%	4	14.3%	28
	HOWARD	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	95	78.5%	26	21.5%	121
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	HOLT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LIVINGSTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	26
	SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%
CRAWFORD		5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
DENT		2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
HOWELL		11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
IRON		4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
MARIES		3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
OREGON		1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
PHELPS		12	100.0%	0	.0%	12
PULASKI		7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
REYNOLDS		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
TEXAS		6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
WAYNE		9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
* SITE TOTAL *		64	91.4%	6	8.6%	70
SITE 736	BATES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAMDEN	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	DALLAS	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	HENRY	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	HICKORY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	16
	MILLER	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
	MONITEAU	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	POLK	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	WEBSTER	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	79.6%	21	20.4%	103
	SITE 737	BARTON	7	77.8%	2	22.2%
CEDAR		3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
DADE		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
JASPER		35	76.1%	11	23.9%	46
MCDONALD		6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
NEWTON		12	66.7%	6	33.3%	18
VERNON		3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *		68	73.1%	25	26.9%	93
SITE 738	BARRY	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	14
	CHRISTIAN	17	70.8%	7	29.2%	24
	DOUGLAS	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10
	LAWRENCE	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20
	OZARK	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	TANEY	33	97.1%	1	2.9%	34
	WRIGHT	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	85.6%	18	14.4%	125

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	125	89.3%	15	10.7%	140
	* SITE TOTAL *	125	89.3%	15	10.7%	140
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	GRUNDY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	HARRISON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LINN	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	MERCER	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PUTNAM	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	71.9%	9	28.1%	32
SITE 831	LINCOLN	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16
	MARION	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	12
	MONROE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PIKE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	28	77.8%	8	22.2%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	63	84.0%	12	16.0%	75
SITE 931	ADAIR	19	86.4%	3	13.6%	22
	CLARK	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	KNOX	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10
	MACON	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
	SCHUYLER	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	SCOTLAND	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SHELBY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	50	83.3%	10	16.7%	60
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	15
	FRANKLIN	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	21
	GASCONADE	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	JEFFERSON	46	75.4%	15	24.6%	61
	MONTGOMERY	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	WARREN	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	92	77.3%	27	22.7%	119
SITE 933	CASS	20	76.9%	6	23.1%	26
	COOPER	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	JOHNSON	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	LAFAYETTE	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	PETTIS	24	82.8%	5	17.2%	29
	SALINE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	80.9%	17	19.1%	89
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	CLAY	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	PLATTE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	RAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	25
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	8	100.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	30	81.1%	7	18.9%	37
	MADISON	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	PERRY	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	45	81.8%	10	18.2%	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	SCOTT	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	WASHINGTON	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	128	83.1%	26	16.9%	154
SITE 936	BUTLER	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	16
	DUNKLIN	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	NEW MADRID	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	PEMISCOT	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	RIPLEY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	STODDARD	16	88.9%	2	11.1%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	83.1%	11	16.9%	65
STATE TOTAL	1,596	84.3%	297	15.7%	1,893	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN		NAT HI PACI		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	99	59.3%	61	36.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	6	3.6%	167	
	* SITE TOTAL *	99	59.3%	61	36.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	6	3.6%	167	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	83	31.2%	161	60.5%	0	.0%	5	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	6.4%	266	
	ST LOUIS CITY	23	14.1%	135	82.8%	0	.0%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.5%	163	
	* SITE TOTAL *	106	24.7%	296	69.0%	0	.0%	6	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	4.9%	429	
SITE 733	BOONE	25	80.6%	6	19.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31	
	CALLAWAY	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29	
	COLE	23	82.1%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	7.1%	28	
	HOWARD	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
	RANDOLPH	22	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	23	
	* SITE TOTAL *	108	89.3%	10	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.5%	121	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	CLINTON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	HOLT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	LIVINGSTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	NODAWAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26	
	SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
		CRAWFORD	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
DENT		2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
HOWELL		11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13	
IRON		5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	
MARIES		3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
OREGON		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
PHELPS		11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12	
PULASKI		6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7	
REYNOLDS		3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
TEXAS		6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	
WAYNE		11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	
* SITE TOTAL *		66	94.3%	1	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	4.3%	70	
SITE 736		BATES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
	CAMDEN	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10	
	DALLAS	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	
	HENRY	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	7	
	HICKORY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	LACLEDE	15	93.8%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	
	MILLER	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	
	MONITEAU	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	MORGAN	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9	
	POLK	7	87.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	
	ST CLAIR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	WEBSTER	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15	
	* SITE TOTAL *	97	94.2%	0	.0%	2	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	3.9%	103	
	SITE 737	BARTON	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
CEDAR		4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
DADE		3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
JASPER		36	78.3%	4	8.7%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	2	4.3%	3	6.5%	46	
MCDONALD		8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	
NEWTON		18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
VERNON		4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5	
* SITE TOTAL *		81	87.1%	4	4.3%	0	.0%	1	1.1%	0	.0%	2	2.2%	5	5.4%	93	
SITE 738	BARRY	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	
	CHRISTIAN	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24	
	DOUGLAS	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10	
	LAWRENCE	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20	
	OZARK	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
	STONE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
	TANEY	31	91.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	8.8%	34	
	WRIGHT	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15	
	* SITE TOTAL *	121	96.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	3.2%	125	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	UNABLE TO														TOTAL
		WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN		NAT HI PACI		MULTI-RACIAL		DETERMINE		
SITE 739	GREENE	130	92.9%	4	2.9%	2	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.9%	140
	* SITE TOTAL *	130	92.9%	4	2.9%	2	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.9%	140
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	GRUNDY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LINN	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	93.8%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.1%	32
SITE 831	LINCOLN	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	MARION	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MONROE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PIKE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	32	88.9%	3	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	89.3%	6	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	75
SITE 931	ADAIR	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	CLARK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	MACON	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	SCHUYLER	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	55	91.7%	2	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.0%	60
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
FRANKLIN		20	95.2%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
GASCONADE		3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
JEFFERSON		58	95.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	4.9%	61
MONTGOMERY		5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
OSAGE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	#####	1
WARREN		12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
* SITE TOTAL *		109	91.6%	5	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	4.2%	119
SITE 933	CASS	18	69.2%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	5	19.2%	26
	COOPER	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	LAFAYETTE	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PETTIS	24	82.8%	5	17.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	SALINE	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	73	82.0%	8	9.0%	1	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.1%	6	6.7%	89
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	PLATTE	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	RAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	92.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.0%	25
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	36	97.3%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	MADISON	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	PERRY	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	54	98.2%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WASHINGTON	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	151	98.1%	2	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	154
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	93.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	16
	DUNKLIN	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	NEW MADRID	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PEMISCOT	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RIPLEY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	80.0%	11	16.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.1%	65
STATE TOTAL	1,394	73.6%	411	21.7%	5	.3%	7	.4%	0	.0%	5	.3%	71	3.8%	1,893	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL-TIME		LESS THAN FULL-TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT NOT EMPLOYED	
SITE 731	JACKSON	31	18.6%	15	9.0%	4	2.4%	0	.0%	3	1.8%
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	18.6%	15	9.0%	4	2.4%	0	.0%	3	1.8%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	21	7.9%	30	11.3%	9	3.4%	0	.0%	2	.8%
	ST LOUIS CITY	33	20.2%	15	9.2%	5	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	12.6%	45	10.5%	14	3.3%	0	.0%	2	.5%
SITE 733	BOONE	3	9.7%	6	19.4%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	9	32.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	5	21.7%	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	19.0%	15	12.4%	6	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0
CRAWFORD		2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
DENT		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
HOWELL		3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
IRON		1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
MARIES		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OREGON		0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PHELPS		2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PULASKI		3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
TEXAS		1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WAYNE		2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *		14	20.0%	8	11.4%	1	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736		BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0
	BENTON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%
	DALLAS	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	14.6%	15	14.6%	3	2.9%	0	.0%	1	1.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	9	19.6%	6	13.0%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	1	2.2%
	MCDONALD	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	21.5%	12	12.9%	4	4.3%	0	.0%	1	1.1%
SITE 738	BARRY	5	35.7%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	2	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	4	11.8%	2	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	13.6%	6	4.8%	3	2.4%	0	.0%	1	.8%

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER NOT		DISABLED NOT IN		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		IN LABOR FORCE		LABOR FORCE								
SITE 731	JACKSON	4	2.4%	9	5.4%	0	.0%	33	19.8%	68	40.7%	167
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.4%	9	5.4%	0	.0%	33	19.8%	68	40.7%	167
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	1.1%	2	.8%	1	.4%	45	16.9%	153	57.5%	266
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.6%	2	1.2%	0	.0%	27	16.6%	80	49.1%	163
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	.9%	4	.9%	1	.2%	72	16.8%	233	54.3%	429
SITE 733	BOONE	0	.0%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	3	9.7%	14	45.2%	31
	CALLAWAY	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	0	.0%	5	17.2%	12	41.4%	29
	COLE	0	.0%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	13	46.4%	28
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	6	60.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	2	8.7%	3	13.0%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	6	26.1%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.5%	9	7.4%	0	.0%	14	11.6%	51	42.1%	121
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	9	69.2%	13
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	17	65.4%	26
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
CRAWFORD		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	5
DENT		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
HOWELL		1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	46.2%	13
IRON		0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
MARIES		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
OREGON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
PHELPS		1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	12
PULASKI		1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	7
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
TEXAS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	66.7%	6
WAYNE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	7	63.6%	11
* SITE TOTAL *		3	4.3%	5	7.1%	0	.0%	5	7.1%	34	48.6%	70
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	BENTON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	10
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	36.4%	11
	HENRY	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	7
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	62.5%	16
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	6	50.0%	12
	MONITEAU	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	66.7%	9
	POLK	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	7	46.7%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.9%	7	6.8%	1	1.0%	4	3.9%	54	52.4%	103
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	4	44.4%	9
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	JASPER	0	.0%	4	8.7%	3	6.5%	5	10.9%	17	37.0%	46
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	8
	NEWTON	0	.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	8	44.4%	18
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	7	7.5%	5	5.4%	8	8.6%	36	38.7%	93
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	7	50.0%	14
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	4	16.7%	17	70.8%	24
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	10
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	2	10.0%	12	60.0%	20
	OZARK	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	STONE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	TANEY	1	2.9%	2	5.9%	0	.0%	2	5.9%	23	67.6%	34
	WRIGHT	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	8	53.3%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.4%	7	5.6%	1	.8%	11	8.8%	76	60.8%	125

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL-TIME		LESS THAN FULL-TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT NOT EMPLOYED	
SITE 739	GREENE	19	13.6%	8	5.7%	6	4.3%	1	.7%	4	2.9%
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	13.6%	8	5.7%	6	4.3%	1	.7%	4	2.9%
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	12.5%	6	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	2	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	8.0%	8	10.7%	2	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	5	22.7%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	15.0%	11	18.3%	2	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0
FRANKLIN		4	19.0%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
GASCONADE		0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
JEFFERSON		4	6.6%	8	13.1%	2	3.3%	0	.0%	1	1.6%
MONTGOMERY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OSAGE		0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WARREN		1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *		9	7.6%	20	16.8%	4	3.4%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 933	CASS	4	15.4%	5	19.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	1	3.4%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	1	3.4%
	SALINE	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	7.9%	15	16.9%	3	3.4%	0	.0%	1	1.1%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	8.1%	2	5.4%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	3	5.5%	5	9.1%	4	7.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	9.7%	8	5.2%	7	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
	PEMISCOT	4	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	16.9%	3	4.6%	5	7.7%	0	.0%	1	1.5%
STATE TOTAL		259	13.7%	198	10.5%	64	3.4%	1	.1%	16	.8%

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER NOT		DISABLED NOT IN		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		IN LABOR FORCE		LABOR FORCE								
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	4	2.9%	0	.0%	11	7.9%	87	62.1%	140
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	4	2.9%	0	.0%	11	7.9%	87	62.1%	140
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	LINN	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	11
	MERCER	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	3	9.4%	15	46.9%	32
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	25.0%	8	50.0%	16
	MARION	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	66.7%	12
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	1	2.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	8.3%	26	72.2%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	8	10.7%	49	65.3%	75
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	9.1%	11	50.0%	22
	CLARK	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	10
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.3%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	5	8.3%	30	50.0%	60
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	4	26.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	33.3%
FRANKLIN		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	19.0%	8	38.1%	21
GASCONADE		0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
JEFFERSON		1	1.6%	2	3.3%	0	.0%	11	18.0%	32	52.5%	61
MONTGOMERY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	5
OSAGE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
WARREN		1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	69.2%	13
* SITE TOTAL *		6	5.0%	4	3.4%	0	.0%	15	12.6%	60	50.4%	119
SITE 933	CASS	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	3	11.5%	9	34.6%	26
	COOPER	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	11	84.6%	13
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.9%	19	65.5%	29
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3.4%	4	4.5%	0	.0%	7	7.9%	49	55.1%	89
	SITE 934	CARROLL	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%
CLAY		1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	81.8%	11
PLATTE		0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
RAY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
* SITE TOTAL *		2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	2	8.0%	17	68.0%	25
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	4	10.8%	25	67.6%	37
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	1	1.8%	4	7.3%	0	.0%	6	10.9%	32	58.2%	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	7	58.3%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.6%	10	6.5%	0	.0%	21	13.6%	92	59.7%	154
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	9	56.3%	16
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	7
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	10
	RIPLEY	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	8
	STODDARD	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	2	11.1%	8	44.4%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	4.6%	5	7.7%	0	.0%	4	6.2%	33	50.8%	65
STATE TOTAL		41	2.2%	81	4.3%	8	.4%	224	11.8%	1,001	52.9%	1,893

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000 >	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	47 28.1%	40 24.0%	21 12.6%	31 18.6%	16 9.6%	7 4.2%	5 3.0%	167
	* SITE TOTAL *	47 28.1%	40 24.0%	21 12.6%	31 18.6%	16 9.6%	7 4.2%	5 3.0%	167
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	72 27.1%	29 10.9%	44 16.5%	50 18.8%	40 15.0%	15 5.6%	16 6.0%	266
	ST LOUIS CITY	75 46.0%	19 11.7%	26 16.0%	33 20.2%	9 5.5%	1 .6%	0 .0%	163
	* SITE TOTAL *	147 34.3%	48 11.2%	70 16.3%	83 19.3%	49 11.4%	16 3.7%	16 3.7%	429
SITE 733	BOONE	3 9.7%	4 12.9%	12 38.7%	6 19.4%	3 9.7%	3 9.7%	0 .0%	31
	CALLAWAY	1 3.4%	7 24.1%	12 41.4%	3 10.3%	4 13.8%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	29
	COLE	9 32.1%	5 17.9%	9 32.1%	3 10.7%	0 .0%	2 7.1%	0 .0%	28
	HOWARD	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	5 50.0%	1 10.0%	0 .0%	1 10.0%	0 .0%	10
	RANDOLPH	4 17.4%	4 17.4%	8 34.8%	4 17.4%	1 4.3%	1 4.3%	1 4.3%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	19 15.7%	21 17.4%	46 38.0%	17 14.1%	8 6.6%	9 7.4%	1 .8%	121
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	6 46.2%	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13
	CALDWELL	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	1
	CLINTON	2 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	DE KALB	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	GENTRY	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	HOLT	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	LIVINGSTON	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 66.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	NODAWAY	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 66.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	WORTH	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	11 42.3%	5 19.2%	1 3.8%	5 19.2%	3 11.5%	1 3.8%	0 .0%	26
SITE 735	CARTER	1 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	CRAWFORD	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5
	DENT	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	HOWELL	3 23.1%	2 15.4%	6 46.2%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13
	IRON	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 .0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5
	MARIES	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	OREGON	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	PHELPS	3 25.0%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	4 33.3%	2 16.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12
	PULASKI	1 14.3%	0 .0%	4 57.1%	0 .0%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 .0%	7
	REYNOLDS	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	TEXAS	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	2 33.3%	2 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6
	WAYNE	3 27.3%	1 9.1%	2 18.2%	2 18.2%	2 18.2%	0 .0%	1 9.1%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	13 18.6%	7 10.0%	19 27.1%	20 28.6%	9 12.9%	1 1.4%	1 1.4%	70	
SITE 736	BATES	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	BENTON	0 .0%	2 50.0%	2 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4
	CAMDEN	5 50.0%	5 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10
	DALLAS	3 27.3%	5 45.5%	2 18.2%	1 9.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11
	HENRY	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 .0%	4 57.1%	0 .0%	1 14.3%	0 .0%	7
	HICKORY	0 .0%	2 66.7%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	LACLEDE	3 18.8%	7 43.8%	4 25.0%	2 12.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16
	MILLER	5 41.7%	3 25.0%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	0 .0%	12
	MONITEAU	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	MORGAN	2 22.2%	6 66.7%	0 .0%	1 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9
	POLK	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8
	ST CLAIR	0 .0%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	3
	WEBSTER	5 33.3%	6 40.0%	2 13.3%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	29 28.2%	43 41.7%	15 14.6%	11 10.7%	2 1.9%	3 2.9%	0 .0%	103
SITE 737	BARTON	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	3 33.3%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 .0%	9
	CEDAR	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	4
	DADE	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	JASPER	8 17.4%	13 28.3%	10 21.7%	5 10.9%	5 10.9%	2 4.3%	3 6.5%	46
	MCDONALD	0 .0%	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 25.0%	8
	NEWTON	5 27.8%	1 5.6%	2 11.1%	7 38.9%	2 11.1%	1 5.6%	0 .0%	18
	VERNON	1 20.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *	18 19.4%	18 19.4%	17 18.3%	18 19.4%	12 12.9%	5 5.4%	5 5.4%	93	
SITE 738	BARRY	8 57.1%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	2 14.3%	14
	CHRISTIAN	15 62.5%	2 8.3%	0 .0%	5 20.8%	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	24
	DOUGLAS	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	0 .0%	10
	LAWRENCE	10 50.0%	1 5.0%	6 30.0%	3 15.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20
	OZARK	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 50.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	4
	STONE	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4
	TANEY	11 32.4%	7 20.6%	6 17.6%	4 11.8%	4 11.8%	1 2.9%	1 2.9%	34
	WRIGHT	1 6.7%	5 33.3%	0 .0%	3 20.0%	3 20.0%	1 6.7%	2 13.3%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	50 40.0%	21 16.8%	14 11.2%	16 12.8%	14 11.2%	4 3.2%	6 4.8%	125

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000 >	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	50 35.7%	26 18.6%	29 20.7%	23 16.4%	4 2.9%	3 2.1%	5 3.6%	140
	* SITE TOTAL *	50 35.7%	26 18.6%	29 20.7%	23 16.4%	4 2.9%	3 2.1%	5 3.6%	140
SITE 740	CHARITON	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 .0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5
	GRUNDY	2 50.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4
	HARRISON	1 25.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4
	LINN	2 18.2%	2 18.2%	1 9.1%	3 27.3%	3 27.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11
	MERCER	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	2
	PUTNAM	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1 25.0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	8 25.0%	3 9.4%	5 15.6%	10 31.3%	5 15.6%	1 3.1%	0 .0%	32
SITE 831	LINCOLN	5 31.3%	7 43.8%	0 .0%	1 6.3%	1 6.3%	2 12.5%	0 .0%	16
	MARION	2 16.7%	3 25.0%	3 25.0%	3 25.0%	1 8.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12
	MONROE	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	PIKE	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 20.0%	0 .0%	5
	RALLS	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	4
	ST CHARLES	4 11.1%	6 16.7%	5 13.9%	15 41.7%	0 .0%	3 8.3%	3 8.3%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	12 16.0%	17 22.7%	12 16.0%	20 26.7%	4 5.3%	7 9.3%	3 4.0%	75
	SITE 931	ADAIR	10 45.5%	3 13.6%	3 13.6%	3 13.6%	2 9.1%	1 4.5%	0 .0%
CLARK		2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 20.0%	5
KNOX		0 .0%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
LEWIS		1 10.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10
MACON		2 25.0%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8
SCHUYLER		3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	6
SCOTLAND		3 60.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5
SHELBY		0 .0%	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *		21 35.0%	9 15.0%	9 15.0%	9 15.0%	8 13.3%	2 3.3%	2 3.3%	60
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	4 26.7%	3 20.0%	2 13.3%	2 13.3%	3 20.0%	1 6.7%	0 .0%
	FRANKLIN	9 42.9%	11 52.4%	1 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	21
	GASCONADE	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	JEFFERSON	7 11.5%	4 6.6%	11 18.0%	19 31.1%	12 19.7%	5 8.2%	3 4.9%	61
	MONTGOMERY	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	5
	OSAGE	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	WARREN	3 23.1%	7 53.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	2 15.4%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	24 20.2%	26 21.8%	16 13.4%	24 20.2%	16 13.4%	8 6.7%	5 4.2%	119
SITE 933	CASS	4 15.4%	2 7.7%	3 11.5%	1 3.8%	9 34.6%	5 19.2%	2 7.7%	26
	COOPER	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7
	JOHNSON	1 7.7%	2 15.4%	0 .0%	1 7.7%	4 30.8%	5 38.5%	0 .0%	13
	LAFAYETTE	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 .0%	8
	PETTIS	9 31.0%	4 13.8%	2 6.9%	6 20.7%	4 13.8%	1 3.4%	3 10.3%	29
	SALINE	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	2 33.3%	0 .0%	1 16.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	18 20.2%	10 11.2%	10 11.2%	11 12.4%	23 25.8%	12 13.5%	5 5.6%	89
	SITE 934	CARROLL	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 20.0%
CLAY		5 45.5%	0 .0%	1 9.1%	1 9.1%	1 9.1%	2 18.2%	1 9.1%	11
PLATTE		1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 .0%	3 50.0%	0 .0%	1 16.7%	0 .0%	6
RAY		1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
* SITE TOTAL *		9 36.0%	4 16.0%	1 4.0%	4 16.0%	2 8.0%	3 12.0%	2 8.0%	25
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	11 29.7%	9 24.3%	4 10.8%	9 24.3%	1 2.7%	1 2.7%	2 5.4%	37
	MADISON	3 23.1%	3 23.1%	5 38.5%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.7%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4
	PERRY	4 26.7%	2 13.3%	3 20.0%	4 26.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 13.3%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	15 27.3%	10 18.2%	12 21.8%	6 10.9%	6 10.9%	2 3.6%	4 7.3%	55
	STE GENEVIEVE	0 .0%	3 42.9%	0 .0%	3 42.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 14.3%	7
	SCOTT	0 .0%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	WASHINGTON	5 41.7%	4 33.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	42 27.3%	37 24.0%	28 18.2%	25 16.2%	9 5.8%	3 1.9%	10 6.5%	154
	SITE 936	BUTLER	5 31.3%	4 25.0%	2 12.5%	2 12.5%	2 12.5%	0 .0%	1 6.3%
DUNKLIN		2 28.6%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7
NEW MADRID		1 16.7%	3 50.0%	0 .0%	2 33.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6
PEMISCOT		5 50.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10
RIPLEY		1 12.5%	6 75.0%	0 .0%	1 12.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8
STODDARD		3 16.7%	2 11.1%	3 16.7%	7 38.9%	3 16.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	18
* SITE TOTAL *		17 26.2%	19 29.2%	7 10.8%	14 21.5%	7 10.8%	0 .0%	1 1.5%	65
STATE TOTAL		535 28.3%	354 18.7%	320 16.9%	341 18.0%	191 10.1%	85 4.5%	67 3.5%	1,893

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted During FY 2013

Parenting Skills Problems	1,572	83.0%
Parent/Child Conflict	965	51.0%
Communication	932	49.2%
Mental Health Problems	749	39.6%
Child Neglect	659	34.8%
Emotional Problems	522	27.6%
Severe Financial Problems	337	17.8%
Transportation	333	17.6%
Drug Abuse	310	16.4%
Physical Abuse	287	15.2%
Medical Illness/Disability	230	12.2%
Marital Conflict	219	11.6%
Physical Violence	195	10.3%
School Problems	177	9.4%
Homelessness	157	8.3%
Shelter	146	7.7%
Alcohol Abuse	104	5.5%
Delinquent Behavior	72	3.8%
Criminal Behavior	65	3.4%
Sexual Abuse	63	3.3%
Developmental Disability	55	2.9%
Pregnancy	43	2.3%
Suicide Attempts	29	1.5%
Runaway	17	.9%

Intensive In-Home Services

Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables

Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2013

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

At-Risk Children Served

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	60	16.7%	146	40.7%	115	32.0%	38	10.6%	359	
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	16.7%	146	40.7%	115	32.0%	38	10.6%	359	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	20	4.0%	129	25.8%	199	39.8%	152	30.4%	500	
	ST LOUIS CITY	41	12.5%	103	31.3%	119	36.2%	66	20.1%	329	
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	7.4%	232	28.0%	318	38.4%	218	26.3%	829	
SITE 733	BOONE	7	12.3%	10	17.5%	28	49.1%	12	21.1%	57	
	CALLAWAY	7	12.1%	22	37.9%	17	29.3%	12	20.7%	58	
	COLE	9	14.8%	22	36.1%	23	37.7%	7	11.5%	61	
	HOWARD	1	4.3%	6	26.1%	10	43.5%	6	26.1%	23	
	RANDOLPH	6	15.8%	10	26.3%	13	34.2%	9	23.7%	38	
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	12.7%	70	29.5%	91	38.4%	46	19.4%	237	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	5	21.7%	12	52.2%	3	13.0%	3	13.0%	23	
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1	
	CLINTON	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3	
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	GENTRY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2	
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4	
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	15.0%	20	50.0%	10	25.0%	4	10.0%	40	
	SITE 735	CARTER	1	25.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
CRAWFORD		1	8.3%	4	33.3%	5	41.7%	2	16.7%	12	
DENT		1	10.0%	3	30.0%	6	60.0%	0	.0%	10	
HOWELL		0	.0%	4	18.2%	6	27.3%	12	54.5%	22	
IRON		1	5.6%	7	38.9%	9	50.0%	1	5.6%	18	
MARIES		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	
OREGON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1	
PHELPS		1	5.6%	4	22.2%	9	50.0%	4	22.2%	18	
PULASKI		0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	7	
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	7	
TEXAS		3	21.4%	4	28.6%	5	35.7%	2	14.3%	14	
WAYNE		2	12.5%	6	37.5%	6	37.5%	2	12.5%	16	
* SITE TOTAL *		10	7.5%	36	27.1%	54	40.6%	33	24.8%	133	
SITE 736		BATES	0	.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	BENTON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5	
	CAMDEN	2	9.1%	8	36.4%	11	50.0%	1	4.5%	22	
	DALLAS	1	4.3%	11	47.8%	8	34.8%	3	13.0%	23	
	HENRY	2	20.0%	0	.0%	4	40.0%	4	40.0%	10	
	HICKORY	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7	
	LACLEDE	2	7.1%	5	17.9%	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	28	
	MILLER	1	4.5%	6	27.3%	6	27.3%	9	40.9%	22	
	MONITEAU	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6	
	MORGAN	3	17.6%	7	41.2%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	17	
	POLK	1	4.3%	11	47.8%	8	34.8%	3	13.0%	23	
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	6	
	WEBSTER	3	9.7%	12	38.7%	11	35.5%	5	16.1%	31	
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	9.3%	70	34.1%	82	40.0%	34	16.6%	205	
	SITE 737	BARTON	2	11.8%	6	35.3%	5	29.4%	4	23.5%	17
		CEDAR	0	.0%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	7	58.3%	12
DADE		0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	5	
JASPER		10	12.0%	27	32.5%	24	28.9%	22	26.5%	83	
MCDONALD		3	16.7%	8	44.4%	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	18	
NEWTON		4	11.4%	15	42.9%	11	31.4%	5	14.3%	35	
VERNON		2	20.0%	4	40.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10	
* SITE TOTAL *		21	11.7%	62	34.4%	53	29.4%	44	24.4%	180	
SITE 738	BARRY	3	8.6%	12	34.3%	18	51.4%	2	5.7%	35	
	CHRISTIAN	11	20.4%	21	38.9%	15	27.8%	7	13.0%	54	
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	8	44.4%	8	44.4%	2	11.1%	18	
	LAWRENCE	4	8.7%	14	30.4%	17	37.0%	11	23.9%	46	
	OZARK	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	9	
	STONE	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10	
	TANEY	11	17.2%	25	39.1%	17	26.6%	11	17.2%	64	
	WRIGHT	1	2.4%	15	35.7%	23	54.8%	3	7.1%	42	
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	11.5%	102	36.7%	105	37.8%	39	14.0%	278	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	35	12.2%	102	35.7%	103	36.0%	46	16.1%	286
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	12.2%	102	35.7%	103	36.0%	46	16.1%	286
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	8
	GRUNDY	1	7.7%	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	13
	HARRISON	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	12
	LINN	2	10.0%	9	45.0%	8	40.0%	1	5.0%	20
	MERCER	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PUTNAM	1	12.5%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	8.3%	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	10.5%	25	32.9%	30	39.5%	13	17.1%	76
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	2.4%	11	26.2%	19	45.2%	11	26.2%	42
	MARION	3	12.0%	6	24.0%	11	44.0%	5	20.0%	25
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	1	7.1%	5	35.7%	6	42.9%	2	14.3%	14
	RALLS	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	7
	ST CHARLES	5	6.7%	24	32.0%	24	32.0%	22	29.3%	75
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	6.5%	47	28.0%	68	40.5%	42	25.0%	168
	SITE 931	ADAIR	4	6.9%	23	39.7%	16	27.6%	15	25.9%
CLARK		0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
KNOX		0	.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
LEWIS		2	14.3%	0	.0%	7	50.0%	5	35.7%	14
MACON		1	7.7%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	13
SCHUYLER		0	.0%	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	6	42.9%	14
SCOTLAND		0	.0%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
SHELBY		0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
* SITE TOTAL *		7	6.0%	42	35.9%	35	29.9%	33	28.2%	117
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	2	8.7%	0	.0%	8	34.8%	13	56.5%
	FRANKLIN	4	7.4%	18	33.3%	24	44.4%	8	14.8%	54
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	7
	JEFFERSON	9	7.9%	27	23.7%	46	40.4%	32	28.1%	114
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	2	14.3%	9	64.3%	3	21.4%	14
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	WARREN	1	3.0%	10	30.3%	12	36.4%	10	30.3%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	6.5%	59	23.8%	105	42.3%	68	27.4%	248
SITE 933	CASS	3	6.3%	12	25.0%	17	35.4%	16	33.3%	48
	COOPER	0	.0%	6	31.6%	7	36.8%	6	31.6%	19
	JOHNSON	1	3.2%	9	29.0%	15	48.4%	6	19.4%	31
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	5	35.7%	6	42.9%	3	21.4%	14
	PETTIS	6	13.3%	16	35.6%	15	33.3%	8	17.8%	45
	SALINE	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	6.5%	49	29.2%	68	40.5%	40	23.8%	168
	SITE 934	CARROLL	2	16.7%	5	41.7%	5	41.7%	0	.0%
CLAY		3	8.1%	16	43.2%	16	43.2%	2	5.4%	37
PLATTE		1	7.7%	3	23.1%	8	61.5%	1	7.7%	13
RAY		2	40.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *		8	11.9%	24	35.8%	31	46.3%	4	6.0%	67
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	7	38.9%	7	38.9%	4	22.2%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	5	8.2%	26	42.6%	23	37.7%	7	11.5%	61
	MADISON	4	21.1%	8	42.1%	2	10.5%	5	26.3%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	PERRY	1	3.7%	8	29.6%	12	44.4%	6	22.2%	27
	ST FRANCOIS	16	13.7%	36	30.8%	41	35.0%	24	20.5%	117
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	7	46.7%	5	33.3%	3	20.0%	15
	SCOTT	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	3	15.0%	6	30.0%	5	25.0%	6	30.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	10.0%	106	36.4%	101	34.7%	55	18.9%	291
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	2.7%	6	16.2%	23	62.2%	7	18.9%	37
	DUNKLIN	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	5	31.3%	4	25.0%	16
	NEW MADRID	2	9.5%	5	23.8%	14	66.7%	0	.0%	21
	PEMISCOT	7	17.9%	11	28.2%	14	35.9%	7	17.9%	39
	RIPLEY	2	8.3%	6	25.0%	9	37.5%	7	29.2%	24
	STODDARD	1	2.1%	15	31.9%	24	51.1%	7	14.9%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	8.7%	47	25.5%	89	48.4%	32	17.4%	184
STATE TOTAL	380	9.8%	1,239	32.0%	1,458	37.7%	789	20.4%	3,866	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	162	45.1%	197	54.9%	359
	* SITE TOTAL *	162	45.1%	197	54.9%	359
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	258	51.6%	242	48.4%	500
	ST LOUIS CITY	160	48.6%	169	51.4%	329
	* SITE TOTAL *	418	50.4%	411	49.6%	829
SITE 733	BOONE	30	52.6%	27	47.4%	57
	CALLAWAY	32	55.2%	26	44.8%	58
	COLE	35	57.4%	26	42.6%	61
	HOWARD	16	69.6%	7	30.4%	23
	RANDOLPH	10	26.3%	28	73.7%	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	123	51.9%	114	48.1%	237
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	12	52.2%	11	47.8%	23
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CLINTON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	GENTRY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	HOLT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	50.0%	20	50.0%	40
	SITE 735	CARTER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%
CRAWFORD		10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
DENT		3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10
HOWELL		9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
IRON		11	61.1%	7	38.9%	18
MARIES		2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
OREGON		1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
PHELPS		8	44.4%	10	55.6%	18
PULASKI		3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
REYNOLDS		2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
TEXAS		8	57.1%	6	42.9%	14
WAYNE		5	31.3%	11	68.8%	16
* SITE TOTAL *		65	48.9%	68	51.1%	133
SITE 736	BATES	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	BENTON	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	CAMDEN	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	22
	DALLAS	10	43.5%	13	56.5%	23
	HENRY	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	HICKORY	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	LACLEDE	16	57.1%	12	42.9%	28
	MILLER	7	31.8%	15	68.2%	22
	MONITEAU	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	MORGAN	4	23.5%	13	76.5%	17
	POLK	9	39.1%	14	60.9%	23
	ST CLAIR	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	WEBSTER	18	58.1%	13	41.9%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	96	46.8%	109	53.2%	205
SITE 737	BARTON	9	52.9%	8	47.1%	17
	CEDAR	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	12
	DADE	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	JASPER	41	49.4%	42	50.6%	83
	MCDONALD	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18
	NEWTON	18	51.4%	17	48.6%	35
	VERNON	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	86	47.8%	94	52.2%	180
SITE 738	BARRY	14	40.0%	21	60.0%	35
	CHRISTIAN	27	50.0%	27	50.0%	54
	DOUGLAS	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18
	LAWRENCE	22	47.8%	24	52.2%	46
	OZARK	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	STONE	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	TANEY	30	46.9%	34	53.1%	64
	WRIGHT	24	57.1%	18	42.9%	42
* SITE TOTAL *	137	49.3%	141	50.7%	278	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	138	48.3%	148	51.7%	286
	* SITE TOTAL *	138	48.3%	148	51.7%	286
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	8
	GRUNDY	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	HARRISON	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12
	LINN	5	25.0%	15	75.0%	20
	MERCER	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	PUTNAM	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12
* SITE TOTAL *	29	38.2%	47	61.8%	76	
SITE 831	LINCOLN	24	57.1%	18	42.9%	42
	MARION	9	36.0%	16	64.0%	25
	MONROE	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	PIKE	5	35.7%	9	64.3%	14
	RALLS	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	ST CHARLES	31	41.3%	44	58.7%	75
	* SITE TOTAL *	75	44.6%	93	55.4%	168
SITE 931	ADAIR	26	44.8%	32	55.2%	58
	CLARK	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	KNOX	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	LEWIS	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	14
	MACON	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13
	SCHUYLER	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	14
	SCOTLAND	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	SHELBY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	55	47.0%	62	53.0%	117
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	11	47.8%	12	52.2%
FRANKLIN		26	48.1%	28	51.9%	54
GASCONADE		3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
JEFFERSON		52	45.6%	62	54.4%	114
MONTGOMERY		9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
OSAGE		1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
WARREN		16	48.5%	17	51.5%	33
* SITE TOTAL *		118	47.6%	130	52.4%	248
SITE 933	CASS	24	50.0%	24	50.0%	48
	COOPER	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	19
	JOHNSON	17	54.8%	14	45.2%	31
	LAFAYETTE	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	14
	PETTIS	15	33.3%	30	66.7%	45
	SALINE	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	80	47.6%	88	52.4%	168
	SITE 934	CARROLL	9	75.0%	3	25.0%
CLAY		18	48.6%	19	51.4%	37
PLATTE		7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
RAY		0	.0%	5	100.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *		34	50.7%	33	49.3%	67
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	39	63.9%	22	36.1%	61
	MADISON	12	63.2%	7	36.8%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	PERRY	9	33.3%	18	66.7%	27
	ST FRANCOIS	51	43.6%	66	56.4%	117
	STE GENEVIEVE	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	15
	SCOTT	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	147	50.5%	144	49.5%	291
SITE 936	BUTLER	16	43.2%	21	56.8%	37
	DUNKLIN	6	37.5%	10	62.5%	16
	NEW MADRID	11	52.4%	10	47.6%	21
	PEMISCOT	21	53.8%	18	46.2%	39
	RIPLEY	12	50.0%	12	50.0%	24
	STODDARD	21	44.7%	26	55.3%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	47.3%	97	52.7%	184
STATE TOTAL		1,870	48.4%	1,996	51.6%	3,866

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN		NAT HI PACI		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	175	48.7%	161	44.8%	0	.0%	2	.6%	1	.3%	4	1.1%	16	4.5%	359	
	* SITE TOTAL *	175	48.7%	161	44.8%	0	.0%	2	.6%	1	.3%	4	1.1%	16	4.5%	359	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	145	29.0%	323	64.6%	1	.2%	3	.6%	0	.0%	3	.6%	25	5.0%	500	
	ST LOUIS CITY	24	7.3%	280	85.1%	1	.3%	2	.6%	1	.3%	9	2.7%	12	3.6%	329	
	* SITE TOTAL *	169	20.4%	603	72.7%	2	.2%	5	.6%	1	.1%	12	1.4%	37	4.5%	829	
SITE 733	BOONE	42	73.7%	10	17.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	8.8%	0	.0%	57	
	CALLAWAY	53	91.4%	2	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.2%	0	.0%	58	
	COLE	47	77.0%	9	14.8%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	3	4.9%	61	
	HOWARD	21	91.3%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	
	RANDOLPH	34	89.5%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	7.9%	0	.0%	38	
	* SITE TOTAL *	197	83.1%	24	10.1%	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	12	5.1%	3	1.3%	237	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	21	91.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	23	
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	CLINTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	GENTRY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	HOLT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	LIVINGSTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
	NODAWAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	95.0%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.5%	40	
	SITE 735	CARTER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
CRAWFORD		12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	
DENT		10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
HOWELL		21	95.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	
IRON		18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
MARIES		4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
OREGON		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
PHELPS		18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
PULASKI		6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	
REYNOLDS		7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	
TEXAS		12	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	14.3%	14	
WAYNE		16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	
* SITE TOTAL *		129	97.0%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.5%	133	
SITE 736	BATES	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5	
	BENTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	
	CAMDEN	20	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	22	
	DALLAS	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	
	HENRY	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
	HICKORY	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	
	LACLEDE	25	89.3%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	28	
	MILLER	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	
	MONITEAU	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6	
	MORGAN	15	88.2%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	
	POLK	22	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	23	
	ST CLAIR	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	
	WEBSTER	31	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31	
	* SITE TOTAL *	193	94.1%	4	2.0%	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	2.4%	2	1.0%	205	
	SITE 737	BARTON	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
		CEDAR	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
DADE		5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	
JASPER		66	79.5%	5	6.0%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	10	12.0%	1	1.2%	83	
MCDONALD		15	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	16.7%	18	
NEWTON		32	91.4%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	35	
VERNON		7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10	
* SITE TOTAL *		152	84.4%	7	3.9%	1	.6%	1	.6%	0	.0%	11	6.1%	8	4.4%	180	
SITE 738	BARRY	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35	
	CHRISTIAN	53	98.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.9%	54	
	DOUGLAS	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
	LAWRENCE	46	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	46	
	OZARK	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	
	STONE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
	TANEY	63	98.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	64	
	WRIGHT	34	81.0%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%	42	
* SITE TOTAL *	268	96.4%	7	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.1%	278		

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN		NAT HI PACI		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	266	93.0%	12	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.7%	6	2.1%	286
	* SITE TOTAL *	266	93.0%	12	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.7%	6	2.1%	286
SITE 740	CHARITON	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	GRUNDY	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	HARRISON	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
	LINN	19	95.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	20
	MERCER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PUTNAM	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	94.7%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	76
SITE 831	LINCOLN	35	83.3%	6	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%	42
	MARION	16	64.0%	7	28.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	25
	MONROE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	RALLS	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	56	74.7%	10	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	8.0%	1	1.3%	2	2.7%	75
	* SITE TOTAL *	132	78.6%	24	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	3.6%	2	1.2%	4	2.4%	168
SITE 931	ADAIR	49	84.5%	4	6.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.2%	2	3.4%	58
	CLARK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	11	78.6%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	MACON	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	SCHUYLER	10	71.4%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	SCOTLAND	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	100	85.5%	9	7.7%	1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.6%	4	3.4%	117
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	16	69.6%	7	30.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
FRANKLIN		53	98.1%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
GASCONADE		6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
JEFFERSON		106	93.0%	3	2.6%	0	.0%	1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	3.5%	114
MONTGOMERY		11	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	14
OSAGE		3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
WARREN		29	87.9%	3	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	33
* SITE TOTAL *		224	90.3%	14	5.6%	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	4	1.6%	5	2.0%	248
SITE 933	CASS	41	85.4%	4	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	2	4.2%	48
	COOPER	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	JOHNSON	24	77.4%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	2	6.5%	31
	LAFAYETTE	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PETTIS	36	80.0%	7	15.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	4.4%	45
	SALINE	8	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	136	81.0%	18	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.8%	2	1.2%	9	5.4%	168
SITE 934	CARROLL	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CLAY	36	97.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.7%	37
	PLATTE	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	91.0%	3	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	67
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	56	91.8%	4	6.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	61
	MADISON	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PERRY	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	ST FRANCOIS	114	97.4%	3	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	117
	STE GENEVIEVE	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	SCOTT	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	18	90.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	281	96.6%	7	2.4%	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.7%	291
SITE 936	BUTLER	37	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	DUNKLIN	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	NEW MADRID	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	PEMISCOT	11	28.2%	27	69.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.6%	39
	RIPLEY	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	STODDARD	47	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	79.3%	37	20.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.5%	184
STATE TOTAL		2,739	70.8%	935	24.2%	6	.2%	10	.3%	11	.3%	59	1.5%	106	2.7%	3,866

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	NONE		MULTIPLE		LEARNING DISABLED		BEHAVIORALLY		EMOTIONALLY	
				CATEGORIES		CLASS		DISABLED CLASS		DISABLED CLASS	
SITE 731	JACKSON	355	98.9%	1	.3%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	355	98.9%	1	.3%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	490	98.0%	2	.4%	1	.2%	1	.2%	1	.2%
	ST LOUIS CITY	325	98.8%	2	.6%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	815	98.3%	4	.5%	2	.2%	2	.2%	1	.1%
SITE 733	BOONE	55	96.5%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	58	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	59	96.7%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	19	82.6%	0	.0%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	37	97.4%	0	.0%	1	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	228	96.2%	2	.8%	5	2.1%	1	.4%	0	.0%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOLT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	95.0%	0	.0%	2	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SITE 735	CARTER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0
CRAWFORD		11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
DENT		10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
HOWELL		19	86.4%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
IRON		14	77.8%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
MARIES		4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OREGON		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PHELPS		16	88.9%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PULASKI		5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
REYNOLDS		7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
TEXAS		14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WAYNE		16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *		121	91.0%	5	3.8%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	25	89.3%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
	MILLER	20	90.9%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	31	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	198	96.6%	3	1.5%	2	1.0%	1	.5%	0	.0%
	SITE 737	BARTON	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0
CEDAR		12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
DADE		5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
JASPER		81	97.6%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
MCDONALD		15	83.3%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
NEWTON		35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
VERNON		10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	175	97.2%	1	.6%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 738	BARRY	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	53	98.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	45	97.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	9	90.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	62	96.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	35	83.3%	1	2.4%	2	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	265	95.3%	1	.4%	3	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	DEVELOPMENTALLY				PHYSICALLY DISABLED				TOTAL			
		OTHER	DISABLED CLASS	ENRICHMENT CLASSES	CLASS	SUMMER SCHOOL							
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	359	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	359	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2	.4%	0	.0%	2	.4%	0	.0%	1	.2%	500	
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	329	
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.2%	0	.0%	2	.2%	0	.0%	1	.1%	829	
SITE 733	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	57	
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58	
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	61	
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	38	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.4%	237	
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40	
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
CRAWFORD		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12	
DENT		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
HOWELL		1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	
IRON		1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
MARIES		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	
OREGON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	
PHELPS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
PULASKI		1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7	
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	
TEXAS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	
WAYNE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	
* SITE TOTAL *		3	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.5%	133	
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5	
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28	
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.5%	205	
	SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
		CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
DADE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	
JASPER		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	83	
MCDONALD		0	.0%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
NEWTON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35	
VERNON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	180		
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35	
	CHRISTIAN	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54	
	DOUGLAS	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	46	
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.1%	64	
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	9.5%	42	
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.7%	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	6	2.2%	278	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	NONE		MULTIPLE		LEARNING DISABLED		BEHAVIORALLY		EMOTIONALLY	
				CATEGORIES		CLASS		DISABLED CLASS		DISABLED CLASS	
SITE 739	GREENE	279	97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.7%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	279	97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.7%	0	.0%
SITE 740	CHARITON	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	18	90.0%	0	.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	94.7%	2	2.6%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	39	92.9%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	25	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	72	96.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	161	95.8%	1	.6%	2	1.2%	1	.6%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	56	96.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	13	92.9%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	112	95.7%	1	.9%	1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0
FRANKLIN		49	90.7%	2	3.7%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	0	.0%
GASCONADE		7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
JEFFERSON		108	94.7%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%
MONTGOMERY		13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OSAGE		3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WARREN		33	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *		236	95.2%	5	2.0%	1	.4%	1	.4%	1	.4%
SITE 933	CASS	47	97.9%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	17	89.5%	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	30	96.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	42	93.3%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	161	95.8%	2	1.2%	2	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	36	97.3%	0	.0%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	66	98.5%	0	.0%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	61	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	26	96.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	115	98.3%	1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	284	97.6%	5	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	34	91.9%	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	13	81.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	19	90.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	39	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	45	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	174	94.6%	1	.5%	2	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL	3,740	96.7%	34	.9%	29	.8%	9	.2%	2	.1%	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	DEVELOPMENTALLY					PHYSICALLY DISABLED					TOTAL
		OTHER		DISABLED CLASS	ENRICHMENT CLASSES	CLASS	SUMMER SCHOOL					
SITE 739	GREENE	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	3	1.0%	286
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	3	1.0%	286
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	76
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	75
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	168
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	58
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	SCHUYLER	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	117
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
FRANKLIN		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.9%	54
GASCONADE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
JEFFERSON		1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.8%	114
MONTGOMERY		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
OSAGE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
WARREN		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
* SITE TOTAL *		1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.2%	248
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	48
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PETTIS	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	45
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.6%	0	.0%	1	.6%	0	.0%	1	.6%	168
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	61
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PERRY	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	117
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	291
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	DUNKLIN	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	12.5%	16
	NEW MADRID	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	21
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	39
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	4.3%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	2.7%	184
STATE TOTAL	17	.4%	3	.1%	4	.1%	1	.0%	27	.7%	3,866	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		HOSPITAL									
SITE 731	JACKSON	2	.6%	3	.8%	251	69.9%	4	1.1%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.6%	3	.8%	251	69.9%	4	1.1%	0	.0%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	8	1.6%	47	9.4%	343	68.6%	8	1.6%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.3%	27	8.2%	222	67.5%	3	.9%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	1.1%	74	8.9%	565	68.2%	11	1.3%	0	.0%
SITE 733	BOONE	0	.0%	3	5.3%	49	86.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	5	8.6%	50	86.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	61	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	20	87.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	1	2.6%	6	15.8%	26	68.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.4%	14	5.9%	206	86.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	20	87.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	36	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0
CRAWFORD		0	.0%	1	8.3%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
DENT		0	.0%	0	.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
HOWELL		0	.0%	6	27.3%	10	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
IRON		0	.0%	1	5.6%	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
MARIES		0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OREGON		1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PHELPS		0	.0%	0	.0%	8	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PULASKI		1	14.3%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
TEXAS		0	.0%	1	7.1%	12	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WAYNE		0	.0%	2	12.5%	11	68.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *		2	1.5%	18	13.5%	84	63.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	95.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	1	3.6%	25	89.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	5.9%	14	82.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	28	90.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	1.5%	194	94.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	5.9%	13	76.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	0	.0%	1	1.2%	69	83.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	0	.0%	1	2.9%	33	94.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	1.7%	155	86.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	32	91.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	1	1.9%	41	75.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	5	27.8%	13	72.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	2	4.3%	32	69.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	1	1.6%	2	3.1%	53	82.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	4	9.5%	38	90.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.7%	15	5.4%	228	82.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	91	25.3%	1	.3%	2	.6%	5	1.4%	359
	* SITE TOTAL *	91	25.3%	1	.3%	2	.6%	5	1.4%	359
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	79	15.8%	10	2.0%	1	.2%	4	.8%	500
	ST LOUIS CITY	67	20.4%	6	1.8%	1	.3%	2	.6%	329
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	17.6%	16	1.9%	2	.2%	6	.7%	829
SITE 733	BOONE	3	5.3%	2	3.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	57
	CALLAWAY	3	5.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	61
	HOWARD	3	13.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	RANDOLPH	5	13.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	5.9%	2	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	237
SITE 734	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	3	13.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	NODAWAY	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	2.5%	3	7.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CRAWFORD		5	41.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
DENT		3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
HOWELL		3	13.6%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	2	9.1%	22
IRON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
MARIES		0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
OREGON		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
PHELPS		7	38.9%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
PULASKI		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
REYNOLDS		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
TEXAS		1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
WAYNE		3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
* SITE TOTAL *		22	16.5%	4	3.0%	0	.0%	3	2.3%	133
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CAMDEN	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	LACLEDE	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.6%	28
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	MORGAN	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WEBSTER	3	9.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.5%	205
SITE 737	BARTON	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	DADE	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JASPER	9	10.8%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	0	.0%	83
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	NEWTON	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	8.9%	4	2.2%	2	1.1%	0	.0%	180
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	CHRISTIAN	11	20.4%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	LAWRENCE	11	23.9%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	46
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	7	10.9%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	64
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	10.4%	4	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	278

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC									
		HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	12	4.2%	225	78.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	12	4.2%	225	78.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	76.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	8.3%	11	91.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	1	5.0%	19	95.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	2.6%	69	90.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	33	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	0	.0%	2	8.0%	17	68.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	8	10.7%	2	2.7%	48	64.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	6.0%	7	4.2%	121	72.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	1.7%	37	63.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	1	7.7%	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	6	5.1%	70	59.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	2	8.7%	15	65.2%	0	.0%	0
FRANKLIN		0	.0%	2	3.7%	51	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
GASCONADE		0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
JEFFERSON		2	1.8%	11	9.6%	76	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
MONTGOMERY		1	7.1%	1	7.1%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OSAGE		0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WARREN		0	.0%	1	3.0%	25	75.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *		3	1.2%	17	6.9%	184	74.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	8	16.7%	27	56.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	4	21.1%	15	78.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	1	3.2%	2	6.5%	28	90.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	42	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	18.2%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.6%	16	9.5%	132	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	8.3%	9	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	0	.0%	4	10.8%	24	64.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	2	15.4%	0	.0%	8	61.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.0%	5	7.5%	42	62.7%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	5.6%	0	.0%	16	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	59	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	1	3.7%	0	.0%	19	70.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	3	2.6%	3	2.6%	92	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	13.3%	8	53.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	2.1%	6	2.1%	240	82.5%	1	.3%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	36	97.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	39	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	13	54.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	1	2.1%	46	97.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	3	1.6%	171	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL	39	1.0%	204	5.3%	2,973	76.9%	17	.4%	0	.0%	

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2013

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	43	15.0%	2	.7%	0	.0%	4	1.4%	286
	* SITE TOTAL *	43	15.0%	2	.7%	0	.0%	4	1.4%	286
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	GRUNDY	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PUTNAM	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	6.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	76
SITE 831	LINCOLN	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	MARION	4	16.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.0%	25
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	16	21.3%	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	75
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	16.1%	1	.6%	0	.0%	2	1.2%	168
SITE 931	ADAIR	20	34.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58
	CLARK	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	KNOX	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	7	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MACON	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	SCHUYLER	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	SCOTLAND	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	35.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	117
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	6	26.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
FRANKLIN		1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
GASCONADE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
JEFFERSON		17	14.9%	8	7.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	114
MONTGOMERY		5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
OSAGE		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
WARREN		7	21.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
* SITE TOTAL *		36	14.5%	8	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	248
SITE 933	CASS	9	18.8%	4	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	48
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	31
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PETTIS	3	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	45
	SALINE	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	8.3%	5	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	168
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CLAY	9	24.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	PLATTE	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	25.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	61
	MADISON	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	PERRY	4	14.8%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	27
	ST FRANCOIS	3	2.6%	8	6.8%	8	6.8%	0	.0%	117
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	6.7%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	15
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	3.1%	14	4.8%	12	4.1%	3	1.0%	291
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.7%	37
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	39
	RIPLEY	7	29.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	24
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.1%	184
STATE TOTAL		525	13.6%	64	1.7%	18	.5%	26	.7%	3,866

Intensive In-Home Services

Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted During FY 2013

Parent/Child Conflict	1,637	42.3%
Child Neglect	1,356	35.1%
Communication	1,319	34.1%
Parenting Skills Problems	980	25.3%
Mental Health Problems	835	21.6%
Emotional Problems	740	19.1%
School Problems	697	18.0%
Physical Abuse	399	10.3%
Delinquent Behavior	372	9.6%
Physical Violence	237	6.1%
Medical Illness/Disability	212	5.5%
Homelessness	198	5.1%
Transportation	176	4.6%
Developmental Disability	150	3.9%
Sexual Abuse	145	3.8%
Shelter	145	3.8%
Drug Abuse	144	3.7%
Severe Financial Problems	138	3.6%
Runaway	77	2.0%
Suicide Attempts	45	1.2%
Criminal Behavior	37	1.0%
Alcohol Abuse	34	.9%
Marital Conflict	17	.4%
Pregnancy	11	.3%
Prostitution	1	.0%

Intensive In-Home Services

Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables

Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated. Each at-risk child is only counted once. If an at-risk child is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

Table 19 At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2013

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

Table 21 At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2013

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.

Outcomes

Intensive In-Home Services


Table 22. Families and At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services

Fiscal Year	Families	At-risk Children
2009	1,561	2,993
2010	1,887	3,737
2011	1,797	3,434
2012	1,731	3,537

Table 23. At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider

Fiscal Year	Contract		In-house		At-risk Children Exiting
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	
2009	2,392	79.91%	601	20.08%	2,993
2010	3,089	82.65%	648	17.34%	3,737
2011	3,050	88.81%	384	11.18%	3,434
2012	3,454	97.65%	83	2.34%	3,537

Table 24. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Service Provider



Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 25. Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services
(Based on 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After IIS Exit)**


Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CA/N	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
2009	2,108	33	37	22	47	52	44	49	2,392
2010	2,713	58	60	35	80	75	68	N/A	3,089
2011	2,786	37	58	32	70	67	N/A	N/A	3,050
2012	3,220	39	67	60	68	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,454

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CA/N	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
2009	484	14	21	5	21	23	17	16	601
2010	543	25	14	1	12	27	26	N/A	648
2011	318	9	10	4	25	18	N/A	N/A	384
2012	76	2	0	0	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	83

Table 26. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their exit



Intensive In-Home Services

Table 27. Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services (Based on 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)


Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No OOH	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
2009	1,776	123	116	71	79	110	59	58	2,392
2010	2,266	191	223	89	109	120	91	**	3,089
2011	2,364	190	199	82	92	123	**	**	3,050
2012	2,867	175	198	113	101	**	**	**	3,454

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No OOH	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
2009	405	51	40	14	24	27	19	21	601
2010	445	74	49	10	16	28	26	**	648
2011	290	21	25	9	23	16	**	**	384
2012	66	4	2	1	10	**	**	**	83

Table 28. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their exit



Intensive In-Home Services

Notes: Outcomes During FY 2013

At-Risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. FY 2009 is the only fiscal year, at the time of this report, to allow a 48 month follow-up. FY 2010, FY 2011 and FY 2012 have follow-ups of 36, 24 and 12 months, respectively.

Table 22 Families and At-Risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

Table 23 At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Service Provider

- Service providers with codes less than '2000' are considered to be Contracted providers. Service providers with codes '2000' or greater are considered to be In-house providers.